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Objective: To investigate in a longitudinal cohort of people with Alzheimer’s disease whether taking antipsychotics is associated with more rapid cognitive deterioration.

Method: From a sample of 224 people with Alzheimer’s disease recruited as epidemiologically representative, those taking antipsychotic drugs for more than 6 months were compared with those who were not, in terms of change in three measures of cognition. The effects of potential mediators and confounders (demographic factors, neuropsychiatric symptoms, cognitive severity and cholinesterase inhibitors) were also examined.

Results: No significant difference was observed in cognitive decline between those taking antipsychotics (atypical or any) and others on any measure of cognition. The only predictor of more cognitive decline was greater baseline cognitive severity (B = 3.3, 95% confidence interval 0.6 to 6.1, t = 2.4, p < 0.05). Although mortality was higher in those treated with antipsychotics, this reflected their greater age and severity of dementia. The results were the same when the whole cohort was included rather than the select group with potential to change who had been taking antipsychotics continuously.

Conclusions: In this, the first cohort study investigating the effects of antipsychotics on cognitive outcome in Alzheimer’s disease, those taking antipsychotics were no more likely to decline cognitively over 6 months. Although clinicians should remain cautious when prescribing antipsychotic drugs to people with Alzheimer’s disease, any increase in cognitive deterioration is not of the magnitude previously reported. There is a need for cohort studies that follow up patients from first prescription in clinical practice for a period of months rather than weeks to determine “real-life” risks and benefits.

Neuropsychiatric symptoms are common (prevalence rate >60%) and persistent in Alzheimer’s disease particularly with increasing severity. They are associated with increased caregiver burden, institutionalisation, progression and care costs. Many people with Alzheimer’s disease are treated with antipsychotics, often to ameliorate neuropsychiatric symptoms.

Typical and atypical antipsychotics block D2 and other receptors. Some atypical antipsychotics also blockade 5HT2, muscarinic or histaminic receptors. The 5HT2 and histamine receptor blockade may cause sedation and reduce alertness; thus the patient may do less well on cognitive testing, and muscarinic blockade can directly cause cognitive decline. Atypical antipsychotics doubled the rate of cognitive decline in one cohort of people with dementia. This deterioration was not dose related, and may reflect more neuropsychiatric symptoms and hence antipsychotic drugs in those more likely to decline. A recent randomised controlled trial (RCT) in agitated patients with dementia in care homes found that the atypical quetiapine was associated with greater cognitive decline over 6 weeks than rivastigmine or placebo. This deterioration may, however, be explained by sedation or the lower baseline cognition in the quetiapine group. Studies of the atypical olanzapine have reported mixed results, ranging from no effect to enhancing or worsening cognition. RCTs using risperidone for neuropsychiatric symptoms in dementia have, however, consistently found it to be effective without cognitive side effects.

Two recent systematic reviews report only a modest improvement in neuropsychiatric symptoms from atypicals and none from typical antipsychotics. Typical antipsychotics have been associated with higher mortality than atypicals in older people with and without dementia. However, a recent meta-analysis of RCTs showing that in dementia, atypical antipsychotics are associated with a small increase in death rate has increased treatment concerns. Current international guidelines reflect this, suggesting that the use of atypicals should be restricted to licensed indications or severe, distressing symptoms.

This is the first longitudinal cohort study to assess cognitive decline and mortality in people with Alzheimer’s disease since atypical antipsychotic drugs became standard. It compares those taking and not taking antipsychotic drugs over a 6-month period shortly before the recent strictures on the use of atypicals. We examined whether other factors reported to relate to decline (demographics, baseline severity, neuropsychiatric symptoms or cholinesterase inhibitor use) could account for any of the differences found.

Aims
1. To investigate in a longitudinal cohort study of an epidemiologically representative sample of people with Alzheimer’s disease whether those who take antipsychotics deteriorate to a greater extent cognitively than those who do not and whether any difference is dose related.
2. To investigate whether such deterioration could be mediated by demographic factors (age, sex and years of education); neuropsychiatric symptoms, (hallucinations, delusions, agitation, sleep disturbance and total neuropsychiatric symptom score), initial cognitive severity or taking cholinesterase inhibitors.

