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ABSTRACT

Objective  Short-term efficacy of induction therapy with intravenous immunoglobulin (Ig) in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is well established. However, data of previous studies on maintenance therapy were limited to 24-week treatment period. We aimed to investigate the efficacy and safety of longer-term intravenous Ig therapy for 52 weeks.

Methods  This study was an open-label phase 3 clinical trial conducted in 49 Japanese tertiary centres. 49 patients with CIDP who fulfilled diagnostic criteria were included. After an induction intravenous Ig therapy (0.4 g/kg/day for five consecutive days), maintenance dose intravenous Ig (1.0 g/kg) was given every 3 weeks for up to 52 weeks. The primary outcome measures were the responder rate at week 28 and relapse rate at week 52. The response and relapse were defined with the adjusted Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment scale.

Results  At week 28, the responder rate was 77.6% (38/49 patients; 95% CI 63% to 88%), and the 38 responders continued the maintenance therapy. At week 52, 4 of the 38 (10.5%) had a relapse (95% CI 3% to 25%). During 52 weeks, 34 (69.4%) of the 49 enrolled patients had a maintained improvement. Adverse events were reported in 94% of the patients; two patients (66-year-old and 76-year-old men with hypertension or diabetes) developed cerebral infarction (lacunar infarct with good recovery), and the other adverse effects were mild and resolved by the end of the study period.

Conclusions  Maintenance treatment with 1.0 g/kg intravenous Ig every 3 weeks is an efficacious therapy for patients with CIDP, and approximately 70% of them had a sustained remission for 52 weeks. Thrombotic complications should be carefully monitored, particularly in elderly patients with vascular risk factors.

Trial registration number  ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT01824251).

INTRODUCTION

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) is the most common treatable chronic immune-mediated neuropathy.1 2 Randomised controlled trials have shown that intravenous immunoglobulin (Ig), as well as plasma exchange and corticosteroids, is beneficial in the treatment of CIDP.3-5 Currently, intravenous Ig is often considered a first-line treatment for CIDP, largely because its onset of clinical improvement is generally more rapid than that of corticosteroids, and adverse effects of long-term use of corticosteroids are well recognised and can be serious. A recent randomised study has revealed that treatment with monthly intravenous Ig for 6 months was less frequently discontinued because of inefficacy, adverse events or intolerance than was treatment with monthly intravenous methylprednisolone.6 Therefore, intravenous Ig presumably has a better short-term efficacy than corticosteroids.

Thus, the efficacy of induction therapy with intravenous Ig, usually 2.0 g/kg, has been well established, but patients with CIDP who are given a single course of induction intravenous Ig often have a treatment-dependent relapse and require repeated infusion. The longer-term effects of intravenous Ig treatment on the long course of CIDP need to be studied.

A randomised, placebo-controlled study in 117 patients with CIDP treated with intravenous Ig was published in 2008, termed as the ‘ICE’ study (Intravenous Ig in CIDP Efficacy).6 The study consisted of the two periods; after randomisation, enrolled patients were initially given induction intravenous Ig (2.0 g/kg) followed by maintenance intravenous Ig (1.0 g/kg, every 3 weeks) or placebo for the first 24 weeks (first period), and then, only intravenous Ig responders were rerandomised to the maintenance intravenous Ig or placebo group (extension period). The results showed both short-term and long-term improvements induced by intravenous Ig.6 However, according to the study design, the period of maintenance intravenous Ig was variable.

Subsequently, the PRIMA study (Privigen Impact on Mobility and Autonomy) confirmed the findings of the intravenous Ig treatment arm (maintenance intravenous Ig (1.0 g/kg every 3 weeks)) of the ICE trial conducted in 49 Japanese tertiary centres.11-13 The primary outcome measures were the responder rate at week 28 and relapse rate at week 52. The response and relapse were defined with the adjusted Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment scale.
study in an open-label study; of the 28 patients enrolled, the overall responder rate was 60.7% at week 24.9 So far, there is no study to evaluate the effects of repeated intravenous Ig for more than 24 weeks. In the present study, we therefore expanded the study period up to 52 weeks and investigated the longer-term efficacy and safety of maintenance intravenous Ig treatment.

METHODS
Study design and patients
This study was a multicentre, single-arm, open trial conducted at 49 Japanese tertiary hospitals. The study procedures were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and Japan’s Good Clinical Practice criteria, and approved by the internal review board of each hospital. The design and outcome measures in this trial were based on those of the ICE study to obtain comparable data with those in the placebo arm of the ICE study.5 This study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01824251.

