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Objective: To study the workload of and use of acute intervention within an established acute stroke service, the Calgary Stroke Programme (CSP).

Methods: Prospective record of all acute referrals, diagnoses, and management decisions over a 4 month period.

Results: The CSP received 572 referrals (median: 32 per week), 88% of which were made between 7 am and midnight. Of the 427 patients seen in person, 29% had not had an acute stroke or transient ischaemic attack (TIA). Fifty percent of patients with suspected acute stroke were referred within 3 h of symptom onset and 11% with acute ischaemic stroke (equating to 35% of those referred within 3 h of onset and seen in person) were treated with thrombolysis.

Conclusion: Centralisation of services facilitates the rapid referral of, and use of acute interventions in, patients with acute stroke and TIA. Centralised services are likely to be busy (although less so at night), to attract large numbers of patients with disorders that mimic stroke and TIA, and yet still likely to treat only the minority of acute strokes using thrombolysis. These observations may help those planning similar services and underline the need to develop more widely applicable treatments for acute stroke.

Acute stroke and transient ischemic attack (TIA) demand a rapid response. Thrombolysis must be given within 3 h of onset of acute ischaemic stroke (AIS) and the high risk of early recurrence after TIA or minor stroke argues for immediate secondary prevention. However, providing acute interventions for large numbers of patients with stroke or TIA requires both public education (about symptoms and the need to present quickly) and the reorganisation of ambulance and hospital services, and is a considerable undertaking. A cost effective approach to service delivery might be to concentrate resources and expertise for a given population within a single hospital. Established in 1996, the Calgary Stroke Programme (CSP) is a mature example of such a centralised and specialised acute stroke service. The purpose of this study was to document its workload and effectiveness in order to help guide the planning of similar services.

METHODS
The CSP serves a population of about 1.4 million, and, within Calgary, is the single point of referral for adults with suspected acute stroke or TIA, regardless of age. The acute stroke team comprises a trainee stroke physician and a consultant stroke neurologist. All acute referrals are made by telephone call to the acute stroke pager, held by the on-call stroke clinician, who triages patients to:

- immediate assessment: cases within 6 h of onset of possible acute stroke (plus selected cases a few hours after this) and all cases within 24 h of possible “high risk” (motor or language) TIA.
- assessment at the CSP clinic (within days): cases with a less recent or probable “low risk” TIA, or
- assessment by the acute neurology service: cases with stroke outside the CSP parameters or unlikely to have an acute stroke.

Referrals come from emergency room physicians, ambulance crews (who are not required to differentiate hyperacute TIA from stroke), hospital physicians, and family practitioners. Immediate assessment entails rapid interview, examination, and head imaging. Patients with disabling ischaemic stroke within 3 h of onset are offered intravenous (IV) thrombolysis. Intra-arterial (IA) thrombolysis is offered to selected patients presenting after this time and/or in certain clinical situations. Patients with high risk TIA are admitted to hospital to expedite investigation and secondary prevention.

We kept a prospective log of all acute referrals, diagnoses, and management decisions in the first 24 h over a 4 month period (January to May 2004). We calculated the proportion treated with thrombolysis conservatively by including both probable stroke (patients diagnosed in person or in whom telephone consultation strongly suggested stroke) and possible stroke (patients without a clear working diagnosis in whom stroke was queried) in the denominator. For calculations limited to AIS, we assumed all possible and uncategorised strokes were ischaemic. We used the \( \kappa \) statistic to assess agreement between the referrer and the CSP on the interval from onset to referral (<3 h vs ≥3 h, etc) and restricted this analysis to patients with a verified time of onset (that is, those seen in person).

RESULTS
The CSP received a total of 572 referrals (433 for suspected stroke and 139 for suspected TIA) with a median of 32 per week (range 22–53). Most referrals (88%) were made between 7 am and midnight. Referrals came from: emergency rooms in and outside Calgary (51% and 12%, respectively); ambulance crews (24%); hospital physicians (9%); primary physicians (3%); and others (1%).

Fifty percent of patients (n = 215) with suspected stroke were referred within 3 h, and 63% (n = 273) within 6 h, of onset, while 72% (n = 96) with suspected TIA were referred within 12 h of onset. Agreement between referrers and the CSP on these intervals was good (\( \kappa = 0.79, 0.69, 0.82 \), respectively). For acute stroke, where there was disagreement, the referrer systematically under-estimated the delay (verified delay <3 h vs ≥3 h: referral data agreed, n = 155; referral data disagreed, n = 12).

Abbreviations: AIS, acute ischaemic stroke; CSP, Calgary Stroke Programme; IA, intra-arterial; IV, intravenous; TIA, transient ischaemic attack
under-estimated, n = 18; over-estimated, n = 0; no data, n = 10).

The CSP dealt with 25% (n = 145) of referrals by telephone only: 48% of these were redirected to acute neurology and 32% to the CSP clinic, and 20% of referrers were given advice. The working diagnoses in these cases were: AIS (n = 40); intracerebral haemorrhage (n = 4); TIA (n = 24); uncertain (stroke v other, n = 26; TIA v other, n = 28); and not stroke (n = 23).