Abbreviations: ADAS-cog, Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale—Cognitive Subscale; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NPI, Neuropsychiatric Inventory; RCT, randomised controlled trial; SIB, severe impairment battery
3. To investigate whether mortality is higher in those taking antipsychotics and whether any relationship is mediated by demographic or clinical factors.

**Primary hypothesis**

People with Alzheimer’s disease who take antipsychotics deteriorate considerably more in cognition over a 6-month period than those not taking antipsychotics.

**METHOD**

This is part of a larger naturalistic longitudinal cohort study of people with Alzheimer’s disease and their caregivers from London and the south east region of England (the LASER-AD study). The relevant research ethics committees gave approval for the study.

Care recipients with a diagnosis of Alzheimer’s disease and their caregivers were approached in inner-city, suburban, semirural and new town areas, through local services, voluntary sector and care home managers. Recruitment was designed to ensure that care recipients were epidemiologically representative of people with Alzheimer’s disease in terms of sex, severity of illness and living settings. The present study reports baseline and 6-month follow-up data.

**Inclusion criteria**

- People for whom baseline and 6-month follow-up data were collected.
- Those whose severe impairment (SIB) scores had potential to improve or deteriorate; as in Ballard’s study, those with a baseline SIB score <10 and those with the maximum score (100) were excluded.
- Those who were consistently taking or not taking antipsychotics throughout the 6-month period.

**Interview**

Trained researchers from psychology, nursing and medicine conducted the interviews.

The following information was collected:

(a) Demographics: age, sex and years of education.
(b) Antipsychotic drugs: the name and dose of drugs was ascertained by asking what was taken and inspecting the tablets.
(c) The SIB assesses the cognitive abilities of more impaired patients with dementia. Potential scores range from 0 to 100 and the SIB is sensitive to change. The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) measures cognitive impairment. The range is 0–30.
(d) The Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale-Cognitive Subscale (ADAS-cog) assesses cognitive impairment. The range is 0–75; higher scores indicate greater dysfunction.
(e) The Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI) assesses 12 neuropsychiatric symptoms and receiving continuous antipsychotics, and the SIB in detail.

We used Mann–Whitney U tests to compare cognitive change in those taking or not taking antipsychotic drugs throughout the 6-month period. We carried out step-wise linear regression analysis to identify independent predictors of differences in cognitive impairment scores. Step 1 incorporated age and severity at baseline (MMSE scores of >20 for all groups, ADAS-cog score of >70). We repeated the analysis excluding those taking typical antipsychotics and including participants irrespective of floor and ceiling effects or the continuous use of antipsychotics. As our results for deterioration and the effects of postulated mediators were essentially the same, we report only the results for all antipsychotics, and the select group with potential to change and receiving continuous antipsychotics, and the SIB in detail.

We repeated the analysis dividing the scores for neuropsychiatric symptoms into clinically significant scores (>4) and non-significant scores. As most of those in the study were taking risperidone, we carried out a retrospective regression analysis considering the effects of atypicals other than risperidone. This did not change the results.

**Power calculation**

Our power calculation was based on the two studies showing a greater decline in cognition of the group taking antipsychotics versus a comparator. In the first, those on antipsychotics had a mean (standard deviation (SD)) SIB worsening of 11.3 points (15.6). In the placebo group, mean (SD) improvement was 3.3 (17.4). We had 97% power at a significance level of 0.01 to detect this difference in our study of 30 people taking and 132 not taking antipsychotics. Our second power calculation was based on data that found a decline of 20.7 points in the extended MMSE in those taking antipsychotics versus 9.3 in those not taking antipsychotics. We assumed SD = 15. This gave 88% power at a significance level of 0.01 of finding a true difference in our study.

**RESULTS**

**Demographics**

In all, 224 people with Alzheimer’s disease were interviewed at baseline. Of them, 184 potential participants remained in the study at 6 months (18 died, 8 withdrew and 14 were excluded because an antipsychotic drug was started or stopped). Eight (44.4%) of those who had died and 46 (22.3%) of those still...
Those taking antipsychotics had significantly lower baseline cognition, as measured using the SIB, and were less likely to be taking cholinesterase inhibitors (table 3). These findings remained the same for those taking atypical antipsychotic drugs and measuring cognition using either the MMSE or ADAS-Cog.

**Differences in cognitive deterioration between groups**

Table 4 gives the differences in rates of cognitive deterioration between those taking and not taking antipsychotic drugs.

