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ABSTRACT

Background The authors report the first study of abusive behaviour by people with dementia towards their family carers. The authors hypothesised that while abusive behaviour would be associated with the carer reporting a less rewarding relationship, this could be mediated by the carer’s coping style.

Methods The authors interviewed 220 consecutively referred family dementia carers from five UK Community Mental Health Teams, using the revised Modified Conflict Tactics Scale to measure abuse, and the Relationship Rewards Scale.

Results 82 (37.3%) carers reported abuse from the care recipient ‘at least sometimes’ over the last 3 months. 80 (36.4%) reported psychologically, and 13 (5.9%) physically abusive behaviour. On average, current carer relationship rewards had decreased from premorbid levels (mean difference –1.5 (95% CI 1.8 to 1.2); p<0.001). The association between higher abuse score and lower current relationship rewards was mediated by dysfunctional coping use. In our final model, current relationship rewards were predicted by reporting a better past relationship (β=0.66 (95% CI 0.55 to 0.77)), less abuse from the care recipient (β=−0.39 (−0.65 to −0.13)) and fewer dysfunctional coping strategies (β=−0.98 (−1.50 to −0.46)).

Conclusion Over a third of family carers reported significant abuse from the people they cared for. Carers who reported more abuse also reported a greater deterioration in their relationship with the person with dementia. The extent to which carers used dysfunctional coping strategies partially explained this, suggesting that interventions to change the carers’ coping styles might alleviate the impact of abusive behaviour.

INTRODUCTION

Carers for people with dementia experience more stress, anxiety and depression than the general population and carers for people with other disorders. The particularly distressing nature of dementia caring is unsurprising, given the inevitability of increasing dependence, and high frequency of personality changes, loss of insight and behavioural problems including aggression. A large USA study reported aggression or agitation in 23.7% of people with dementia in the USA, using the aggression subscale of the Neuropsychiatric Inventory. Similarly, 20% of people with Alzheimer’s disease in UK studies were reported to be behaving aggressively.

We have frequently found in our clinical work that carers report experiencing abuse from the person they care for, and that this is particularly distressing, although the prevalence of such behaviours is unknown. Family carers for younger adults with mental illness have reported high levels of abuse from the people they care for. In a UK Community Mental Health Team (CMHT) survey, 22% of family carers reported that they had been threatened with violence, and 42% verbal abuse, by the care recipient (CR) in the last year. Higher rates of abuse were associated with poor relationships between patients and their families, and a patient history of poly-drug misuse and previous criminal offences. Relatives experiencing higher levels of abuse reported more distress and carer burden.

This study is the first to investigate the prevalence of abuse experienced by family carers of people with dementia. We use the expression ‘abusive behaviour’ throughout. We are not, however, suggesting by this term that the person with dementia intended to abuse. We determined whether abuse experienced by family carers of people with dementia was related to how rewarding they found their relationship with the CR. Some abusive behaviour may be a symptom of neuropsychiatric symptoms which are part of the dementia, or family stress that can be alleviated by medical or psychosocial interventions. In other cases, it may be more intractable and related to the relationship between the carer and CR, or coping strategies used to manage difficulties which predate the dementia. We know, for example, that emotion-based coping strategies can mitigate the impact of burden on dementia carers’ mental health. In this study, we tested our hypotheses that abusive behaviour would be associated with lower current relationship rewards, after controlling for premorbid relationship rewards, and that the strength of this association would be mediated by carer use of dysfunctional coping strategies.
place at a time and place convenient to the carer, usually their home.

Data collection
The study received Research Ethics Committee approval. Participants gave written informed consent. The information sheet specified that ‘we respect confidentiality but cannot keep it a secret if anyone is being seriously harmed.’ CR were asked for consent to access their medical notes, but not interviewed. Where the CR lacked capacity to consent (judged from their psychiatrist and carer’s reports), we asked carers whether they thought that CR would have agreed when they had capacity. Three experienced psychiatrists carried out interviews between January 2007 and April 2008.

Measures
We collected sociodemographic data on: carer and CR age, sex, ethnicity, relationship to the CR (spouse, child, other); hours caring/week over preceding few weeks (recorded as 168 if the coresident carer reported caring all the time and could not leave).

