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INTRODUCTION

Primary dystonia is one of the most prevalent movement disorders with a minimum prevalence of 98 per 100 000 according to a recent population based survey. Cervical dystonia (CD) and blepharospasm (BSP) are the most common forms and account for about 75% of cases with primary focal dystonia. Patients with craniocervical dystonia face a lifetime of chronic visible disability and previous studies have demonstrated impaired health related quality of life (HR-QoL) in patients with CD and BSP. The generic HR-QoL measures used in these studies showed moderate to high correlations with those SF-36 subscales measuring similar aspects (Pearson’s correlation r = 0.50–0.73; p < 0.001, each). Sensitivity to change was confirmed by highly significant improvements of all CDQ-24 subscores in the de novo patients from baseline to four week follow up. One year follow up data revealed a stable improvement.

METHODS

A three stage strategy was used to develop and test the 24-item Cranio-Cervical Dystonia Questionnaire (CDQ-24) according to Peto et al. Stage I: Item generation

Exploratory semi-structured interviews were carried out with 20 patients (CD, n = 10; BSP, n = 10) by a neuropsychologist (TB). Patients were asked to indicate relevant aspects of life adversely affected by dystonia. Based on these data, a team of neurologists and psychologists developed a preliminary 29-item questionnaire.

Stage II: Item reduction and scale generation

The preliminary 29-item questionnaire was administered to 203 consecutive patients (mean (SD) age 56 (12), 36% male) with CD (56%) and BSP (44%) from Austrian dystonia and botulinum toxin outpatient clinics (see appendix 1). For scale generation, a combination of exploratory factor analysis (principal components method with varimax rotation) and cluster analysis was applied. As the factor analysis solutions studied (4, 5, and 6 factors, as suggested by the ‘scree’ criterion) gave rise to a very large first factor, cluster analysis (K-means item clustering) was employed to arrive at a more meaningful and tractable set of subscales. This
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resulted in a scale with five domains: stigma (nine items), emotional wellbeing (five items), pain (three items), activities of daily living (ADL; seven items), and social/family life (four items)—one item did not match with any of the five domains. Internal consistency was satisfactory for all preliminary subscales (ranging from 0.77 to 0.89, table 2). Test–retest reliability was generally high; however, a considerable floor effect was only observed in one domain (social/family life). The convergent validity of the CDQ-24 with the SF-36 was assessed in all 231 patients by investigating Pearson’s correlation matrix CDQ-24 × SF-36. We hypothesised that correlations between corresponding subscales of the two instruments would be at least moderately high (r 0.5–0.7), while correlations between not well matching pairs of subscales would be lower. Discriminant validity was assessed by relating CDQ-24 subscales to clinical scores—for example, Tsui score. Due to the ordinal structure of these variables Spearman’s rank correlations were used. In order to check the dimensional structure of the CDQ-24 obtained in the item reduction and scale generation stage (II), a factor analysis (principal components method, varimax rotation) was performed.

The validity and reliability of the CDQ-24 was assessed in a second study of 231 consecutive patients with cranial and cervical dystonia (table 1) different from the patients assessed in Stage II. This second survey included the CDQ-24, a generic QoL instrument (Short Form-36 Health Survey, SF-36) and clinical rating scales for CD and BSP. The severity of CD was assessed by the Tsui scale, which measures the severity and duration of head deviation, shoulder elevation, and head tremor/jerks. In addition, neck pain was rated on a four point scale (absent, mild, moderate, severe). The severity of BSP was assessed by the treating physician on a global impression scale (0–4).

Construct validity
The convergent validity of the CDQ-24 with the SF-36 was assessed in all 231 patients by investigating Pearson’s correlation matrix CDQ-24 × SF-36. We hypothesised that correlations between corresponding subscales of the two instruments would be at least moderately high (r 0.5–0.7), while correlations between not well matching pairs of subscales would be lower. Discriminant validity was assessed by relating CDQ-24 subscales to clinical scores—for example, Tsui score. Due to the ordinal structure of these variables Spearman’s rank correlations were used. In order to check the dimensional structure of the CDQ-24 obtained in the item reduction and scale generation stage (II), a factor analysis (principal components method, varimax rotation) was performed.

Sensitivity to change (four weeks and one year data)
Sensitivity to change was analysed in all de novo patients four weeks following the first botulinum toxin (BTX) injection by determining the effect size of the change between baseline and post-treatment CDQ-24 scores, defined as the difference of baseline and post-treatment means divided by the standard deviation at baseline. In addition, sensitivity to change was assessed after one year of repetitive three monthly BTX injections in all de novo patients.

Results
A total of 231 consecutive patients with CD (60%) and BSP (40%) were included in the third phase of the study (Stage III). Patient characteristics are shown in table 1. Except for age, there were no significant differences in patient characteristics between CD and BSP. Fifty one patients with cranio cervical dystonia (22%) were newly diagnosed (de novo) and had never received BTX treatment before.