The CSP assessed 75% (n = 427) of referrals in person and diagnosed acute stroke (150 infarcts, 31 haemorrhages, one venous infarct, one uncategorised) or TIA (n = 88) in 64% of cases. Non-stroke diagnoses were made in 29% (n = 125; see table 1) and no clear working diagnosis was reached in 7% cases. Non-stroke diagnoses were made in 64% of diagnosed acute stroke (150 infarcts, 31 haemorrhages, one intracerebral haemorrhage (n = 4); TIA (n = 24); uncertain (stroke v other, n = 26; TIA v other, n = 28); and not stroke (n = 23).

The CSP assessed 75% (n = 427) of referrals in person and diagnosed acute stroke (150 infarcts, 31 haemorrhages, one venous infarct, one uncategorised) or TIA (n = 88) in 64% of cases. Non-stroke diagnoses were made in 29% (n = 125; see table 1) and no clear working diagnosis was reached in 7% cases (stroke v other, n = 17; TIA v other, n = 14). Similar results applied regardless of the referral diagnosis or source, except for cases from emergency rooms outside Calgary (78% were stroke or TIA).

IV thrombolysis was used in 19 patients, IV followed by IA thrombolysis in two patients, and IA thrombolysis alone in five patients. The proportion with any acute stroke treated by thrombolysis was 10% (26/270). The proportion with AIS treated by thrombolysis was 11% (26/232), equating to 17% (26/150) of those with AIS seen in person and 35% (24/67) of those also referred within 3 h of onset (see table 2). Eighty percent of patients with TIA seen in person (76/88) were admitted to hospital.

**DISCUSSION**

This study makes four points regarding the provision of an acute stroke service. First, it confirms that, along with public education, centralisation and organisation of services allows large numbers of patients to be assessed within hours of symptom onset and acute interventions to be used in a much larger proportion than is possible under a traditional system of care. The low threshold of the Calgary public for involving the emergency services when they suspect a stroke clearly underlies much of the CSP’s success in attracting early referrals (data from our stroke register suggest the median interval from stroke onset to hospital arrival is 137 min; inter-quartile range 60–358 min).

Second, it shows that a centralised service is likely to be busy and potentially active 24 h per day. Given that the population of Calgary is relatively young (9% aged 65 or above), services for more elderly populations are likely to be busier still (16% of the UK population are aged 65 or above). However, 88% of referrals occurred between 7 am and midnight, in keeping with the diurnal variation in stroke incidence. Where resources are limited it would seem sensible to concentrate services over this period. Similarly, since referrers seem rarely to over-estimate the interval from onset, triage of patients reported to be outside treatment time windows to less acute services is likely to be safe.

Third, it shows that an acute service is likely to attract large numbers with non-stroke conditions. Our estimate that 29% of referrals were stroke mimics is high in comparison with some studies but not others. Our high stroke-mimic rate may reflect: the difficulty of excluding stroke on the basis of a single and rapid clinical examination; the difficulty of diagnosing acute TIA; a low threshold for referring “possible” cases for fear of missing some who might benefit from intervention; the high number of referrals direct from ambulance crews (despite use of a stroke recognition instrument); the play of chance (the lower 95% confidence limit for stroke-mimics was 25%); and the possibility of over-diagnosis on our part (we did not follow cases beyond 24 h).

The differentiation of AIS from its mimics must be done without the help of a simple test analogous to the ECG and can be difficult in the hyper-acute setting. Given the non-trivial complications of thrombolytic therapy, the task should be led by clinicians with appropriate training and expertise.

Fourth, it shows that even within a specialised system of care only a minority with AIS are treated with thrombolysis, either because the majority present too late or for various reasons (for example, rapid improvement, mild deficit, etc) are judged unlikely to benefit. This observation underlines the need for on-going efforts to educate the public and to optimise ambulance and hospital responses, even when a service is well established. It also highlights the urgent need to develop more widely applicable treatments for acute stroke, although there are reasons to be optimistic.

The main limitation of this study is that we did not follow patients beyond 24 h and therefore some of our working diagnoses might later have been overturned. For the same reason, we have been unable to give a final diagnosis in patients triaged to other services (and so cannot comment on its appropriateness) nor say what proportion without a clear working diagnosis actually had a stroke or TIA. In general, however, these biases are likely to be small (all patients seen in person were assessed by a consultant and had cranial imaging) and we used conservative methods to mitigate their impact. Moreover, by concentrating on the first 24 h, our findings reflect the realities and uncertainties of treating patients with hyper-acute stroke and TIA. Our findings are therefore likely to remain useful to those planning similar systems of care.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Diagnosis in the 125 patients who did not have an acute stroke or TIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure/post-ictal</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional disorder</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic disturbance</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syncope/pre-syncope</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral mass: tumour or subdural haematoma</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vestibular disturbance</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple sclerosis related</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal/radicular/peripheral nerve problem</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confusion: various or undefined causes</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous other diagnoses/not recorded</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Numbers needed in order to treat (NNT) one patient with an AIS with thrombolytic therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Referrals (for possible stroke or TIA) to the acute stroke pager (n = 572)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referrals (for possible stroke or TIA) seen in person (n = 427)</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with a probable acute stroke (assessed in person or by telephone) (n = 270)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with confirmed acute stroke seen in person (n = 183)</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with confirmed AIS seen in person (n = 150)</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with confirmed AIS referred &lt;3 h from onset, seen in person (n = 67)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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