Latitudinal variation in the prevalence of multiple sclerosis in Ireland, an effect of genetic diversity
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Background: Northern Ireland has a high and rising prevalence rate of multiple sclerosis (MS). The most recent survey in 1996 found a rate of 168.7/100 000. Recorded prevalence rates for the south of Ireland, including County Wexford, have been markedly lower and seemed to suggest the existence of a prevalence gradient within the island.

Objectives: To compare the prevalence of multiple sclerosis in Co. Wexford in the south east of Ireland and Co. Donegal in the north west, and to establish whether a variation in prevalence of MS exists within Ireland.

Methods: Patients were referred from multiple sources. Review of clinical case records and/or patient examination confirmed the diagnosis.

Results: In Co. Wexford, 126 patients were found to have clinically definite or probable multiple sclerosis with a prevalence rate of 120.7/100 000 (95% confidence intervals (CI) 100.6 to 143.8), which is similar to other areas of similar latitude within the British Isles. In Co. Donegal, 240 people had clinically definite or probable MS with a prevalence rate of 184.6/100 000 (95% CI 162.0 to 209.5). The difference in prevalence rates is statistically significant (Z = 3.94, p = <0.001).

Conclusion: There is a latitudinal variation in the prevalence rate of MS between the north and the south of Ireland. The increased prevalence of MS seen in Co. Wexford is likely to represent better case ascertainment and improved diagnostic accuracy rather than an actual increase in prevalence. The north/south variation in prevalence may represent a variation in the genetic predisposition to MS between the background populations of the two counties.

METHODS

Study areas
Co. Wexford is a maritime and farming county in the south east of Ireland lying between 52°20' and 52°44' latitude north with a land area of 1454 km² and a population of 104 372. Co. Donegal is located on the Atlantic coast in the north west of Ireland. It lies between 54°80' and 53°43' latitude north (fig 1), and has a land area of 1876 km² and a population of 129 994. Both counties have a general teaching hospital located in the main county town, and neurological services are provided by the teaching hospitals in Dublin.

Case ascertainment
Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ethics committee of St. Vincent’s University Hospital, Dublin.

Patients with the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis were ascertained from the following sources: general practitioners in Co. Wexford and Co. Donegal, consultant neurologists throughout Ireland, and county physicians in Wexford and Donegal; hospital coding lists, the local and national MS societies, respite care facilities, and interferon prescription lists.

Definition of prevalence
Individuals were considered prevalent if they had clinically definite or probable MS as defined by the Poser diagnostic criteria.
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Criteria and were resident within the county borders on 1 January 2001.

### Patient assessment methods

All prevalent cases were invited to interview in Wexford General Hospital or Letterkenny General Hospital, Donegal. If this was not possible, a home visit was arranged. At interview, all cases had their demographic information recorded and historical notes assessed. The diagnosis of MS was confirmed by review of clinical case records and neurological examination. The Kurtzke Expanded Disability Status Scale and the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite scores were recorded.

If a general practitioner felt it was inappropriate to supply a patient’s name or contact details he or she was requested to provide sufficient information to ensure the case had not already been ascertained and to confirm the diagnosis.

### Statistical methods

Ninety-five percent confidence intervals (CI) were calculated using a standard formula. The prevalence rates for Co. Wexford and Co. Donegal were compared using a Z value, where Z is a standard normal deviate. The correlation between Wexford, Donegal, and other studies within the British Isles compared with latitude was assessed using Spearman’s rank coefficient (r). A two source capture–recapture method was used as a measure of the likely number of missed cases. Survey completeness or “coverage” was expressed as a percentage of observed over expected cases. Standardised prevalence rates were calculated using the population of Northern Ireland from the 1996 study by McDonnell and Hawkins to allow direct comparison.

