Randomised control trial of tongue acupuncture versus sham acupuncture in improving functional outcome in cerebral palsy
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Background: Traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) has been used historically in the treatment of cerebral palsy (CP). We investigated the efficacy of acupuncture in improving the motor function of children with CP.

Methods: A randomised control trial was conducted to assess the effect of tongue acupuncture (TAC) in 33 CP children. The subjects were randomised to treatment (n = 22) with TAC or control (n = 11). Clinical outcome was evaluated using the gross motor function measure (GMFM) and the pediatric evaluation of disability inventory (PEDI).

Results: The mean in control group was significantly greater in the treatment than in the control group (p = 0.042). An improvement in motor function of CP subjects is seen following a short course of acupuncture.

Randomisation process
We use random permuted blocks to maintain a ratio of 2:1. The principle investigator (VW), clinical evaluator (CHK), parents, and their children were blind to the randomisation list and only the acupuncturist (JGS) knew the treatment allocation. The code was broken upon completion of the trial.

Method of tongue acupuncture
Patients allocated to the treatment group received 40 sessions of TAC on a daily basis conducted over 8 weeks. The acupuncture lasted for less than 15 s and no sedation was required. The child was allowed to sit on the mother’s lap with the head tilted 45° upwards and was encouraged to open his/her mouth. Sterile gauze was used to pick up and station the tongue with the acupuncturist’s left hand and quick and accurate insertion into acupuncture points was performed with the right. We used sterile disposable acupuncture needles, 4 cm in length and gauge 30 (0.3 mm) (HWA TO, made in China).

The objective of this study was to assess whether a short course of TAC could improve motor function of CP children.

Abbreviations: CP, cerebral palsy; GMFM, Gross Motor Function Measure; MR, mental retardation; PEDI, Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory; TAC, tongue acupuncture; TCM, traditional Chinese medicine.
acupoints on the lateral surface of the tongue, known as Xian Zuo (the midline between the tip and root of the left lateral surface of the tongue, TAC no 1) and Xian You (the midline between the tip and root of the right lateral surface of the tongue, TAC no 2) and (b) one acupoint at the tongue base, known as Di Men (the midline of tongue base, TAC no 3). Both TAC no 1 and no 2 were punctured obliquely at 45° to a depth of 0.3–0.5 cm and TAC no 3 was punctured perpendicularly to a depth of 0.3–0.5 cm.

Patients in C group received 40 sessions of sham TAC conducted by the same acupuncturist. The acupuncture needle was pressed on but not inserted into non-acupoints of the tongue only. After a wash-out period of 2 weeks, the C group was crossed over to receive a course of TAC on the tongue acupoints.

Outcome assessment
The primary outcome measure was to assess for any change in gross motor function using a standardised scale (Gross Motor Functional Measure or GMFM). The secondary outcome measure assessed for any change in self-care, or social and cognitive function using the Pediatric Evaluation of Disability Index (PEDI). Subsequently, those in the C group were crossed over to receive TAC and were then assessed. Parents were asked to report any adverse effects. Thus, assessment was performed for both the T and C groups in weeks 0 and 9, and for the C group another assessment was performed after completing a course of real acupuncture (that is, week 20).

GMFM
To examine whether GMFM scores had a normal distribution, the mean GMFM score was converted from an ordinal scale of 0–3 to an interval scale by computing the percentage of total scores. In our study, we have compared the mean difference score between the T and C groups using independent sample t tests. We also assessed the assumption of normal distribution by the normal plot method and the Q-Q plot method (fig 1); the difference in mean GMFM score was found to be normally distributed by both methods. The detrended normal Q-Q plot also showed a scattered distribution of the data, signifying that it was not skewed. The mean difference of GMFM conforms to normal distribution; GMFM is a well documented measure of therapeutic effect on gross motor function in CP children.

ROC curve analysis
ROC (receiver operating characteristic) curve analysis demonstrated that the mean difference in GMFM score was dichotomised between the T and C groups (area under the curve (AUC) 0.727, p = 0.037) with the best cut-point at a mean difference of over 1.3 points (sensitivity 90.5%, specificity 45.5%). Analysis showed that 19/21 subjects in the T group improved by more than 1.3 points, compared to 6/11 in the C group. Five subjects in the T group improved from 5.9 to 9.0 points as compared to none in the control group.

Statistical analysis
SPSS version 9.0 for Windows was used for statistical analysis. Categorical data were analysed by the χ² and Fisher exact tests. Inter- and intra-group differences were analysed with independent and paired samples t tests. Significance was defined as being below a two-tailed probability of 0.05.