Descriptive statistics
Descriptive statistics of the CDQ-24 subscales assessed at baseline are displayed in table 2, both for the total sample and for CD and BSP separately. Patients with BSP showed better HR-QoL (lower scores) in the subscales for “pain” (p<0.001) and “stigma” (p = 0.01) compared with patients with CD. There were no relevant ceiling effects and a considerable floor effect was only observed in one domain (social/family life).

Reliability properties
Internal consistency reliability was satisfactory for all subscales, with values of Cronbach’s α ranging from 0.77 to 0.89 (table 2). Test–retest reliability was generally high;
one year follow up data for 47 of the 51 (92%) de novo patients are shown in fig 1. The largest treatment effect was observed in the “stigma” subscale with an effect size of 0.72 at four week follow-up. Patients with CD improved in all CDQ-24 subscales following BTX treatment while those with cranial dystonia showed a significant improvement in all but one subscale (pain). One year follow up data revealed a stable improvement with significantly improved CDQ-24 total scores compared with baseline and to the first follow up at four weeks (p<0.001, each; see fig 1). A weak correlation was identified between changes in the physicians’ rating scale and the CDQ-24 total score in BSP (r = 0.3, p = 0.1) four weeks post-BTX. Otherwise, none of the changes of CDQ-24 scores were related to changes in physicians’ ratings following treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

The CDQ-24 was developed as a disease specific QoL questionnaire that addresses the perceptions and concerns of patients with cranio-cervical dystonia including CD and BSP. Our results indicate that the CDQ-24 meets important clinimetric requirements such as reliability, validity, and sensitivity to change. We therefore propose its use in clinical trials as well as in daily clinical practice.

The content of the questionnaire addresses the special concerns of patients with cranio-cervical dystonia and includes all the relevant determinants of QoL in people with CD as recently established by the Epidemiological Study of Dystonia in Europe (ESDE) collaborative group. Convergent validity was assessed in a large sample of 231 consecutive patients with CD and BSP by means of correlations of subscales of the CDQ-24 with those subscales of the SF-36 that measure related experiences. As outlined above, all CDQ-24 subscales demonstrate good convergent validity for patients with cranio-cervical dystonia.

The SF-36 is a generic HR-QoL measure that has undergone considerable amount of testing for reliability and validity. Previous studies have demonstrated impaired HR-QoL in patients with cranio-cervical dystonia using the SF-36. However, these studies indicate that the therapeutic efficacy of BTX therapy is only partially reflected by the SF-36. Apparently, generic scales such as the SF-36 can only provide information on the impact of the disease in relation to published norms. The CDQ-24 on the other hand can be used to evaluate the impact of the disease on areas not covered by generic measures which are of considerable concern to patients with cranio-cervical dystonia.

The CDQ-24 also showed good reliability properties. For both reliability measures used, internal consistency and test-retest reliability, the criteria for good scale performance were satisfied by all subscales of the instrument.

The sensitivity to change of the CDQ-24 was examined in a large group of “de novo” patients with cranio-cervical dystonia who had never received BTX before. These de novo patients were reassessed four weeks and one year following intraclass correlation coefficients reached values of 0.9 or higher for all domains.

**Construct validity**

Analysis for convergent validity showed moderate to high correlations between those CDQ-24 and SF-36 subscales assumed to measure similar aspects. Thus, the CDQ-24 “emotional wellbeing” subscale correlated most strongly with SF-36 “mental health” (Pearson’s correlation coefficient r = 0.70, p<0.001), the CDQ-24 “pain” subscale with the SF-36 “bodily pain” domain (r = 0.73, p<0.001), and the CDQ-24 “social/family life” subscale with SF-36 “social functioning” (r = 0.60, p<0.001). The CDQ-24 subscale on “ADL” showed moderately high correlations (r = 0.50–0.55, p<0.01, each) with those SF-36 subscales addressing physical functioning (including “physical functioning”, “role physical” and “vitality”). The CDQ-24 “stigma” subscale (which has no direct SF-36 equivalent) showed its highest correlation with the SF-36 “social functioning domain” (r = 0.57, p<0.001). The correlations of CDQ-24 subscales with those SF-36 subscales measuring different aspects were consistently lower than those given above, which is in accordance with the hypothesised correlation pattern.

**Discriminant validity**

Patients with CD showed low correlations of the CDQ-24 subscores with the Tsui-score, attaining statistical significance for “ADL” (r = 0.29, p = 0.01), “social/family life” (r = 0.19, p = 0.04), “emotional well-being” (r = 0.18, p = 0.04). Correlations of CDQ-24 subscores with pain ratings were higher and reached statistical significance for all CDQ-24 subscales (r = 0.05, each) and for the CDQ-24 total score (r = 0.48, p<0.001) in CD. In patients with BSP, correlations of the CDQ-24 subscores with the clinical global impression score were also low, attaining statistical significance for two of the five CDQ-24 subscales (ADL r = 0.31, p = 0.003; emotional wellbeing r = 0.21, p = 0.047) and the CDQ-24 total score (r = 0.25, p = 0.019).