Our main effect measure was the carer completed Modified Conflict Tactics Scale (MCTS), asking how often in the last 3 months each of five psychologically and five physically abusive behaviours had occurred in the relationship, on a Likert scale from 0 to 4 (never–all the time). A score of ≥2 (sometimes) on one question denotes significant abuse. Carers were asked these questions regarding their behaviour towards the CR. For this part of the study, we also asked carers whether the same behaviours were directed towards them by the CR. Psychometric properties have been reported for the original version.12 13 We report psychometric properties of the way we used this scale below.

Our main outcome measure was the Relationship Rewards Scale. This measures the carer’s rating of how rewarding their relationship with the CR was before the onset of memory problems, using a four-item measure of relationship rewards.14 Carers completed the Brief COPE’s (Coping Orientations to Problems Experienced) three subscales: problem-focused, emotion-focused and dysfunctional coping.

Carers also completed Bristol activities of daily living (ADL) inventory17 and the Neuropsychiatric Inventory (NPI).18 We asked how many alcohol units the CR drank in an average week. CR were asked how many alcohol units the CR drank in an average week.

Data analysis
We used SPSS (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois) version 14.0 to analyse data, two-tailed tests and a significance level of 1% throughout. We defined abuse using the MCTS criteria of a score of ≥2 for caseness (see Methods). We measured the concurrent validity of CR abuse score by examining the degree of correlation with NPI aggression score, and examined the internal consistency of the scale. We compared recent and past relationship reward scores using a paired t test.

For univariate analyses, we used Spearman ρ correlations and Mann–Whitney U tests for non-parametric data, and Pearson correlations and independent t tests for parametric data, which we defined as skewness statistic <1 and >−1. We entered the sociodemographic, carer and CR characteristics that approached significance (p < 0.1) on univariate analyses in their association with either the effect (CR abuse) or outcome measure (recent relationship rewards score) in a forwards linear regression with relationship rewards as the dependent variable. Because we included past relationship rewards in the regression, our outcome measure of current relationship rewards could in fact be interpreted as a measure of change in relationship rewards between the time before the person with dementia had memory problems and the last few months. We assessed tolerance for each predictor and considered that values <0.10 may indicate collinearity.21 We used generally accepted criteria22 to define mediation. These would require that abuse score was correlated with recent relationship rewards score and with our putative mediator, dysfunctional coping; and second that standardised β for the relationship of abuse score to relationship rewards score is reduced by adding the mediator to a model with relationship rewards score as the dependent variable. If the relationship between abuse and relationship rewards was no longer significant after adding the mediator, full mediation is said to have occurred; if it is reduced but still significant, this is partial mediation.

RESULTS
Two hundred and twenty out of three hundred and nineteen (69%) eligible carers participated, and 98 refused or were uncontactable. There were no differences between participants and non-participants in CR or carer gender (χ²=1.3, p=0.26; χ²=0.0, p=1.00); whether they lived together (χ²=2.2, p=0.14), or the relationship (partner, child or other) between them (χ²=2.7, p=0.26).

Characteristics of the sample
One hundred and forty-four (65.5%) family carers were female, 182 (82.7%) were of white UK ethnicity, and 118 (53.6%) were living with the person with dementia for whom they provided care. Their mean age was 61.7 (SD 13.1; range 24–92 years). One hundred and twenty (54.5%) were caring for a parent, 72 (32.7%) for a spouse and 28 (12.7%) for another relative or friend. One hundred and fifty-nine (72.3%) of the CRs were female, and their mean age was 81.6 (SD 7.8; range 58–99). Of the 215 CR for whom a diagnosis was available, 131 (60.9%) developed Alzheimer’s disease (AD) or mixed AD/vascular dementia; 48 (22.3%) vascular dementia; nine (4.2%) Lewy Body or Parkinson’s disease dementia; and 27 (12.6%) other types of dementia. The mean MMSE score was 18.4 (SD 6.8; range 0–29). The median number of units of alcohol consumed by the CR per week was 0 (range 0–84).

Psychometric properties of the abuse scale
The Cronbach α for the abuse scale was 0.75. Abuse score correlated with NPI aggression score (r=0.61, p<0.001), demonstrating concurrent validity.