RESULTS
Treatment group: comparison of GMFM and PEDI scores pre and post TAC
The mean GMFM score increased from 78.82 to 82.99 (p<0.001, paired samples t test). For PEDI, only three scores achieved statistical significance: the mean PEDI self care sub-score increased from 56.24 to 60.71 (p = 0.001), the mean PEDI mobility sub-score increased from 41.50 to 45.05 (p = 0.027), and the mean PEDI self care assistance sub-score increased from 26.48 to 31.33 (p<0.001).

Control group: comparison of GMFM and PEDI scores pre and post sham acupuncture
The mean GMFM score increased from 65.35 to 67.29 after a course of sham TAC (p = 0.022, paired samples t test). No significant change was demonstrated in PEDI score.

Comparison of paired differences of mean GMFM and PEDI scores in both the T and C groups (table 1)
The increase in mean GMFM score was significantly higher for the T than the C group (p = 0.042, independent samples t test). The mean differences in GMFM scores were also statistically significant when analysed with non-parametric tests (p = 0.039, Mann–Whitney U test). For PEDI, only a marginal significantly increase in the PEDI self care sub-score for the T group was seen (p = 0.054).

In the C group after crossing over to TAC treatment, the paired differences (mean (SE)) in GMFM scores (compared with baseline scores) after sham or TAC were 1.94 (0.72) and 4.29 (1.02), respectively (p = 0.008, paired samples t test). However, no significant difference was demonstrated in the paired differences of the PEDI subscores.

All but one child completed the study. A child in the control group withdrew from the trial after crossing over to receive genuine TAC, dropped out after the 25th session of TAC, and did not return for re-evaluation of GMFM scores. However, his parents returned the PEDI for analysis.

Side effects
The TAC procedure was tolerated by most children. Initial crying with fear and possible minor pain occurred in the first few sessions. Subsequently, most patients became adapted and more compliant.

DISCUSSION
This is the first randomised control trial of the acupuncture in CP. We have demonstrated the efficacy of an innovative and relatively non-invasive method in short-term improvement in gross motor function in CP.
In our study, the treatment group showed a significant increase in GMFM, PEDI self care, PEDI mobility, and PEDI self care assistance subscores after 40 sessions of TAC. However, a modest but statistically significant increase in GMFM scores was also found in the control group, which may be related to increased ambulation during the treatment phase. This possible effect of ambulation was eliminated by the relatively greater increase in GMFM scores in the treatment group. Furthermore, children in the control group who subsequently crossed over to receive genuine TAC also demonstrated a significant increase in GMFM scores. Our results thus reflect the beneficial effect of short-term TAC on the gross motor function and self care ability of CP children.

Significant improvement in gross motor function was demonstrated by the GMFM but not the PEDI scale. However, the GMFM and PEDI scales measured different aspects of motor performance. The GMFM is designed to measure the best-ever performance in a particular test situation upon instruction, and is not a measure of the quality of performance the child may manifest in daily life.

In contrast, the PEDI measures the essential functional skills and the capacity to perform complex activities in daily life in the child’s environment. Thus, this could also explain the lack of significant difference in improvement in PEDI scores in both groups.

For the GMFM, none of the subscores’ paired differences were significantly different between the two groups, but that of the total score was significantly different (p = 0.042). Nonetheless, we observed a trend of decreasing p values as we moved up from the GMFM (lying) to GMFM (walking) subscores, while the 95% CI shifted gradually to a positive range (though still including zero) (table 1). This trend might reflect the fact that those CP subjects who could stand or walk at baseline might gain most from TAC, though our small sample size did not have adequate power to demonstrate a statistical difference.

The heterogeneous mix of CP children with different abilities might further “dilute” the true difference. Nevertheless, this pilot study served to guide us in selecting patients to receive acupuncture therapy. Further study may focus on a more homogeneous group of ambulatory CP children whose functional improvement from conventional treatment has already plateaued off.

Acupuncture increased activity in the thalamic nuclei, neocortex, and limbic lobe of the cerebral cortex of rat, and also stimulated the hypothalamus and brainstem, thus influencing the sympathetic nervous system. The clinical efficacy of acupuncture had been postulated to be based on improvement in cerebral blood flow, improvement in brainstem function, or stimulation of the adrenocortical system.