### RESULTS

#### County Wexford

The total number of cases ascertained from all sources was 161; 96 of these were referred from multiple sources. The number referred from each source included 46 from general practitioners, 51 from consultant neurologists, 94 from general physicians and hospital coding lists, and 33 from the MS society. Respite facilities and interferon prescription lists accounted for a further 27 referrals. Neither of these two latter sources contributed any unique cases. Twenty two patients were excluded from the study because the diagnosis was incorrect (7), or the patient was not resident within the study area (8) or was deceased prior to our defined prevalence day (7). Of the remaining patients 126 had clinically definite or probable MS, resulting in a prevalence rate for MS in Co. Wexford of 120.7/100 000 (95% CI 100.6 to 143.8). Age/sex specific prevalence rates for the county are displayed in table 1. The highest prevalence rates for men and women were in the 45–54 year age range. A further 13 cases had clinically possible disease. Applying two source capture–recapture adjustments to our data indicated that the likelyhood estimate of missed cases for each source was between 4 (consultant physicians, neurologists and hospital coding lists) and 12 (general practitioners) missed cases. The range of adjusted prevalence rates when the estimated number of missed cases are included is 124.6–132.2/100 000. The estimated coverage of the study is 91.3–96.9%. Disease characteristics for the prevalent population are given in table 3.

#### County Donegal

The total number of cases ascertained from all sources was 280; 128 were referred from multiple sources. The number referred from each source included 96 from general practitioners, 76 from consultant neurologists, general physicians and hospital coding lists, and 174 from the MS society. Respite facilities and interferon prescription lists accounted for a further 30 referrals; once again, neither of these two sources contributed any unique cases. Thirty one patients

---

**Table 1**: Prevalence of MS in Counties Wexford and Donegal per 100 000 population by age and sex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age range (years)</th>
<th>Co. Wexford</th>
<th>Co. Donegal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–24</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–34</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35–44</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–54</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55–64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–74</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
were excluded from the study because the diagnosis was incorrect (11), or the patient was not resident within the study area (5) or was deceased prior to our defined prevalence day (15). Of the remaining 249 cases, 240 had clinically definite or probable disease, resulting in a prevalence rate for MS in Co. Donegal of 184.6/100 000 (95% CI 162.0 to 209.5). Age/sex specific prevalence rates are given in table 1. A further nine cases had clinically possible MS, resulting in a prevalence rate of 191.5/100 000 (95% CI 162.0 to 209.5). Applying two source capture–recapture adjustments to our data indicated that the likely number of missed cases was 13 (general practitioners) to 27 (consultant physicians, neurologists and hospital coding lists). Including missed cases adjusted the prevalence rate to 198.5/100 000 (95% CI 174.8 to 224.0).

Comparison between the two counties

The prevalence rate for Co. Wexford was significantly lower than that for Co. Donegal (Z = 3.94, p = <0.001). The difference in the prevalence rates is 63.9/100 000 (95% CI 49.3 to 82.7).

Age standardisation of the prevalence rate for both Co. Wexford and Co. Donegal against the population from the 1996 study in the north east of Ireland3 adjusted the prevalence rates to 121.2/100 000 for Co. Wexford and 194.6/100 000 for Co. Donegal, further strengthening the north/south gradient.

**DISCUSSION**

This study confirms the presence of a prevalence difference for MS between the north west and south east of Ireland. The incidence rates for the two counties studied are surprisingly similar, given the large variation in prevalence rates. This study is underpowered to perform a direct comparison of incidence rates. Difficulties in the accuracy of recording onset of first symptom, especially when only patient recall was available, may explain the lower than expected incidence rate for Co. Donegal.

The two source capture–recapture methods indicate excellent coverage (90%–94%) of the populations in both counties. The estimated number of missed cases compares favourably to many recent British studies in which the likely number of missed cases has ranged from 0 to 125 and percentage coverage varied from 78.2% to 99.6%.22 In the 1996 Northern Ireland study, the likely number of missed cases was 18

**Table 2** Two source capture–recapture adjustments applied to the main sources of case ascertainment (general practitioners, hospital coding lists/physicians/neurologists, and the MS society) by county.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Co. Wexford</th>
<th>Co. Donegal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GPs</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique to source (b)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common to all sources (a)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not in source (c)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unobserved (bc/a)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage (%)</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>96.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ascertainment adjusted prevalence (per 100 000)</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>124.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Disease characteristics for the prevalent population for both Co. Wexford and Co. Donegal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease characteristic</th>
<th>Co. Wexford</th>
<th>Co. Donegal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average age, years (range)</td>
<td>47 (19–73)</td>
<td>48.9 (24–78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at onset, years</td>
<td>33.4 (14–57)</td>
<td>32.8 (14–56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average duration of MS, years</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female : male ratio</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annualized incidence rate/100 000/year (95% CI)</td>
<td>4.47 (2.07 to 8.67)</td>
<td>5.12 (2.95 to 7.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical course (%)</td>
<td>Primary progressive</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary progressive</td>
<td>38.6</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Kurtzke EDSS score (range)</td>
<td>4.36 (0–9.5)</td>
<td>4.78 (0–6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean MSFC – Z score (range)</td>
<td>–1.28 (–6.55 to –0.77)</td>
<td>–1.28 (–6.55 to –0.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calculated using the task force database control.

EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale; MSFC, Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite.

(coverage 94%) resulting in an adjusted prevalence rate of 180.1/100 000.14

The prevalence rate from Co. Wexford was similar to rates from areas of similar latitude within the British Isles (fig 2). In 1993, a study in the Cambridge district of East Anglia, the first such population based study in the southeast of England, found a prevalence rate of 130/100 00016 (updated in 1996 to 152/100 000).26 and a similar study in north Cambridgeshire reported a prevalence rate of MS of 119/100 000.27 South east Wales had a prevalence rate for MS of 120/100 000 in 1990.28 A study conducted in Suffolk in 1988 produced the highest figure for the prevalence of MS in England of 153/100 000 clinically probable or definite cases of MS.29 This study was based on general practitioner ascertainment. Thus, the evidence is that there is a latitudinal gradient within Ireland. The existence of a latitudinal gradient in the prevalence of MS for the British Isles (fig 2).

Wexford has been surveyed on two previous occasions.7 8 Our prevalence figure is much higher than either of the previously reported figures, with no overlapping of the confidence intervals. The most recent study in 1984 by Hutchinson employed the diagnostic criteria of McDonald and Halliday,23 making direct comparison with the current study difficult. In that study, all patients were also individually examined, but 40% were deemed as having benign disease. A bias against the more severely disabled may have accounted for the lower prevalence rate. It is our opinion that the increase in prevalence rates is likely to represent better case ascertainment in the current study. Prolonged patient survival, improved diagnostic accuracy, and earlier diagnosis with the widespread use of MRI will also have contributed to the higher prevalence rate.

The revised prevalence rate for Co. Wexford is significantly lower than the rate from the 1996 survey of northeast Ireland and the study performed simultaneously on Co. Donegal, despite employing similar methods of case ascertainment. Thus, the evidence is that there is a latitudinal gradient within Ireland. The existence of a latitudinal gradient within England has been challenged by the prevalence rates published from several well conducted surveys over the past 20 years.19–21 24–28 Closer analysis of methodology, diagnostic criteria used, and the inclusion of possible missed cases have all tended to bring most prevalence rates within England and Wales to a similar level.11 29 However, the increased prevalence of MS in Scotland compared with England and Wales remains.10 This is likely to represent a differing rate of MS susceptibility gene prevalence, in particular HLA DR15 (formerly HLA DR2) in the background populations. HLA DR15 is known to be more prevalent in the Scottish population compared with the south of England.9 The population in the north of Ireland has close historical and cultural links with the Scots, both deriving from Gaelic ancestry, whereas that of Wexford and the south east of Ireland has a strong Norman and English influence. The postulated background genetic north/south differences probably relate to population movements such as the 17th century settlement of Ulster by people from the Scottish lowlands and the 13th century Norman invasion of the south east of Ireland, and they also correspond to well recognised trade and migration routes between Ireland and mainland Britain. A crude test of this hypothesis is to measure the prevalence of surnames beginning with “Mc” or “Mac” as surrogate markers of northern European (Scots/Nordic) ancestry, a method previously employed in other studies.11–14 In the Co. Wexford telephone directory there are 670/100 000 such surnames, whereas in Co. Donegal in the northwest of Ireland there are 6174/100 000. We hypothesise therefore that the variation in the prevalence of MS within the island of Ireland is due to differences in the prevalence of MS susceptibility genes in the background populations of the two regions rather than a true latitudinal/environmental factor, a theory supported by the reduction in prevalence rate differences at varying latitudes with the application of age standardisation to previously reported studies.30 A study of the HLA status of the background populations in both Co. Wexford and Co. Donegal is currently in progress to test this hypothesis.
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