**Dimensional structure of the CDQ-24**

A factor analysis performed on all patients who completed the CDQ-24 gave rise to a five factor model according to the eigenvalue criterion (cut-off value 1); this model explained 67.9% of the total variance. With only two exceptions (two items of the ADL subscale) the allocation of all 24 items to the factors—as suggested by their loadings—coincided with the previously defined domain structure of the instrument. The single two items with suboptimal assignment to the ADL subscale showed fairly high side loadings (r = 0.38 and 0.39) on the factor ADL. Overall, a confirmation of the hypothesised domain structure of the instrument was obtained.

**Sensitivity to change (four weeks and one year data)**

The CDQ-24 scores at baseline and four weeks following the first BTX treatment in de novo patients are shown in table 3;
regular BTX treatment and demonstrated highly significant improvements of the CDQ-24 total score at both times (see table 3 and fig 1). The largest effect size was obtained in the stigma subscale. Some or severe perceived stigma is present in the majority of patients with cervical dystonia and was found to severely affect social, private and working lives. Notably, no correlations between changes in the stigma or any other CDQ-24 subscale and changes of clinical rating scales were observed at both follow up investigations (four weeks and 12 months). These results indicate that the CDQ-24 is able to capture changes in patients’ wellbeing which are not reflected in clinical rating scales of dystonia severity. In addition, the present study provides evidence for excellent responsiveness of the CDQ-24 to treatment induced changes, which appears to be superior to generic instruments like the SF-36. In conclusion, the CDQ-24 is an appropriate, validated and useful tool to assess QoL in clinical trials and in daily clinical practice. The CDQ-24 appears to be sensitive to changes that matter to patients but are not the primary focus of clinicians’ assessment which makes the instrument an important addition to clinical outcome measures.
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**APPENDIX 1 CRANIOCERVICAL DYSTONIA QUESTIONNAIRE (CDQ-24)—ENGLISH VERSION**

**BECAUSE OF DYSTONIA, HOW OFTEN HAVE YOU EXPERIENCED THE FOLLOWING IN THE PAST TWO WEEKS**

Please mark one answer per question and try to answer each question.

1. Have you had problems reading or watching TV?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

2. Has it been difficult for you to do things you like to do—for example, leisure activities?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

3. Has it been difficult for you to control the symptoms of Dystonia when you were nervous or under stress?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

4. Have you suffered from pain/a burning sensation in the face, head, or neck region?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

5. Have you avoided situations where many people were present—for example, writing, threading a needle?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

6. Have you avoided situations where many people were present—for example, social events?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

7. Has your Dystonia made you feel uneasy in public?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

8. Have you felt the need to conceal your Dystonia from other people?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

9. Have you worried about how other people react to you?
   - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

10. Have you felt the need to conceal your Dystonia from other people?
    - never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

---

**Table 3** Sensitivity to change four weeks following the first botulinum toxin treatment in de novo patients (n = 51) with cranio-cervical dystonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDQ-24 subscale</th>
<th>Baseline score Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Score at four weeks Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
<th>p value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stigma</td>
<td>44.7 (24.2)</td>
<td>27.3 (19.6)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional wellbeing</td>
<td>39.3 (25.7)</td>
<td>27.2 (22.5)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>39.1 (26.8)</td>
<td>26.3 (23.4)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of daily living</td>
<td>45.5 (24.7)</td>
<td>32.5 (23.3)</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social/family life</td>
<td>21.0 (23.8)</td>
<td>11.4 (15.3)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score all patients</td>
<td>38.9 (19.9)</td>
<td>25.8 (16.7)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial dystonia (n = 20)</td>
<td>41.2 (17.4)</td>
<td>28.3 (17.6)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical dystonia (n = 31)</td>
<td>37.4 (21.5)</td>
<td>24.1 (16.2)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Baseline v 4 weeks: Wilcoxon’s matched-pairs test. SD, standard deviation.
11. Have you worried about your future?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

12. Have you felt afraid?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

13. Have you felt down or depressed?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

14. Have you been sad or on the verge of tears?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

15. Have you felt annoyed or bitter?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

16. Have you felt isolated or lonely because of your Dystonia?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

17. Have you had problems with close friends or your family due to your Dystonia?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

18. Have you felt unsure or tense with new people?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

19. Have you felt down or depressed?
- never/occasionally/sometimes/often/always

20. Have you experienced difficulty as a motorist or pedestrian?
- not at all/slightly/moderately/severely/very severely
  - Employed: yes/no

21. Have you felt hindered by pain/a burning sensation in the face, head or neck region?
- not at all/slightly/moderately/severely/very severely

22. Have you felt you didn’t look so good?
- not at all/slightly/moderately/severely/very severely

23. Has your Dystonia had a negative effect on your family life?
- not at all/slightly/moderately/severely/very severely

24. Has your Dystonia negatively affected the relationship with your partner?
- not at all/slightly/moderately/severely/very severely
  - Partner: yes/no

---
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