EDITORIAL COMMENTARY

Ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke

Shared mechanisms of ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke: still a lot to learn

P Michel, J Bogousslavsky

Ischaemic and haemorrhagic strokes may have a common pathogenesis

The risk of intracerebral haemorrhage (ICH) is increased in patients with ischaemic cerebrovascular disease and vice versa. Risk factors shared by both stroke subtypes, such as hypertension, age, and smoking, partly explain this observation. While some researchers stress that most ischaemic stroke subtypes have similar risk factor profiles, one can go further and claim that most strokes (ischaemic and haemorrhagic) are the result of a common final pathway: arterial wall damage with accumulation of abnormal cells and proteins, inflammation, molecular changes, and eventual breakdown of the intima and media. Hypertensive cerebral arteriolopathy and cerebral amyloid angiopathy are examples where ischaemic and haemorrhagic brain damage frequently coexist. Leukoaraiosis and microbleeds may both be markers of a single disease process affecting small cerebral arteries, putting patients at risk of both ischaemia and haemorrhages. This double risk may also explain why aggressive antithrombotic treatments fail in ischaemic stroke patients: the haemorrhage rate matches or exceeds the reduction in ischaemic events.

Does the study by Ariesen et al in this issue of the journal (see pages 92–4) support the hypothesis that ischaemic and haemorrhagic strokes have a common pathogenesis? The authors found that in patients with previous ischaemic strokes, older age, hypertension, and current antihypertensive treatment are associated with a subsequently increased ICH risk. Most of their patients were on antithrombotic treatment, although the exact numbers are not stated. These results strongly support the presence of shared mechanisms of ischaemic and haemorrhagic events. The finding that higher blood glucose levels were associated with decreased ICH rates is unexpected. It is at odds with a previous meta-analysis by the same authors, but not with our recent observations. Why should diabetics who have had an ischaemic stroke be more resistant to ICH? They have more white matter ischaemia, brain atrophy, and cognitive decline than non-diabetics. Some of this is likely due to pathology in small arteries, but it remains unknown whether the arteriolopathy of diabetics without hypertension is different from the arteriolopathy in hypertensive patients. Even if the protective effects of hyperglycaemia are confirmed by other studies, this by no means allows physicians to liberally prescribe antithrombotics: in a randomised controlled trial where 68% of patients were diabetic, combination antiplatelet treatment increased ICH significantly.

Which patients are at very high risk of haemorrhage after ischaemic stroke and may therefore be poor candidates for antithrombotic treatment? Based on the findings by Ariesen et al, one might conclude that elderly hypertensive patients should not receive antithrombotic treatment after an ischaemic stroke. Unfortunately, hypertension and age are not only major risk factors for ICH but also for recurrence of ischaemic stroke. As recurrences after ischaemic stroke are mostly ischaemic, withholding antithrombotics in such patients will likely allow more ischaemic events to occur than it will avoid haemorrhages. Adding other markers that were not studied by Ariesen et al, such as alcohol intake, cognitive decline, leukoaraiosis, or microbleeds, may allow more precise definition of a very high risk group in the future. But again, only randomised controlled trials on the risk of antithrombotics in such patients will be able to answer these questions.

Fortunately, several interventions have been shown to simultaneously reduce ischaemic and haemorrhagic strokes, probably by reducing damage to arterial walls: blood pressure lowering in hypertensive, normotensive, and elderly patients, cessation of smoking, and regular physical activity. Until more is known, physicians will have to strictly apply this knowledge to prevent stroke recurrences. For patients suffering from both ischaemic and haemorrhagic cerebrovascular events, use of antithrombotics will still have to be decided upon on an individual basis, given the lack of evidence that may last for many more years to come.
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Fatigue in MS

Multiple sclerosis related fatigue

G Giovannoni

Fatigue is often the most disabling symptom of MS

How we define fatigue remains as controversial today as it did 40 years ago: “True fatigue and...tiredness are plainly different.”1 Fatigue is more than tiredness and has recently been referred to as “pathological exhaustion”.2 In this context the term “pathological” would, for example, classify the physical fatigue which athletes experience as part of voluntary effort as being abnormal. Fatigue must therefore surely be a normal phenomenon—a subjective feeling of tiredness or exhaustion which could refer to both physical (motor activities) and mental (cognitive or emotional) processes. Fatigue is only pathological if it is disabling—that is, if it affects a person’s social, physical, and occupational wellbeing. For lack of a better definition the Centres for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) defines “profound fatigue” and by implication “pathological fatigue” in the context of the chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS) as fatigue that “is not improved by rest and that may be worsened by physical or mental activity.”3 This definition appropriately excludes exercise induced or temperature dependent conduction block, a form of physical fatigue which occurs in subjects with demyelinating disease.

