White matter hyperintensities are significantly associated with cortical atrophy in Alzheimer’s disease
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**Background and objective:** Methodological variability in the assessment of white matter hyperintensities (WMH) in dementia may explain inconsistent reports of its prevalence and impact on cognition. We used a method of brain MRI segmentation for quantifying both tissue and WMH volumes in Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and examined the association between WMH and structural and cognitive variables.

**Methods:** A consecutive series of 81 patients meeting NINCDS-ADRDA criteria for probable AD was studied. Nineteen healthy volunteers of comparable age served as the control group. Patients had a complete neurological and neuropsychological evaluation, and a three dimensional MRI was obtained. Images were segmented into grey matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid. WMH were edited on segmented images, and lobar assignments were based on Talairach coordinates.

**Results:** Mild and moderate to severe AD patients had significantly more WMH than controls ($p<0.05$). WMH preferentially involved the frontal lobes (70%), were inversely correlated with grey matter cortical volume ($r^2 = 0.23$, $p<0.001$), and were significantly associated with vascular risk factors and with a worse performance on memory tasks.

**Conclusion:** Objective measurements of tissue volumes in AD demonstrated that WMH are significantly related to cortical atrophy and neuropsychological impairment.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

Informed written consent for participation in the study was obtained from patients and caregivers, and from healthy controls. The study was approved by the institutional human subjects committee board.

**AD group**

This group included a consecutive series of 81 outpatients attending the Dementia Clinic of the Raúl Carrea Institute of Neurological Research for evaluation and treatment of progressive cognitive decline. The inclusion criteria were: (a) National Institute of Neurological Communicative Disorders and Stroke and Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria for probable AD; (b) no history of closed head injuries with loss of consciousness, strokes, or other neurological disorder with central nervous system involvement; (c) normal results on laboratory tests (to rule out other aetiologies of dementia); and (d) no focal lesions (such as lacunes) on MRI scan. Based on the CDR and the clinical evaluation, patients were grouped into those with very mild (CDR = 0.5), mild (CDR = 1), and moderate to severe dementia (CDR > 1). Patients were further divided into two subgroups depending upon the volume of WMH: (a) WMH group: WMH volume $2 SD$ above the mean WMH volume of the controls, and (b) non-WMH group: WMH volume $< 2 SD$ above the mean WMH volume of the controls.

**Abbreviations:** AD, Alzheimer’s disease; BNT, Boston Naming Test; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; COWAT, Controlled Oral Word Association Test; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GM, grey matter; MMSE, Mini Mental State Examination; TIV, total intracranial volume; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale; WM, white matter; WMH, white matter hyperintensities
volume less than 1 SD over the mean WMH control volume. Subjects with WMH volumes between 1 and 2 SD above the mean of the healthy controls (n = 13) were excluded from further comparisons.

Healthy control group
This group included 19 healthy elderly individuals, mostly volunteers from the community. Inclusion criteria for the group were the same as for the AD group, but without presence of AD.

Neurological examination
A neurologist blind to neuroimaging findings assessed patients and controls with the following instruments: (a) Mini Mental State Examination (MMSE), an 11 item scale found to be valid and reliable in globally assessing a limited range of cognitive functions; (b) Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR), a global rating device for dementia staging; and (c) Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS), which assesses the presence of parkinsonian signs such as tremor, cogwheel rigidity, and bradykinesia.

Neuropsychological examination
The cognitive evaluation was carried out by a neuropsychologist blind to the neurological and neuroimaging findings, and consisted of the following tests: (a) Token Test, which examines verbal comprehension of sentences of increasing complexity; (b) Boston Naming Test (BNT), which examines the ability to name pictured objects; (c) Controlled Oral Word Association Test (COWAT), which examines access to semantic information with time constraint; and (d) Buschke Selective Reminding Test, a measure of verbal learning and memory during a multiple trial list learning task (total recall, delayed recall, and recognition trials were used as outcome measures).