A total of 49 patients with definite or probable CIDP according to the European Federation of Neurological Societies/Peripheral Nerve Society (EFNS/PNS) clinical diagnostic criteria were enrolled.10 Forty-three of them had typical CIDP, and the remaining six were classified into atypical CIDP by the EFNS/PNS clinical classification. The inclusion criteria were defined as having (1) a progressive or recurrent course, (2) an Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment (INCAT) disability score11 of 2 to 9, (3) no additional immunotherapies or, if already treated, not increasing dose of agents for CIDP from 30 days prior to consent and (4) age 20 years or older. The exclusion criteria included suffering (1) a prolonged neurological deficit due to stroke or other central nervous system disorders or other causes of neuropathy; (2) malignancy, other autoimmune disease or POEMS (Polyneuropathy, Organomegaly, Endocrinopathy, Monoclonal gammopathy and Skin changes) syndrome; (3) multifocal motor neuropathy or neuropathy with anti-myelinated oligodendrocyte associated-glycoprotein antibody; (4) plasma exchange within 3 months prior to consent; (5) rituximab treatment within 6 months prior to consent; (6) high-dose intravenous Ig treatment (1.0 g/kg or greater) within 8 weeks prior to consent and (7) receiving intravenous Ig treatment (any dose) within 3 weeks prior to receiving consent.

Procedures
The study design and trial profile are shown in figure 1. After screening, eligible patients were enrolled in the study. Glovenin-I (freeze-dried polyethylene glycol-treated human Ig; Nihon Pharmaceutical, Tokyo, Japan) was used for intravenous Ig therapy. As the initial induction treatment, intravenous Ig (0.4 g/kg/day for five consecutive days) was administered, followed by maintenance treatment every 3 weeks from week 4 (1.0 g/kg/day for 1 day or 0.5 g/kg/day for two consecutive days). According to the ICE study,6 the primary endpoint measure was done at week 28, and only the responders defined with the INCAT score improvement entered to the further maintenance treatment until week 52.

Patients were considered non-responders if the INCAT score remained the same until week 7 or deteriorated by 1 point or more compared with that at week 1 (before administration). Patients who completed the first 28-week treatment were responders and continued the maintenance treatment every 3 weeks from weeks 28 to 49 with observation until week 52. If the INCAT score deteriorated by 1 point or more compared with the score at week 28, the patient was regarded as having a relapse. Discontinuation of intravenous Ig due to reasons other than INCAT score changes was included in relapse according to the predefined statistical analysis plan.

For neurological assessment, the INCAT score, INCAT sensory sum (ISS) score, hand-grip strength and total manual muscle testing score with the Medical Research Council (MRC) score were assessed at each visit. Nerve conduction studies were
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performed at weeks 1, 4, 28 and 52. Laboratory assessment and urine analysis were conducted at the screening period and at weeks 1, 4, 7, 10, 16, 22, 28, 34, 40, 46 and 52.

Outcome measures
The goal of this trial was the approval by the Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices Agency (PMDA), Japan. PMDA advised to take comparable data with those of the ICE study, and therefore the outcome measures were done at week 28 (the responder rate) and week 52 (the relapse rate), according to the ICE study; the primary outcome measures were (1) the proportion of patients who had sustained improvement of 1 point or more on their adjusted INCAT score at week 28 (the responder rate) and (2) the proportion of patients who had deterioration of the adjusted INCAT score by 1 point or more at week 52 (the relapse rate).

In the present study, the success was predefined as (1) the lower limit of the 95% CI of the responder rate at week 24 in the placebo arm of the ICE study (ie, >20.7%) and (2) the upper limit of the 95% CI of the relapse rate at week 52 of the placebo arm of the ICE study (ie, <42.3%).

The secondary outcome measures were also the same as those in the ICE study: the INCAT score, ISS score, hand-grip strength, total MRC score, compound muscle action potential (CMAP), serum IgG level, the number of days taken to improve the INCAT score and the number of days until recurrence. Safety assessments included any adverse event during the study period.

Statistical analyses
Analyses were performed on the full analysis set, which included all patients who received the drug at least once. Missing data of the INCAT score, ISS score, hand-grip strength and total MRC score were input by last period data (the last observation carried forward method). The coefficients are statistically different from 0.

Analyses were performed on the full analysis set, which included all patients who received the drug at least once. Missing data of the INCAT score, ISS score, hand-grip strength and total MRC score were input by last period data (the last observation carried forward method). The coefficients are statistically different from 0.

According to the previous studies (ICE, PRIMA), changes in score from both 0 to 1 and 1 to 0 in upper limb INCAT score were not regarded as deterioration or improvement (the adjusted INCAT score). CIs were calculated using the Clopper-Pearson exact method. Survival analysis was performed to apply the number of days taken for the INCAT score to improve by 1 point or more, and on the number of days until relapse; a Kaplan-Meier curve was created.