Approximately 80% of subjects with multiple sclerosis (MS) have pathological fatigue and in half these cases it is their most disabling symptom. In general, fatigue does not correlate with neurological impairment, physical disability, or the lesion load on conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In one study, subjects with “benign MS” had as much fatigue as those with non-benign MS.4 Rarely, MS may present initially as chronic fatigue.

In more recent studies a relation has been demonstrated between altered cerebral activation patterns5 and the development of progressive brain atrophy6 and fatigue. In the latter study the development of progressive brain atrophy was independent of disability, mood, or other MRI findings.7 These observations question whether anatomical and physiological substrates underlie MS related fatigue.

One emerging hypothesis is that MS related fatigue is caused by ongoing inflammation. Fatigue that occurs as a result of acute infections can be reproduced by the administration of proinflammatory cytokines—for example, the type 1 interferons (α or β) or interleukin 2. The evolutionary benefits of fatigue in relation to systemic infection are obvious—in response to an infection the immune response triggers a behavioural response to maximise an animal’s chance of recovery and hence of survival. Thus it is not surprising that fatigue is such a prominent symptom in chronic diseases associated with systemic inflammation. In rheumatoid arthritis, a systemic inflammatory disease without obvious CNS pathology, levels of acute phase proteins correlate with fatigue.8 In MS, weak correlations between fatigue and markers of systemic inflammation have been reported.4 8 Similarly, in this issue of the journal (see pages 3–9), Heesen et al report a weak association between fatigue and the stimulated whole blood production of the proinflammatory cytokines tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) and interferon γ (IFNγ).9 An important observation, however, is that MS related fatigue does not correlate with Gad enhancing lesions on MRI,6 10 the most widely accepted marker of active inflammation in MS. These observations suggest that MS related fatigue is linked to peripheral rather than central inflammation. This may explain why treatment with IFNβ, a systemically administered cytokine that reduces MRI activity, is not associated with an improvement in fatigue scores.11 How systemic inflammation induces fatigue is unknown, but the symptom may be mediated by proinflammatory cytokines, similar to the hypnotic effects of interleukin 1 and TNFα.12

Whether or not fatigue is a sensory percept is a moot point. Focal areas of the cerebral cortex or subcortical structures involved in the perception of fatigue have not been identified. On the other hand, fatigue and arousal may have a similar neuroanatomical basis. In arousal, subcortical systems integrate sensory and environmental information, which is processed and stimulates the cerebral cortex through the ascending reticular activating system. The latter hypothesis would explain how systemic inflammation induces fatigue.

An important caveat to the above observations and to the ongoing research into MS related fatigue is the lack of well validated outcome measures to quantify fatigue. The interpretation of fatigue by subjects responding to the most commonly used fatigue questionnaires may be context and disease specific. Work is therefore required to standardise the measurement of fatigue in MS and other conditions.

Uncertainties over the definition, pathogenesis, and measurement of MS related fatigue are clearly hampering the testing of specific therapeutic anti-fatigue strategies. There are, however, unproven strategies that could be tried to help ameliorate MS related fatigue. As fatigue appears to be related to mood and quality of life, it is important to address these issues at the outset. Similarly, it is important to exclude common medical conditions that could exacerbate fatigue—for example hypothyroidism—and to optimise the doses of drugs that are known to exacerbate fatigue. Non-pharmacological approaches for the specific management of fatigue include behavioural therapy, graded aerobic exercise programmes, energy conservation strategies, dietary advice, environmental cooling, and improvement in basic sleep hygiene. For subjects experiencing disabling fatigue, amantadine may be partially effective.13 Despite its widespread use, modafinil has yet to be shown to be effective in MS related fatigue.14 15 Antidepressant drugs, particularly serotonin and the noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors, are widely used, with little or no evidence to support their effectiveness in MS related fatigue. The use of stimulants—such as amphetamines, methylphenidate—and pemoline (discontinued in the UK)—in MS related fatigue cannot be sanctioned in view of their unproven efficacy and potential side effects.

If the findings of Heesen et al prove to be correct then targeting inflammation may be the most effective anti-fatigue strategy in MS. Glatiramer acetate, which has an impact on MS relapses similar to IFNβ but which is not associated with the flu-like symptoms, appears to have a positive impact on MS related fatigue.16 Natalizumab, a selective adhesion molecule antagonist which reduces the relapse rate in MS by more than 50%, markedly improved the perception of wellbeing (a crude index that includes fatigue) compared with placebo.17

It is important that we, as health care workers, should recognise and understand the impact that MS related fatigue...
has on sufferers from MS, and be aware of the emerging evidence that at least a component of this complex symptom is linked to inflammatory disease activity and that strategies are emerging to manage fatigue more effectively.
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