Magnetic resonance imaging
All MRI data were acquired on a 1.5 Tesla Signa Horizon system (GE, Milwaukee, USA) using a standard circularly polarised head coil. The imaging protocol included the following sequences: (a) multiplanar Flash localiser, (b) coronal 3D T1 weighted gradient echo orthogonal to the anterior–posterior commissure (AC-PC) line (SPGR: repetition time (TR) 24; echo time (TE) 5 ms; flip angle 40°; in plane resolution 0.88 × 1.35 mm; slice thickness 1.5 mm; two acquisitions); and (c) coronal proton density (PD) and T2 weighted fast spin echo oriented parallel to the TI weighted images (TR 3500 ms; TE1 32 ms; TE2 96 ms; echo train length 8; in plane resolution 0.88 × 1.35 mm; slice thickness 3 mm; no gap, one acquisition). Image post-processing was performed on a Silicon Graphics workstation (Mountain View, CA, USA) using the Brain Research: Analysis of Images, Networks and Systems software (BRAINS; Mental Health Clinical Research Center, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics). T1, T2, and PD images were realigned on the coronal plane orthogonal to the axial AC-PC and sagittal interhemispheric planes. Reformatted images resolution was extrapolated to 1 mm³. Images were then automatically included in a modified Talairach space, which divides the brain into 12 subregions (left and right frontal, parietal, temporal, occipital, subcortical area, and cerebellum) (fig 1). The method was validated against manual lobe tracing, yielding comparable results with both techniques. After selection of representative pixels of grey matter (GM), WM, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), images were automatically segmented using a Bayesian method based upon discriminant analysis. Total intracranial volume (TIV) was obtained by manually editing neural net generated traces along the inner margin of the subarachnoid space. At the foramen magnum, the limit of the cranial compartment was defined by the axial plane containing the line that connected the basion with the opisthion.

WMH that appeared as hyperintense signals on PD and T2 images and as hypointense signals on T1 images were...
manually edited (fig 2). For this purpose, polygons surrounding the areas of WMH were traced with a mouse onto reformatted axial T2 images. Sagittal and coronal reformatted images were simultaneously evaluated for better anatomical delineation of WMH regions. BRAINS assigns WMH pixels to GM, which were reclassified after polygon tracing to the category of WMH. In order to examine the correlation between semi-quantitative and quantitative methods of WMH grading, a subset of consecutive images from 10 AD patients were evaluated using the semi-quantitative WMH scoring method of Scheltens et al by a trained radiologist (AM) blinded to the quantitative MRI results. In order to examine the correlation between semi-quantitative and quantitative methods of WMH grading, a subset of consecutive images from 10 AD patients were evaluated using the semi-quantitative WMH scoring method of Scheltens et al by a trained radiologist (AM) blinded to the quantitative MRI results.27 Lobar volumes of different tissue types (GM, WM, WMH, and CSF) were normalised to TIV and expressed as a percentage.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was carried out using means, SDs, Student’s t tests and one way multiple analysis of covariance of age with age as covariable, followed by a post hoc honestly significant difference (unequal n) Tukey test. Data that were distinctly non-normal were analysed with a Kruskall-Wallis test. Outlier values for LA volume were defined as those above the 75th percentile plus 1.5 (75th–25th percentile), or below the 25th percentile minus 1.5 (75th–25th percentile) (Tukey). Correlations were examined with the Pearson moment correlation test and multiple regression analysis. Comparison of frequency distributions of WMH volume was performed with Fischer’s exact test. All p values are two tailed, with significance set at 0.05.

RESULTS
Demographic findings
AD patients with mild or moderate to severe dementia were significantly older than patients with very mild dementia or healthy controls (p<0.05) (table 1). Significant inter-group differences were not found for the remaining demographic variables. WMH volume significantly correlated with age (r = 0.48, p<0.001). The subgroup of AD patients with WMH had a significantly higher frequency of hypertension and diabetes compared with AD patients without WMH (p<0.01).

Correlation between quantitative and semi-quantitative estimates of WMH
A subset of 10 MRIs of AD patients were evaluated with a semi-quantitative WMH scoring method by a trained radiologist (AM). Periventricular and deep WMH were scored on axially reformatted PD and T2 weighted images based on their number and size after a modification of the scoring method of Scheltens et al.27 There was a significant correlation between quantitative and semi-quantitative estimates of global WMH (r = 0.94, p<0.05) and of WMH of the frontal (r = 0.84), parietal (r = 0.76), occipital (r = 0.76), and left temporal (r = 0.83) lobes. Inter-rater reliability of WMH tracing was calculated between two trained operators in a subgroup of eight cases with varying WMH volumes. Intra-class correlation coefficients for left and right hemisphere WMH volumes were 0.97 and 0.94, respectively.

GM, WM, and WMH volumes in the different stages of AD
A one way multiple analysis of covariance using the clinical category (very mild, mild, or moderate to severe AD and healthy controls) as the independent variable, lobar cortical GM volume (frontal, parietal, temporal, and occipital) as the dependent variables, and age as a covariable showed a significant group effect (Wilks’ $\lambda_{12,224} = 0.72$, p<0.001) (table 2). Mild and moderate to severe AD patients had significantly less temporal ($F_{3,95} = 9.08$, p<0.0001), frontal ($F_{3,95} = 6.84$, p<0.0001), and parietal ($F_{3,95} = 3.62$, p<0.05) GM volumes compared with controls. There were no significant inter-group differences for the occipital lobe GM volumes.
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A similar one way multiple analysis of covariance with lobar WM volume as the dependent variable showed a significant group effect (Wilks’ λ12,243 = 0.63, p < 0.0001); all three AD groups had significantly lower temporal (F(3,95) = 10.51, p < 0.00001), frontal (F(3,95) = 8.18, p < 0.0001), parietal (F(3,95) = 11.01, p < 0.00001), and occipital (F(3,95) = 4.06, p < 0.01) WM volumes compared with controls.