Our pilot randomised control trial of CP children demonstrated short-term functional gain in children with chronic motor dysfunction. Acupuncture has a potential role in improving the functional status of the patient within a short period. This functional gain may then be consolidated by conventional physiotherapy. TAC may thus be used as an adjunctive therapy to anti-spasticity procedures.

More research into the mechanism of neuroplasticity following this “old traditional and alternative” treatment regime is definitely needed. Further studies on the physiological effects of acupuncture in the brain are required. The optimal time, frequency, and dosage of treatment need to be determined, as well as the sustainability of any therapeutic effect after each course of treatment. In the future, randomised control trials of acupuncture courses with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up (if repeated) are required to examine the role of acupuncture as an adjunctive therapy in the integrated management of CP with conventional Western modes of therapy.
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Table 1 Comparison of paired differences (post-acupuncture scores minus baseline scores) in GMFM subscores between treatment and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GMFM subscores</th>
<th>Treatment group, paired difference (SE)</th>
<th>Control group, paired difference (SE)</th>
<th>Mean difference (SE)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lying and rolling</td>
<td>1.51 (0.82)</td>
<td>0.89 (1.03)</td>
<td>0.62 (1.36)</td>
<td>–2.15 to 3.39</td>
<td>0.649</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sitting</td>
<td>2.11 (0.58)</td>
<td>2.26 (0.72)</td>
<td>–0.15 (0.96)</td>
<td>–2.11 to 1.81</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crawling and kneeling</td>
<td>4.49 (1.85)</td>
<td>2.82 (1.15)</td>
<td>1.67 (2.63)</td>
<td>–3.74 to 7.08</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>3.47 (0.94)</td>
<td>1.87 (1.39)</td>
<td>1.59 (1.63)</td>
<td>–1.74 to 4.92</td>
<td>0.336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking, running, and jumping</td>
<td>6.00 (1.55)</td>
<td>1.77 (1.51)</td>
<td>4.23 (2.37)</td>
<td>–0.62 to 9.09</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMFM total score</td>
<td>3.80 (0.51)</td>
<td>1.94 (0.72)</td>
<td>1.86 (0.88)</td>
<td>0.07 to 3.66</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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NEURONLINE
BrainInfo: http://braininfo.rprc.washington.edu

Quoting from the website, “BrainInfo is a website that helps one identify structures in the brain and provides many different kinds of information about each structure. It consists of three basic knowledge bases: Neuro Names, which provides the index to brain structures and narrative information about them; the Template Atlas, which shows the structures that are found in the primate brain; and NeuroMaps, a set of several hundred overlays that will show the location of different kinds of information that have been mapped to the standard background maps (templates) of the Atlas. Information about brain structures in other species, particularly the human, is provided by links to other websites.”

This website has been set up to help negotiate the user through the adult mammalian brain. This is achieved using a series of different selection criteria, such that on entering the area of brain that you are interested in, you can then explore a whole range of different aspects of that structure. This includes its location using a battery of different pictures including radiological and anatomical figures, which are enormously helpful for clinicians and neuroscientists alike given the links to human brain images. Indeed ones neuroanatomical quest can be further expanded to explore the cell types within the area of interest and even the receptors that are expressed on these cells. In addition the site also provides all the different nomenclatures that may be used to describe that structure or parts of it and, finally, you can then link across to PubMed for references related to that structure.

Thus, this website is an extremely powerful resource, but as with many such sites the problem can be in trying to extract the major, significant “bytes” of information. Therefore, most of us would know the major afferent and efferent connections of structures within the CNS, which are useful for our clinical and scientific work, without needing to know the finer details. So, for example, the substantia nigra has a series of well known connections with the striatum, pallidum, superior colliculus, and the thalamus, which allows us to understand crudely its role in basal ganglia function. However, the comprehensive nature of the data presented on this website lists 16 confirmed afferent and 21 efferent projections of the substantia nigra along with a range of further inferred connections! To the novice this is rather overwhelming and baffling, and so to make sense of this one often needs to be educated by less rigorous neuroanatomical accounts that are found in standard neuroscience textbooks.

Nevertheless, this site is very helpful in locating those areas that passed by you during neuroanatomy lectures and practicals, while allowing the specialist to investigate in great detail the brain region and even the cell of interest. All this information is presented in a user friendly fashion with a mass of references for those wanting confirmation of the origin of the data as well as further reading. Indeed, you even have the capacity to add your own comments and with that you can add even more relevant references! Overall this is a very useful website to know about and I have certainly added it to my bookmark list.
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