The target patient number of 49 was calculated based on the predefined criteria described above. All analyses were performed with the statistical software package SAS V9.2.

RESULTS
Patient disposition
The study lasted from May 2013 and ended in August 2015. A total of 54 patients were screened. Five patients were ineligible, and the remaining 49 were enrolled (figure 1). During the first 28 weeks, 11 patients were discontinued from the study because of no improvement in the adjusted INCAT score, adverse events or consent violation. Also, the remaining 38 patients received continuous maintenance intravenous Ig. Of these, 34 patients completed the study.

Table 1 shows patients’ baseline characteristics. All patients had definite CIDP according to the diagnostic criteria. The mean age was 55 years (range from 22 to 84 years) and the mean disease duration was 72 months (range, 4–387 months).

Efficacy
For the primary outcome measures, the responder rate at week 28 and relapse rate at week 52 are shown in figure 2. At week 28, 77.6% of the patients (95% CI 63.4% to 88.2%) experienced a sustained INCAT score improvement of 1 point or more compared with their score at week 1 (responder). The responder rate and 95% CI were higher than the responder rate in the placebo group of ICE study (20.7%). From week 29 to week 52, 10.5% of the patients (95% CI 2.9% to 24.8%) had a relapse (INCAT score deterioration by 1 point or more compared with that at week 28). The relapse rate and 95% CI were lower than the relapse rate in the placebo group of ICE study (42.3%).

Results of the secondary outcome measures are shown in table 2. The mean value of all the parameters improved from the baseline to week 28, and maintained from weeks 28 to 52, including the INCAT score, ISS score, hand-grip strength and total MRC score. The sequential changes in the total INCAT score and MRC sum score are shown in figure 3. For nerve conduction study data, CMAP amplitude in the most severely affected nerve was increased from the baseline to week 28, and maintained from weeks 28 to 52. Serum IgG levels (trough values) were higher at week 4 than at the baseline, and maintained at approximately 2000 mg/dL up to week 52. The median number of days taken for the INCAT score to improve by 1 point was 45.0 days, and the improvement rate was 97.4% (see online supplementary file 1).

Safety
A total of 46 (93.9%) of the 49 patients experienced adverse events (95% CI 83.1% to 98.7%). Table 3 shows details of adverse events with the incidence of 4% or more. Frequent adverse events were headache (32.7%), nasopharyngitis (28.6%) and skin rash (12.2%). Additionally, adverse drug reactions were observed in 65.3% (32/49 patients, 95% CI 50.4% to 78.3%). No death occurred during the study. Six patients experienced eight serious adverse events, including cerebral infarction (n=2), aggravation of CIDP (n=2), cryptococcal pneumonia (n=1), cholestetoma (n=1), inguinal hernia (n=1) and anxiety neurosis (n=1).

In the two patients who suffered cerebral infarction, case 1, a 76-year-old man, who had a history of hypertension and steroid-induced hyperlipidaemia, developed mild dysarthria at week 51, 6 days after the last maintenance intravenous Ig (1.0 g/kg for 1 day). T2-weighted and diffusion-weighted brain MRI showed lacunar infarction at the right corona radiate. At stroke onset, the serum IgG level was 2222 mg/dL. Oral aspirin (100 mg/ day) was started, and the symptom disappeared 2 months later.

He completed the 52-week trial. Case 2, a 66-year-old man, who had a history of type 2 diabetes and hypertension, developed dysarthria and unsteady gait at week 45, 18 days after the last intravenous Ig (0.5 g/kg for two consecutive days). T2-weighted MRI revealed lacunar infarct in the left pons. The serum IgG level was 1946 mg/dL at stroke onset. The trial stopped because of this, and oral cilostazol (200 mg/day) was initiated. The symptoms were resolved 46 days later.
Our results showed that after induction therapy with conventional full-dose intravenous Ig (2.0 g/kg), maintenance intravenous Ig treatment (1.0 g/kg) every 3 weeks resulted in sustained clinical remission for 52 weeks in 69.4% of all the enrolled patients with CIDP. During the study at week 28, the responder rate at week 28 was 77.6%, and this was significantly higher than in the placebo group in the ICE study (20.7%) and slightly higher than in the intravenous Ig group in the PRIMA study (60.7%) using the similar study period of 24 weeks and the same protocol. The clinical remission was maintained for the next 24 weeks, and therefore this study first showed the efficacy of maintenance intravenous Ig therapy for 52 weeks. The results were supported by improvement in the secondary outcome measures including the adjusted INCAT score, ISS score, grip strength and CMAP amplitude. Thus, this study confirmed the efficacy of maintenance intravenous Ig for 24 weeks in the ICE and PRIMA studies, and showed the sustained effects during the longer treatment period of 52 weeks.

