14-3-3 γ-isoform detection distinguishes sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease from other dementias
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We developed a polyclonal antiserum directed to the γ-isoform of the human 14-3-3 protein and compared the immunoreactivity with a commercially available antibody (CG31). We analysed 14-3-3 in 253 cerebrospinal fluid samples blinded for the diagnosis by western blot and ELISA, with a commonly used polyclonal antiserum (Sc-731) and the γ specific antibodies. Our patient population consisted of 22 patients with definite sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (sCJD) and 201 patients with a different final diagnosis. We obtained similar sensitivity, ranging from 96% to 98% with all antibodies. Of all the samples that were false positive with Sc-731, 50% were negative with both γ-isoform specific antibodies resulting in a significantly higher specificity (85% vs 93%, respectively). If only sCJD and patients with dementia differing from sCJD were analysed we found that 64% of false positives were negative which also resulted in significantly increased specificity and positive predictive value. The γ-isoform specific antibodies strongly improve the specificity of the immuno blot and might improve worldwide acceptance of the use of the 14-3-3 assay in the differential diagnosis of sCJD.

The commonest human prion disease is sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease (sCJD), with an approximate incidence of one patient per million inhabitants per year. In cases of sCJD requires neuropathological study. For ante mortem diagnosis, a positive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) 14-3-3 assay was added to the criteria for “probable” sCJD by the World Health Organization (WHO). Although numerous studies have reported the importance of the 14-3-3 assay in the diagnosis of sCJD, other studies claim it is not sufficiently specific in large populations to be used as a routine diagnostic test. False positive results were obtained in patients with acute or subacute neuronal destruction and in some patients with dementia. False negative results were described mainly in early stages or in sCJD patients with a long disease duration. The interpretation of the assay and the number of false positives continue to be a matter of discussion.

The 14-3-3 protein has a molecule weight of 30 kDa and seven known isoforms (β, ε, γ, η, τ, and ϕ). The isoforms β, ε, γ, and η have been identified in the CSF of patients with sCJD. The aim of this study was to investigate whether antibodies directed specifically against the γ-isoform could improve the differential diagnosis. We analysed the sensitivity and specificity by using the new antibodies in a population of 52 sCJD and 201 control patients in whom a definite diagnosis had previously been made. Next, we investigated whether a quantitative enzyme linked immunoassay (ELISA) would improve our results compared with the subjective western blot technique.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Our laboratory performs the 14-3-3 analyses in CSF for the whole of Belgium. When a clinician suspects sCJD a CSF sample and all relevant clinical data are sent to us. We study the clinical data, carry out the 14-3-3 assay, and discuss the result with the neurologist. Afterwards the clinical status of the patient is followed up. After death or after 6–12 months the clinician reports the final diagnosis, and, if applicable, a neuropathological investigation is done.

Since 1998, we have analysed CSF samples of 393 patients diagnosed as having “possible” sCJD. For 261 (66%) tested patients a final diagnosis could be made using clinical or neuropathological follow up data. We further excluded three patients with a hereditary prion disease and five patients with sCJD who remained “probable” due to lack of neuropathological confirmation. Thus our final study population consisted of 253 patients. In this series three distinct groups of patients could be identified: patients with sCJD (n = 52), patients with dementia differing from sCJD (OD, n = 140), and patients with other neurological disorders (OND, n = 61) (table 1).

The standard 14-3-3 assay was performed as previously described. Blots were incubated with Sc-731 (Santa Cruz Inc, Santa Cruz, CA), which is directed against the γ-isoform but recognizes multiple isoforms. Each blot included a human brain homogenate (0.1 mg of total protein) as positive control and CSF of a hydrocephalic patient as negative control. A sample was considered to be positive when the immunoblot showed a reactive band of electrophoretic mobility similar to the positive control. All CSF samples were tested twice and if contradictory, a third test was done for final evaluation.

We analysed the amino acid (AA) sequences of all 14-3-3 isoforms using the Swiss Prot data (http://us.expasy.org/sprot/) and selected 2 γ specific epitopes: AA 2-12 and 235-245. Both peptides were injected into the same rabbit and a pAb mix generated. The obtained antisera were purified by affinity chromatography using the initial peptides. Analysis showed an optimal signal of the γ 14-3-3 pAb mix at a 1:500 dilution. We further analysed a recently available commercial monoclonal antibody (mAb) and obtained optimal results with 1:750 dilution (CG31, Biocarta Inc, Hamburg, Germany). Next we examined the day to day variance on eight samples (four definitive sCJD, two Alzheimer’s disease (AD), one dementia with Lewy bodies and one multiple sclerosis) on three consecutive days and one week later. The visual analysis by two independent observers completed the study.