Prevalence
Nearly half (47.3%; n=104) of carers reported that the person they cared for was abusive at any time in the last few months, and 82 (37.8%) of carers reported that the CR was acting abusively ‘at least sometimes.’ Eighty (56.4%) reported a psychologically abusive behaviour, and 18 (5.9%) a physically abusive behaviour (see figure 1 for details of the behaviours included and their individual frequencies). Those who experienced more abuse were also more likely to report acting abusively towards the CR (r=0.5 (p<0.001)).

Correlates of abuse
On univariate analysis, significantly higher abuse scores were reported by those caring for CR who were male, of non-White
ethnicity and reported to have more ADL impairments. The carers who reported experiencing more abuse used more dysfunctional coping strategies, and reported finding their current and past relationship with the CR less rewarding. Carers who reported more current relationship rewards were caring for CR with fewer ADL impairments, received less in-home social care, used fewer dysfunctional coping strategies and found the past relationship more rewarding (see tables 1, 2). The current relationship rewards were lower than the premorbid relationship rewards (mean difference \(\Delta = -1.5\) (95% CI \(-1.8\) to \(-1.2\)); \(p < 0.001\)).

In our regression (table 3), higher current relationship rewards were predicted by reporting higher past relationship rewards and less abuse from the CR. When we added dysfunctional coping strategy use to the equation, less use of such strategies also predicted higher current relationship rewards, and there was a reduction in the regression coefficient for abuse score, indicating that dysfunctional coping strategies fulfilled criteria as a partial mediator of this relationship (see Data analysis). Tolerance was >0.80 for all variables entered.

**DISCUSSION**

Aggression is a common symptom in dementia, but this is the first study to investigate prevalence of abuse towards family carers; this may include verbal and psychological, as well as physical abuse. Over a third of family carers we interviewed reported that the person they cared for with dementia was acting abusively towards them at least some of the time. Nearly half of carers reported experiencing any abusive behaviour over the last few months. We have previously reported high rates of abusive behaviour perpetrated by family carers and suggested that health professionals should be asking them routinely about abusive behaviour.9 The current study indicates that they should also be asking about abuse the carer may be experiencing themselves from the CR.

**Table 1** Association of sociodemographic and other characteristics studied with abuse and recent relationship reward scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Mean abuse score (SD)</th>
<th>Test statistic and significance for association with abuse scale</th>
<th>Mean recent relationships reward score (SD)</th>
<th>Test statistic and significance for association with current relationship rewards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care recipient gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2.8 (3.3)</td>
<td><strong>MWU=3970.5, p=0.012</strong></td>
<td>7.5 (3.1)</td>
<td>(t=1.8, p=0.069)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>1.9 (3.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.3 (2.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carer gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>2.1 (3.7)</td>
<td><strong>MWU=5140.5 (0.42)</strong></td>
<td>8.6 (2.8)</td>
<td>(t=2.4, p=0.041)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2.2 (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.8 (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care recipient ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White UK</td>
<td>1.9 (3.4)</td>
<td><strong>MWU=2852.0 (0.001)</strong></td>
<td>8.2 (2.9)</td>
<td>(t=-1.4, p=0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3.1 (3.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5 (3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carer ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White UK</td>
<td>2.0 (3.4)</td>
<td><strong>MWU=2715.0 (0.024)</strong></td>
<td>8.1 (2.8)</td>
<td>(t=0.72, p=0.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.8 (3.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7 (3.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relationship to care recipient</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse/partner</td>
<td>2.2 (3.2)</td>
<td>(F=0.40 (0.67))*</td>
<td>8.0 (3.4)</td>
<td>(F=0.071, p=0.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child</td>
<td>2.2 (3.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friend/other relative</td>
<td>1.6 (2.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.0 (3.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(F\), ANOVA test statistic; MWU, Mann–Whitney U.

\(*p<0.1\) (approached significance and included in regression analysis); \(**p<0.01\).
We found, as hypothesised, that CR abusive behaviour was associated with the carer reporting fewer current rewards from their dyadic relationship, after controlling for potential confounders and premorbid relationship rewards. We found that while the quality of the premorbid relationship was important, the onset of memory problems had caused a decrease in relationship rewards, and the experience of abuse from the CR was an important predictor of this. Previous authors have debated the extent to which abuse within older couple’s relationships represents ‘spouse abuse grown old’ rather than a new problem.25 Our results suggest that both are important, and that where the relationship is strained, interventions to try to reduce abuse may help.