We observed no significant differences in cognitive deterioration between those taking and not taking antipsychotics, using SIB, MMSE or ADAS-cog scores. Similarly, there was no significant correlation between antipsychotic dosages at baseline or 6 months (chlorpromazine equivalents) and cognitive deterioration in SIB (Spearman’s correlation coefficient $r = 0.08, p = 0.31$ (baseline) and $r = 0.07, p = 0.37$ (6 months); MMSE ($r = 0.14, p = 0.09$; and $r = 0.40, p = 0.62$, respectively) or ADAS-cog ($r = 0.13, p = 0.11$; and $r = 0.15, p = 0.07$, respectively).

**Differences in cognitive deterioration according to potential mediators and confounders**

The only factor significantly related to deterioration was baseline cognitive severity ($\chi^2 = 12.63, df = 2, p < 0.005$). Age, sex, education, cholinesterase inhibitors prescribed at baseline, baseline SIB scores, clinically significant score on NPI agitation, delusion, sleep, hallucinations and total NPI were not significantly related to deterioration in any of the three cognitive scores.
Independent predictors of differences in cognition in stepwise linear regression

In step 1, deterioration in SIB scores was predicted by increasing cognitive severity (B = 3.3, 95% CI 0.6 to 6.1, t = 2.4, p < 0.05). In steps 2, 3, and 4 there was no difference in the model. If prescription of antipsychotics or prescription of atypicals only was substituted for chlorpromazine equivalents, the same significant predictors emerged.

Similarly, decline in the other measures of cognition (MMSE and ADAS-cog) was not predicted by antipsychotic drugs (all, atypicals only or atypicals other than risperidone), dosage or any of the potential mediators or confounders identified other than cognitive severity.

**DISCUSSION**

People who were taking any or only atypical antipsychotics were no more likely to decline cognitively than those who were not. An increased dose did not correlate with greater cognitive decline, suggesting no causative relationship between cognitive decline and antipsychotic prescription. We used three validated measures of cognition, all of which showed the same result and controlled for potential confounders. In addition, the results were the same when we included the whole cohort rather than the select group of patients with potential to change who had been taking antipsychotics continuously. We can therefore state confidently that any difference in cognitive deterioration over 6 months was not of the magnitude reported by earlier studies.

Although taking antipsychotics was associated with increased mortality, this was accounted for by greater age and cognitive impairment.

A strength of our study is that those testing cognition were not aware of the drugs prescribed, avoiding interviewer bias. It is limited by the two groups (those taking and not taking antipsychotics over the 6-month period) differing significantly in baseline cognition and in prescription of cholinesterase inhibitors, reflecting its naturalistic nature. Similarly, we do not know the duration of prescription before the 6-month period of taking cholinesterase inhibitors. Thus we cannot comment whether this may have had an effect. This means, however, that we know the duration of prescription before the 6-month period of taking antipsychotics. This is improbable as antipsychotics are usually prescribed for those who are relatively unwell. We may have been inherently less likely to decline than those not taking antipsychotics. This is improbable as antipsychotics are more likely to have them discontinued than those within an RCT. This is one of the advantages of a real-life follow-up.

We conclude that although clinicians should continue to monitor people with Alzheimer’s disease carefully when prescribing atypicals, there is a need for cohort studies that follow up patients from first prescription in clinical practice for a period of months rather than weeks to clarify risks and benefits of the antipsychotic drugs outside of clinical trials.
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### Table 4 Differences in rates of cognitive deterioration between those taking and not taking antipsychotic drugs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive test</th>
<th>Deterioration</th>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>95% CI/IQR</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Taking antipsychotics</td>
<td>Not taking antipsychotics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIB, median (range)</td>
<td>3.0 (−34 to 32)</td>
<td>0 (−22 to 87)</td>
<td>U = 1712.0</td>
<td>IQR – 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.0 (3.3)</td>
<td>0.9 (3.6)</td>
<td>t = −0.2</td>
<td>95% CI –1.4 to 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADAS-cog, mean (SD)</td>
<td>2.8 (8.0)</td>
<td>1.4 (8.1)</td>
<td>t = −0.8</td>
<td>95% CI –5.0 to 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ADAS-cog, Alzheimer’s Disease Assessment Scale—Cognitive Subscale; IQR, interquartile range; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; SIB, severe impairment battery; t, T test; U, Mann–Whitney U test.
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