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Apolipoprotein E genotypes do not influence the age of onset in Huntington’s disease
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Huntington's disease (HD) is an autosomal dominantly transmitted neurodegenerative disorder based on expansions of translated CAG repeats in the huntingtin gene beyond a threshold of 36 to >200 units.1 Downstream effects of the mutation involve disturbances of gene transcription and neurotransmission, protein misfolding, and accumulation as well as apoptosis.2 The age of onset in HD is negatively correlated with the CAG repeat length.3 This correlation, however, only explains approximately 50–70% of the variation in the age of onset.4 Particularly, other genetic and environmental variables are assumed in cases with limited CAG expansions.5 As in other neurodegenerative diseases, different alleles of the apolipoprotein E (ApoE) gene are candidates for influencing the age of onset in HD.

The ApoE protein is a component of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) particles and chylomicrons and is involved in lipid transport.6 The pathophysiological effects of the ε4 allele in neurodegeneration may involve reduced neuroprotection against amyloid depositions, reactive oxygen species, and excitotoxins.7 There are three common protein isoforms of ApoE: ε2, ε3, and ε4. The ε4 allele has been identified as a risk factor in Alzheimer’s (AD)8,9 and Pick’s disease.10 Furthermore, increased susceptibility to sporadic Parkinson’s disease by a certain combined α-synuclein/ApoE genotype has been identified.11 In contrast to the aforementioned findings, the ε4 allele has been described to delay age of onset in patients with HD.12 Furthermore, gender specific effects were observed, that is, an earlier age of onset due to the ApoE ε2ε3 genotype in males with HD.13 In both studies, designed to detect the influence of genetic variables other than CAG repeats, cohorts with broad repeat ranges were investigated (40–57 CAG units, n = 60; 38–67 CAG units, n = 138).14 On the other hand, similar effects of ApoE genotypes were not demonstrable in independent investigations covering broad ranges of CAG expansions.15,16 In order to minimise the influence of the CAG repeat length, we have studied patients with a very narrow range of CAG repeats (41–45) in a homogeneously characterised HD cohort from the Huntington Center NRW, Germany.

METHODS
Clinical assessment of 420 patients with HD was performed by two experienced members of the Huntington Center, blinded with respect to DNA test results. Initially, 167 mutation carriers with 41–45 CAG units were selected from this group. Clinical assessment had been performed using a standardised battery of neurological (motor and behavioural score according to the Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale (UHDRS), apparative testing of motor function such as peg insertion and tapping),17 neuropsychological (Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), Benton-Test, Wechsler Memory Scale, subtests from the Aachen-Aphasia-Test, Clock-Test), and radiological investigations (cranial computer tomography atrophy markers) in order to determine symptoms and (motor and/or psychiatric) age of onset. Patients with an UHDRS score of more than 5 were included as symptomatic for HD. Symptoms were categorised as choreic movements, other movement disturbances, dementia (mild cognitive impairment to severe dementia according to neuropsychological testing), depression, and psychosis (according to ICD-10 classification). One predominant symptom was defined according to the patient’s/carer’s statement or investigator’s impression. All patients had given informed consent for molecular genetic analysis. This study has been approved by the ethics committee of the Ruhr-University (#1457/2000).

CAG repeat lengths were determined after PCR amplification of genomic DNA from peripheral white blood cells. CAG repeats were amplified by established methods.18,19 For ApoE restriction isotyping, flanking primers ApoE F (5′-GGGCCCACGCGCTGTTCAAGGA-3′) and ApoE R (5′-GGGGCGCCCGCTGTACAC-3′) were used to amplify part of the ApoE gene containing amino acid positions 112 and 158. PCR reactions were performed in a volume of 10 μl containing 200 ng DNA, 4 pmol of each oligonucleotide, 0.2 mmol each of dATP, dGTP, dTTP, and dCTP, 1 μl of [γ-32P]dCTP (3000 mCi/mmol), 3 mM MgCl2, 0.5 μl
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formamide, 1 U Taq polymerase, and 1 μl of PCR buffer without MgCl₂. The reaction mixture was subjected to 30 cycles of amplification by primer annealing (61°C for 1 min), extension (72°C for 1 min), and denaturation (94°C for 1 min). Then, 2.5 U of Hin61 (MBI Fermentas, St Leon-Rot, Germany) were added in a volume of 20 μl containing 3 μl of 10×Yellow buffer (MBI) and 3 μl of 10×bovine serum albumin to each reaction mixture. After incubation for 3 h at 37°C, restriction fragments were separated on 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels and visualised by autoradiography.