The hypothesis of unequal frequency of WMH based on the presence and severity of dementia was statistically substantiated: 13 CDR 1 patients (26%) and 6 CDR 2–3 patients (13%) had significant lower temporal volumes compared with controls.

Correlations between brain tissue volumes

To examine whether WMH are associated with cortical atrophy in AD, we calculated a multiple regression analysis with total cortical volume as the dependent variable, and WMH volume, age, positive history of blood hypertension, and MMSE scores as the independent variables. There was a significant overall correlation (adjusted R² = 0.48, F(2,26) = 37.08, p < 0.001), and the most significant predictors of cortical atrophy were WMH (β = −0.48, R² = 0.23, p < 0.0001), followed by MMSE scores (β = 0.36, R² = 0.13, p < 0.0001). WMH were significantly correlated with cortical GM volume loss in the frontal (r = −0.49, p < 0.001), temporal (r = −0.53, p < 0.0001), parietal (r = −0.42, p < 0.001), and occipital lobes (r = −0.27, p < 0.01). In contrast, WMH were inversely correlated with WM volume in the frontal (r = −0.36, p < 0.001), and parietal lobes (r = −0.39, p < 0.001), but not in the temporal and occipital lobes (fig 3).

Neuropsychological correlates of WMH

A total of 75 individuals were assessed with the complete neuropsychological battery. A stepwise multiple regression analysis with total WMH volume as the dependent variable and the neuropsychological test scores as the independent variables showed an overall significant correlation (adjusted R² = 0.19, F(6,65) = 2.56, p < 0.05). The only variable significantly associated with WMH was the Buschke total recall (R² = 0.14, F(1,70) = 11.22, p < 0.005).

DISCUSSION

We used a semi-automatic and fully quantified method to measure the magnitude and distribution of WMH in AD, and there were several important findings. Firstly, AD patients with mild or moderate to severe dementia had a significantly higher frequency of WMH compared with controls. WMH mainly involved the frontal lobes (70%) followed by the parietal lobes (22%). In AD patients there was a significant association between WMH and vascular risk factors (primarily high blood pressure and diabetes) in the absence of stroke lesions on MRI. Secondly, there was a significant inverse correlation between WMH and cortical GM volume (the larger the WMH, the smaller the cortical GM volume), and this correlation was independent of age, high blood pressure, and cognitive status. Finally, there was a significant correlation between greater WMH volume and worse performance on an anterograde verbal memory task.

There are several limitations to this study. Firstly, the number of patients with ‘very mild’ AD may not have had probable dementia, which may explain the finding of less severe WMH than in patients with mild or moderate to severe dementia.

There are discrepant findings regarding the severity of WMH in AD and its impact on cognitive status. Some studies using semi-quantified WMH estimation reported a higher frequency of periventricular WMH in AD compared with controls, whereas other studies reported increased WMH only in late onset AD, and yet other investigators could not find significant differences in the magnitude of WMH between AD and control groups. More recent investigations using quantified segmentation techniques reported higher WMH volumes in AD vs controls, and in demented patients with v those without lacunes.