The extent to which carers used dysfunctional coping strategies partially explained the association between abuse and relationship quality. Not all CR abuse can be alleviated through treatment of neuropsychiatric symptoms or provision of more in-home support, and many carers want to continue caring despite verbal and psychological abuse. Our findings suggest that interventions to change the coping strategies carers use may help them to maintain their experience of the relationship as rewarding. This is plausible, as carers who understand neuropsychiatric and abusive symptoms to be part of a dementing illness, rather than attributing them to rejection or ungratefulness, may be more able to continue drawing rewards from their relationships despite the difficulties faced. We have previously found that carers’ attributions of symptoms of dementia vary considerably, even when they know the diagnosis.24 A manualised intervention designed to promote family carers’ use of emotion-focussed and problem-focussed coping strategies and reduce their use of dysfunctional coping strategies was efficacious in reducing carer depression and anger intensity in a USA trial.25–27 and may also be effective in maintaining relationship rewards in those experiencing abusive behaviour. CR ethnicity was associated with abusive behaviour on univariate analysis, but this association was no longer significant after controlling for CR morbidity characteristics. This probably reflects the association between non-White ethnicity and later referral (and therefore more neuropsychiatric symptoms at time of referral) to dementia services.28

LIMITATIONS
This was a cross-sectional study, so we cannot confirm directions of causality, and while we hypothesise that dysfunctional coping mediated the relationship between CR abuse and relationship rewards, it could also be a confounder. This was a representative sample of people with dementia newly referred to services, so our sampling frame disproportionately selected those with a new diagnosis or acute problems. Thus, the population was less cognitively impaired than the average population with dementia,29 but more patients may have had untreated neuropsychiatric symptoms (although the mean score was almost identical to that of a previous representative sample).6 There may have been a social desirability bias, if carers were reluctant to report abusive behaviour they experienced, or that they no longer experienced the relationship with the CR as rewarding. We used the term CR abusive behaviour throughout, but in many cases the CR would not have known that their behaviour may cause distress, so the term does not imply intent. Similarly, many of the carers did not view behaviour that they

### Table 2: Correlations of sociodemographic and other characteristics studied with abuse and recent relationship reward scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation coefficient and significance for association with abuse scale</th>
<th>Correlation coefficient and significance for association with recent relationship rewards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Care recipient age</td>
<td>r =−0.12, p =0.068*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carer age</td>
<td>r =−0.059, p =0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours caring</td>
<td>r =−0.11, 0.094*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini-Mental State Examination</td>
<td>r =−0.075, p =0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activities of daily living score</td>
<td>r =−0.29, p =0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No alcohol units per week</td>
<td>r =−0.52, p =0.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In home social care</td>
<td>r =−0.072, p =0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Out of home social care</td>
<td>r =−0.052, p =0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional coping</td>
<td>r =−0.27, p =0.001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem-focused coping</td>
<td>r =−0.099, p =0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotion-focused coping</td>
<td>r =−0.097, p =0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of premorbid relationship</td>
<td>r =−0.21, p =0.002**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of current relationship</td>
<td>r =−0.32, p =0.001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p <0.1 (approached significance and included in regression analysis); **p <0.01.

### Table 3: Significant predictors in forwards linear regression with recent relationship rewards score as the dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Standardised β (+95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Step 2 (R² =−0.48)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past relationship rewards</td>
<td>0.69 (0.58 to 0.80)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abuse score</td>
<td>−0.51 (−0.77 to −0.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 3 (R² =−0.51)†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past relationship rewards</td>
<td>0.66 (0.55 to 0.77)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abuse score</td>
<td>−0.39 (−0.65 to −0.13)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysfunctional coping score</td>
<td>−0.98 (−1.50 to −0.46)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Step 1 is not shown.

*Care recipient and carer gender, ethnicity and age; number of hours caring, activities of daily living score, amount of in-home social care and past relationship rewards were entered in step 1.
†Carer dysfunctional coping scale score was entered in step 2.
reported on the MCTS scale as abusive. We did not find a significant association between alcohol intake by the CR and abusive behaviour. While the association between alcohol and aggression is well known, this probably reflects the very low levels of alcohol use in this population.