Statistical analyses included t tests and χ² and non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney). Spearman’s ρ was used for correlation analysis. In order to exclude any possible interference by the number of CAG repeats on our analyses, we also performed multivariate regression analysis for an exponential model. The influence of parameters that otherwise could possibly cause bias (for example, age, sex) was excluded by conducting separate analyses (correlation and discriminant analyses).

RESULTS

HD symptoms in individuals carrying expanded CAG repeat blocks

Of our DNA test cohort (carrying 41–45 CAG repeats) 13 presymptomatic counselees had asked for predictive testing and were excluded from further investigations. A total of 154 patients showed symptoms of HD. Two pairs of these patients were related and, therefore, one of each pair was excluded randomly. Another excluded patient with two expanded HD alleles (43 and 37 CAGs) did not show additional clinical phenomena compared to those with the commonly observed expansion in one single huntingtin allele. Nearly all the remaining 151 (71 female, 80 male) patients (97.4%) shared symptoms of chorea, with 51.0% suffering from choreic movements as the predominant clinical feature. Of the patients 29.8% (2.6% as lead symptom) presented other movement disturbances such as dystonia, tremor, myoclonus, or distinct akinesia, while 64.2% of all patients suffered from symptoms of depression, for example mood and sleep disturbance. Major depression according to ICD-10 was present as the main symptom in 20.5% of the patients, while 27.8% suffered from signs of psychiatric development with delusions or compulsive behaviour. In 7.3% severe psychosis with illusions and hallucinations fulfilling ICD-10 criteria was the main symptom. Dementia was found in 83.8% of all patients (in 18.6% as the predominant symptom). Concerning CAG block lengths, there were no remarkable differences in the predominant symptoms among the 151 patients. All symptoms such as choreic movements, akinesia, depression, dementia, or psychosis occurred with similar frequencies in the groups with different CAG lengths (table 1).

Age of onset of HD patients

Mean (SD) age of onset of motor symptoms was 47.51 (8.83) years, while first psychiatric abnormalities were found at the age (SD) of 45.87 (8.97) years. For 30 of the 151 patients it was impossible to evaluate the onset of initial psychiatric abnormalities. In 121 patients with both sets of data for onset of motor symptoms (47.00 (9.12) years) and psychiatric abnormalities (45.76 (9.14) years), there was a significantly earlier onset of psychiatric symptoms (p = 0.001) as estimated by the two tailed t test. Using Spearman’s ρ, there was a significant negative correlation between CAG size and age of motor (r = -0.522, p<0.0005) as well as psychiatric onset (r = -0.445, p<0.0005; fig 1).

Age of onset and sex

Age of onset (SD) for first motor symptoms in males was 47.04 (8.29) years (n = 80) and in females 48.00 (9.50) years (n = 71). Initial psychiatric abnormalities in males were

### Table 1 Predominant symptoms in 151 HD patients according to length of CAG block

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAG block length</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>41 (n = 20)</th>
<th>42 (n = 38)</th>
<th>43 (n = 43)</th>
<th>44 (n = 35)</th>
<th>45 (n = 15)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choreic movements</td>
<td>11 (55.0%)</td>
<td>15 (39.5%)</td>
<td>22 (51.1%)</td>
<td>18 (51.4%)</td>
<td>11 (73.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>3 (15.0%)</td>
<td>7 (18.4%)</td>
<td>12 (27.9%)</td>
<td>7 (20.0%)</td>
<td>2 (13.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>5 (25.0%)</td>
<td>11 (28.9%)</td>
<td>3 (7.0%)</td>
<td>7 (20.0%)</td>
<td>2 (13.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychosis</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>3 (7.9%)</td>
<td>6 (14.0%)</td>
<td>2 (5.7%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other movement disorders</td>
<td>1 (5.0%)</td>
<td>2 (5.3%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>1 (2.9%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
reported at 45.47 (9.17) years of age (no data for 12 patients) and in females at 46.13 (9.17) years of age (data lacking for 18 patients). Spearman’s ρ revealed a significant negative correlation between CAG block length and age of onset of first motor symptoms in male (ρ = −0.507, p < 0.0005) and female patients (ρ = −0.533, p < 0.0005) as well as between CAG range and first psychiatric abnormalities in male (ρ = −0.455, p < 0.0005) and female patients (ρ = −0.429, p < 0.001; fig 2). There was no significant difference in age of onset between male and female HD patients in any comparison (t test). In addition, there were no significant differences regarding CAG repeat lengths between males and females (Mann-Whitney).