The variety of instruments used to rate WMH, different selection criteria for subjects, insufficient sample size, and heterogeneity in imaging protocols may account for these differences. In this
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**Table 1** Demographic findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>Very mild AD</th>
<th>Mild AD</th>
<th>Moderate to severe AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of subjects</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>67.7 (7.8)</td>
<td>68.2 (8.3)</td>
<td>72.8 (7.7)</td>
<td>72.7 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men/women</td>
<td>5/14</td>
<td>13/14</td>
<td>14/25</td>
<td>5/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>28.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>26.6 (2.4)</td>
<td>20.1 (3.7)</td>
<td>13.9 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education, mean (SD)</td>
<td>11.5 (2.7)</td>
<td>11.1 (3.6)</td>
<td>10.2 (5.4)</td>
<td>10.2 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension [n]</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes [n]</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One way analysis of variance: *p<0.05 compared with controls.
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**Table 2** Total brain MRI segmentation and lobar cortical GM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Healthy controls</th>
<th>Very mild AD</th>
<th>Mild AD</th>
<th>Moderate to severe AD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total brain MRI segmentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CGM</td>
<td>41.6 (2.2)</td>
<td>41.2 (2.1)</td>
<td>39.3 (3.0)*</td>
<td>37.2 (2.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>32.9 (3.9)</td>
<td>30.2 (2.9)*</td>
<td>27.3 (3.4)*</td>
<td>26.3 (2.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total CSF</td>
<td>13.2 (5.5)</td>
<td>16.5 (4.1)</td>
<td>21.5 (4.7)*</td>
<td>24.6 (3.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH</td>
<td>2.7 (2.4)</td>
<td>4.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>8.0 (8.5)</td>
<td>10.1 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobar cortical GM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>16.4 (1.2)</td>
<td>16.1 (1.1)</td>
<td>15.2 (1.6)*</td>
<td>14.3 (1.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>10.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>10.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>9.7 (0.8)*</td>
<td>9.1 (0.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>9.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>9.5 (0.7)</td>
<td>9.1 (1.0)</td>
<td>8.6 (0.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>5.1 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>5.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values are expressed as % mean (SD) of TIV. One way multiple analysis of covariance: for all four lobes, cortical GM, p = 0.002.
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Disconnection between cortical and subcortical brain regions due to WMH could account for the cognitive impairment. AD patients with WMH showed reduced frontal lobe perfusion in the absence of overt cortical damage. Furthermore, Sultzer et al reported a significant correlation between cortical hypometabolism and the area of WMH in the anterior periventricular region, suggesting that the former may be due to deafferentation (subcortical–cortical diaschisis). Mielke et al demonstrated that the severity of dementia in patients with pure subcortical infarcts has a stronger relationship with cortical hypometabolism than with the amount of tissue destruction. In a 1H MR spectroscopy study of subcortical vascular dementia, Capizzano et al reported a significant negative correlation between WMH volume and frontal cortical concentration of the neuronal marker NAA, further supporting the inverse correlation between WMH volume and cortical functioning.

In conclusion, this is to our knowledge one of the first studies using a fully quantified method of brain segmentation of GM, WM, and CSF, followed by manual tracing of WMH, and found a significant correlation between WMH volume and semi-quantified ratings, and high inter-rater reliability for WMH volume calculation.

Using a quantified method, we found larger WMH volumes in AD patients compared with controls, with more advanced dementia showing larger WMH. The presence of lacunes or other cerebrovascular lesions has been consistently associated with more severe WMH. The fact that we excluded patients with lacunes may explain the lower frequency of WMH in our AD group compared with other studies. Most WMH were located in the frontal lobes, followed by the parietal lobes, and a minor proportion in temporal and occipital lobes. The difference in extent of WMH volume across lobes partially reflected different lobe sizes, with a higher proportion of WMH over total WM in the frontal and parietal lobes (mean (SD) 4.4 (5.6)% and 3.8 (5.9)%, respectively) compared with temporal and occipital lobes (0.6 (1.1)% and 0.7 (1.9)%, respectively). Penetrating arteries are longest in the WM regions around the frontal horns and head of the caudate nuclei, suggesting that the higher frequency of WM pathology in the frontal lobes is due to chronic ischaemia resulting from sclerosis of penetrating arteries. The association of WMH with high blood pressure suggests a role for arteriolar sclerosis in the development of WMH. Furthermore, neurological and neuropsychiatric evidence of frontal lobe dysfunction was found to be significantly associated with the extent of WMH, supporting the preferential vulnerability of the frontal lobes to this condition.

One of the major findings of this study was that the volume of WMH accounted for 23% of the variance of cortical GM atrophy. In other words, the more severe the WMH, the more severe the cortical atrophy. The question now arising is the direction of this correlation—that is, whether WMH may contribute to cortical atrophy in AD, whether cortical atrophy may produce WMH, or whether both WMH and cortical atrophy are epiphenomena of another unknown factor. In a neuropathological study of AD, Facio et al reported a significant correlation between markers of cortical degeneration (senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles) and severity of periventricular leucoencephalopathy. They proposed that cortical pathology may produce both axonal and myelin degeneration, resulting in increased water content in the periventricular WM. Recent studies proposed that smooth periventricular WMH may be related to loss of myelinated axons in the deep WM and denudation of the ependymal lining. On the other hand, pathological WM changes in AD are not topographically associated with the distribution of cortical pathology, arguing against wallerian degeneration.

Alternatively, WMH may produce secondary atrophy in cortical regions. White matter infarction at WMH sites interrupting the axons of projecting cortical neurons may result in cortical neuronal loss by retrograde degeneration.
and significantly correlated with anterograde verbal memory deficits.
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