**Abbreviations:** AA, amino acid; AU, arbitrary unit; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; ELISA, enzyme linked immunosassay; FP, false positives; OD, patients with dementia differing from sCJD; OND, other neurological disorders; sCJD, sporadic Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease; TP, true positives
CSF diagnosis of Creutzfeldt–Jakob disease

RESULTS
We analysed 253 CSF samples for the presence of 14-3-3 using three different antibodies. Using Sc-731 pAb we identified 14-3-3 in 81 samples of which 51 were true positives (TP) and 30 false positives (FP), which meant the final diagnosis was other than sCJD. Applying CG31 we found 50 TP and 16 FP while the γ 14-3-3 pAb resulted in 50 TP and 15 FP (table 1). We identified similar sensitivity but significantly increased specificity with both the CG31 mAb and the γ 14-3-3 pAb when compared with the Sc-731 pAb (85% v 92%, respectively table 1).

In the OD population we found that nine out of 14 bands (64%) identified with Sc-731 did not show any immunoreactivity with either γ specific antibody resulting in an increased specificity and positive predictive value (table 1). In the OND group we found that five out of 16 bands (31%) were negative with both γ specific antibodies compared with Sc-731. This improvement did not result in a significantly increased specificity (74% v 84%, respectively, table 1).

In some immunoblots faint bands are visible but are scored as negative (fig 1, lane 4), which indicates the difficulty of using a subjective immunoblot. We therefore developed a “quantitative” sandwich ELISA technique.

The optical density of the positive standard, a brain homogenate, on the ELISA was equalised to 1 arbitrary unit (AU) in all tests. The best signal to noise ratio and standard curve were obtained when the Sc-731 pAb was used for capture and CG31 mAb for detection. We identified a median level of 1.00 AU 14-3-3 protein in sCJD patients using the ELISA (range 0.23–1.96 AU). In the patients with a different final diagnosis we found a median of 0.23 AU (range 0.07–1.90 AU). The results of both these groups differed significantly from the sCJD group (p<0.0001), however, there was no significant difference between the two groups.

An optimal cut-off value for diagnostic use of 0.28 AU was suggested by a receiver operating characteristics analysis. Using this cut-off we obtained 94% sensitivity. We found specificity of 85% in the OND group, 96% in the dementia group, and an overall average of 93%. These results were not different from those obtained by the subjective γ -isoform specific western blot assay.

DISCUSSION
We found that γ -isoform directed antibodies significantly increase the specificity of the 14-3-3 assay without decreasing the sensitivity especially in patients with a different type of dementia. This was further supported by the finding that the differential diagnostic significance was unchanged by using a quantitative ELISA assay compared with a subjective immunoblot technique.

The results of this and our previous studies are very similar to those of other European labs reporting a high sensitivity and specificity (>85%). On the other hand, some Asian and American groups have reported low specificity with similar technology. These differences are most likely due to the criteria used for sample selection. Our results clearly indicate that some neurological disorders which can temporally mimic sCJD, such as vascular, infectious, and inflammatory encephalopathies may result in false positives and should be excluded before analysis (table 1).

Another pitfall in the 14-3-3 analysis is the existence of weak bands which are regarded as positive and faint bands which are regarded as negative if their signal was identical on all three examined blots. Previously, we showed that densitometric analysis, compared with visual analysis, of 14-3-3 leads to increased specificity and lower sensitivity. Therefore, this technique was not further explored. In this study we described an ELISA technique, which has similar specificity and could be a valuable alternative for the western blot.
Recent studies have also shown that the measurement of tau protein and Ab\textsubscript{1-42} in CSF has gained acceptance in the differential diagnosis of sCJD.\textsuperscript{11,15} Unlike Ab\textsubscript{1-42}, which is normal or decreased in sCJD, very high tau protein levels can point the diagnosis towards sCJD.\textsuperscript{14,18}

The combination of clinical data correlation and the availability of the novel \(\gamma\) isoform specific antibodies might improve worldwide acceptance of the use of the 14-3-3 assay in the differential diagnosis of sCJD.
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