Transmission
In 40 HD cases it was possible to evaluate the age of onset of the patients’ parents (mean (SD) age for motor symptoms 48.35 (8.15) years). Focussing on maternal and paternal transmission in these 40 patients, the age of onset of first motor symptoms was 3.96 years earlier in the case of maternal transmission (48.42 (7.89) years; n = 28, p = 0.027), but 4.84 years earlier in the case of paternal transmission (44.36 (6.38) years; n = 12, p = 0.119). After performing two tailed t test for paired data, there was no significant difference between paternal and maternal transmission for motor symptoms. Data were not available for psychiatric onset in parents.

ApoE and age of onset
No statistically relevant difference was detectable either in motor or in psychiatric age of onset between the different ApoE genotypes (table 2). Combining all patients with ε4 alleles into one group, the mean (SD) age of onset of motor and psychiatric symptoms was 45.71 (9.29) years (n = 41) and 44.69 (8.21) years (n = 32), respectively. In six patients it was not possible to determine a genotype for ApoE due to technical reasons. In order to exclude possible interference by the number of CAG repeats in our analysis, we performed multivariate regression analysis using the age of onset as a dependent variable and ApoE genotype and CAG repeat length as independent variables. There was no independent correlation for the ApoE genotypes and age of onset of first motor symptoms in any comparison (ε2ε3 v ε3ε3: p = 0.623; ε3ε3 v ε3ε4: p = 0.553; ε3ε3 v combination of ε3ε4 and ε4ε4: p = 0.458). No regression analysis with the subgroup ε4ε4 was performed because of the low number of patients (n = 3). Also, there was no independent correlation for the ApoE genotypes and age of onset of psychiatric symptoms in any comparison. After fitting an exponential model for the dependence between CAG repeat number and age of onset, we could not identify significant differences in age of onset for motor and psychiatric symptoms. Distribution of the ApoE alleles was 0.0759 for ε2, 0.7724 for ε3, and 0.1517 for ε4.

ApoE genotypes and sex
There was no independent correlation for the ApoE genotypes and age of onset of motor signs in any comparison for male (ε2ε3 v ε3ε3: p = 0.543; ε3ε3 v ε3ε4: p = 0.222; ε3ε3 v combination of ε3ε4 and ε4ε4: p = 0.318) or female patients (ε2ε3 v ε3ε3: p = 0.855; ε3ε3 v ε3ε4: p = 0.745; ε3ε3 v combination of ε3ε4 and ε4ε4: p = 0.949). Also, no significant difference in age of onset for first psychiatric abnormalities was found.

Significant differences between sexes were absent in age of onset of motor and psychiatric symptoms within the different ApoE genotypes after excluding the influence of CAG repeat lengths (analysis of variance, CAG repeat length

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Age of onset of symptoms (motor/psychiatric) in patients according to ApoE genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>ε2ε3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (SD) motor symptoms (all patients)</td>
<td>48.23 (6.80) (n = 22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (SD) psychiatric abnormalities (all patients)</td>
<td>46.64 (7.00) (n = 14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of patients. Values are given in years.
as covariate). In particular, there was no significant sex difference in age of onset for first motor symptoms (p = 0.815) and first psychiatric abnormalities (p = 0.426) within the ApoE genotypes ε2ε3 after excluding the influence of CAG repeat length (table 3; t test).

ApoE genotypes and clinical symptoms

Differentiating the main psychiatric symptoms (dementia and depression) according to ApoE genotypes, there was no statistically relevant difference (χ²: 2 × 2 table; separate test for each ApoE genotype for example ε3ε4 vs not ε3ε4, p values between 0.12 and 0.70 before Bonferroni adjustment).

Concerning dementia as the main symptom we found 19 patients (23.2%) with ε3ε3, but only four patients (10.5%) with the ε3ε4 genotype (table 4). This difference is not significant. Combining all genotypes containing the ε4 allele, we diagnosed dementia in 9.8%, psychosis in 7.3%, depression in 26.8%, choreic movements in 53.6%, and other movement disturbances in 2.4%. These results, however, are not significant.