CONCLUSIONS

Over a third of family carers reported significant abuse from the people they cared for. Carers who reported a greater deterioration in their relationship with the person with dementia also reported more abuse. The extent to which carers used dysfunctional coping strategies partially explained this, suggesting that interventions to change the carers’ coping styles might alleviate the impact of abusive behaviour.
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How do dementia carers cope with abusive behaviour?

More than one third of people caring for relatives with dementia regularly experience some form of abusive behaviour, such as being shouted at or insulted, a new study has found. Although aggressive behaviour is a relatively common symptom of dementia, this is the first study to look at carers’ experiences of abuse.

What do we know already?

One of the most distressing parts of caring for someone with dementia is that their personality may change because of changes to their brain, and they may act in an aggressive or upsetting manner. A gentle, quiet person might start shouting or using bad language, completely out of character. It can be hard to see this as part of the illness, and not a personal, intentional attack on you.

A big study of people with dementia in the US found that about a quarter were sometimes either aggressive or agitated, and a UK study found about 1 in 5 people with Alzheimer’s disease were sometimes aggressive. So it’s a common symptom of the condition. People caring for relatives with dementia quite often say they’ve been the subject of upsetting or abusive behaviour, but this hasn’t been studied properly before.

This new study interviewed 220 people who cared for a family member with dementia for at least 4 hours a week. Researchers asked about specific types of behaviour, and also about how the carers coped. They also measured how much satisfaction people had from their relationship with the person they were caring for, and also about how the relationship had been before the dementia began. The researchers use the phrase ‘abusive behaviour’, although they recognise that the person with dementia did not intend to be abusive.

What does the new study say?

More than one third (37 percent) of people said they’d experienced some form of abusive behaviour at least sometimes, over the previous three months. The most common reports were of verbal abuse, where the person with dementia had used a harsh tone of voice, insulted or sworn at the carer, or screamed or shouted at them. In total, 36 percent said they’d experienced some form of psychological abuse. But others had been hit or slapped, or made to fear they would be hurt. Almost 6 percent said they’d experienced physically abusive behaviour.
Unsurprisingly, people receiving more abuse were less likely to be happy with their relationship, and more likely to report a deterioration in their relationship since the dementia started.

The researchers looked at aspects that might be associated with abuse. They found that carers who experienced more abuse had a less happy past and present relationship with their family member, and were more likely to be using what the researchers describe as ‘dysfunctional’ coping strategies. These strategies included denial, venting their emotions, and using drugs or alcohol to cope. More helpful coping strategies included seeking emotional and practical help, accepting the situation and planning for the future.

The researchers suggest that future research might look at teaching carers about helpful coping strategies. But they say their research can’t show whether helpful coping strategies reduced the amount of abuse that carers received. It could be that people’s coping strategies were a result of the amount of abuse they were receiving.

**How reliable are the findings?**

It’s always hard to know whether people answer questions honestly when they’re asked about sensitive issues like this. Some people not want to acknowledge that behaviour they’re experiencing from a loved family member is abusive. But overall, the results are likely to be reliable.

Quite a lot of people (31 percent) who were asked to take part in the study could not be contacted, or decided not to take part. That might affect the figures, if people decided not to take part because they were being abused by their family member and they didn’t want to talk about it.

**Where does the study come from?**

The study was done by researchers at University College London in London, UK, who interviewed carers of people referred to the community mental health teams in London and Essex.

**What does this mean for me?**

If you are caring for someone with dementia, and you’re experiencing upsetting behaviour such as shouting or swearing, you’re not alone. And it’s not personal but a symptom of the illness. However, it’s tough to deal with alone, and you certainly shouldn’t be expected to cope with physical violence.

**What should I do now?**

Talk to your doctor about the types of help available. This could include help in the home, respite care, or changes to the treatment of the person you’re caring for. You may also find it helpful to talk to other carers, for example through the Alzheimer’s Society. Their website (http://www.alzheimers.org.uk) has a useful section for carers, which includes advice on coping with aggressive behaviour.
You can access the full article for free online
http://jnnp.bmj.com/content/81/6/592.full
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