CONCLUSION

In HD the age of onset is known to be negatively correlated with the lengths of the expanded CAG blocks in the huntingtin gene. This variation in CAG block length may explain some of the variation in age of onset. Yet, particularly in those cases with lower CAG repeat numbers, there is a high variance in age of onset. Therefore, other genetic variables have been assumed. In order to investigate these genetic influences, we assembled a homogenous cohort of patients harbouring expansions of between 41 and 45 CAG repeats. Remarkably, we found a significantly negative correlation even in this narrow range of repeat lengths between block length and age of onset for motor symptoms as well as for psychiatric abnormalities. This correlation persisted even after subdividing this cohort by sex.

In our cohort, first psychiatric abnormalities appeared approximately 1.3 years earlier than motor symptoms, thus confirming the findings of other authors. However, in general the diagnosis of first psychiatric signs due to HD appears less certain than the diagnosis of motor onset because of the occurrence of unspecific or reactive abnormalities in patients and persons at risk from HD families. The diagnosis of psychiatric onset, therefore, might be less important for the investigation of parameters of influence in the disease.

The ε4 allele of the ApoE genotype has been reported to delay the age of onset of patients with HD and to exert gender specific effects upon an earlier age of onset due to the ε2ε3 genotype in males with HD. Effects of the ApoE genotypes were not described in other studies. In the former investigation information is lacking about whether patients were related. The studies revealing delayed age of onset in HD were designed to rule out the influence of genetic variables other than CAG repeats. A broad range of CAG length had been investigated in smaller cohorts. In order to diminish the influence of CAG repeat length we studied the effect of the ApoE genotypes in 145 patients. Comparing the data of our cohort to data of healthy controls from the literature there was no relevant difference in distribution of the ApoE alleles. In particular to exclude a bias the frequency of the ε4 allele was distributed equally compared to normal controls. By using the same statistical methods as described in the abovementioned reports, we cannot confirm any relevant effect of the ε4 allele or of the ε2ε3 genotype. Moreover, we did not find any gender specific effect for ApoE after stratifying our cohort by sex. On the contrary, the mean age of onset in our cohort was even slightly earlier in patients with ε4 genotypes as compared to ε3ε3, both for motor as well as for psychiatric onset. The negative effects of the ε4 allele concerning age of onset have also been described in other neurodegenerative diseases, especially AD. Therefore, our data are more consistent with the common concept of the influence of ApoE on neurodegeneration.

Possible explanations for the differences between our findings and those of the other groups are: (1) other authors used a linear model for statistical analysis. We presume that, especially in higher CAG length ranges, the use of exponential statistical models is more appropriate. This assumption is supported by recent results, that is, that neurodegeneration follows exponential rather than linear decline in animal models and in man. Our results did not reveal any statistical significance either in linear or in exponential models; (2) longer CAG blocks induce different pathomechanism(s) and cellular interaction patterns with ApoE than the lower ranges. Such an interpretation, however, would fail to explain the findings in the larger study involving a broad range of CAG lengths and using logarithmic transformation, without revealing any effect of ApoE genotypes on age of onset in HD.

There was no significant clinical difference regarding the main psychiatric symptoms of the patients after genotyping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Age of onset of motor/psychiatric symptoms according to ApoE genotype and sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genotype</td>
<td>ε2ε3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (SD), motor symptoms (male patients)</td>
<td>48.54 (6.06) (n = 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (SD), motor symptoms (female patients)</td>
<td>47.78 (8.12) (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset (SD), psychiatric symptoms (male patients)</td>
<td>44.20 (8.96) (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of patients. Values are given in years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Main symptoms of patients according to ApoE genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ApoE allele</td>
<td>Dementia, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0203</td>
<td>5 (22.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0303</td>
<td>19 (23.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0304</td>
<td>4 (10.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0404</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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for ApoE. In our cohort, patients with dementia as the main symptom appear less frequently in the e4 group than in the other subgroups. Although this finding is not significant, different pathomechanisms may be relevant in HD and in AD. We cannot assume that ApoE genotypes have a similar influence on the development of dementia as in AD. Thus we conclude, that ApoE is not a modifying factor for age of onset in HD.
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