Among the patients included in this study, 43 had typical CIDP, and the remaining six were classified with atypical CIDP according to the EFNS/PNS clinical criteria. Our results therefore showed the long-term efficacy of maintenance intravenous Ig therapy for patients with typical CIDP, whereas effects for atypical CIDP could not be analysed because of the small number of patients.

In this study, the maintenance dose (1.0 g/kg) and interval (3 weeks) of intravenous Ig therapy were the same as those in the previous two studies, and this treatment regimen appears to be efficacious to prevent relapse of CIDP. However, optimal dose and interval for each patient are still unclear. Previous studies have shown different responses among patients with
CIDP treated with the same body weight-based dose, presumably because of different disease activity and Ig metabolism among individual patients. Another issue is the treatment period; two retrospective studies investigating long-term prognosis in patients with CIDP showed that 26% of patients with CIDP reach sustained long-term remission without any treatment. In the present study, the possibility that CIDP became inactive during the 52-week treatment cannot be excluded. Currently, there is no evidence for predicting the individual dose and interval for each patient. The optimal regimen and algorithm for maintenance intravenous Ig should be studied in future clinical trials.

Separately, two patients suffered cerebral infarction (lacunar infarct) during this study; they were aged 76 and 66 years, and had a history of hypertension or diabetes. Intravenous Ig therapy causes an increase in serum viscosity, and this could increase the risk of thromboembolic events. The reported incidence of cerebral infarction associated with conventional intravenous Ig treatment in patients with CIDP is not high; a postmarket survey of Glovenin-I shows that two of the total of 5587 patients with CIDP (0.04%) developed cerebral infarction (Nihon Pharmaceutical, unpublished data, 2010), and thromboembolic

**Table 2** Efficacy of intravenous Ig in patients with CIDP (the secondary measures)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Week 1–28 (n=49)</th>
<th>Week 28 (n=38)*</th>
<th>Week 29–52 (n=38)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INCAT score</td>
<td>4.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>2.8 (1.9)†</td>
<td>2.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISS score</td>
<td>7.4 (4.2)</td>
<td>4.9 (3.8)†</td>
<td>4.3 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip strength (kPa)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant hand</td>
<td>37.1 (25.0)</td>
<td>50.1 (27.2)†</td>
<td>54.2 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-dominant hand</td>
<td>36.6 (22.5)</td>
<td>49.4 (26.1)†</td>
<td>53.1 (24.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total MRC score</td>
<td>50.7±7.3</td>
<td>54.5±8.4</td>
<td>57.0±4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMAP amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>1.1 (1.8)†</td>
<td>1.8 (2.1)¶</td>
<td>1.8 (2.1)¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum IgG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1210 (357)</td>
<td>1936 (369)††</td>
<td>1936 (369)††</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are shown as mean (SD).

*Only responders at week 28 were included.
†p<0.01, compared with a baseline value.
‡n=46.
§n=45.
¶n=34.
**n=22.
††n=48.
‡‡n=38.
CMAP, compound muscle action potential; INCAT, Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment; ISS, INCAT sensory sum; MRC, Medical Research Council.

Figure 2  Responder rate and relapse rate. The responder rate is defined as the percentage of patients who had sustained INCAT score improvement of 1 point or more compared with that at week 1 (before administration) at week 28. The relapse rate indicates the percentage of patients whose INCAT score fell by 1 point or more from that of week 28 (before administration) at week 52. Horizontal lines indicate the responder rate (20.7%) for placebo group in the ICE study first period and relapse rate (42.3%) for placebo group in ICE study extension phase. ICE, Intravenous Ig in CIDP Efficacy; INCAT, Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment.
complications did not occur in the ICE (n=117) and PRIMA (n=28) studies during the 24-week maintenance intravenous Ig treatment. However, because the treatment period of this study was longest for patients with CIDP, the possibility that continuous long-term (52 weeks) hyperviscosity induced lacunar infarction could not be excluded. We suggest that this adverse event should be carefully monitored, particularly in elderly patients with vascular risk factor(s), and that slow infusion rate and occasionally antiplatelet medication may be indicated.

In conclusion, 52-week maintenance intravenous Ig therapy appears to be efficacious to prevent a relapse for typical patients with CIDP. There is a potential risk of thrombotic events, and it should be carefully monitored.
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Table 3 Adverse events reported in ≥4% of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total patients</th>
<th>n=49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients developing adverse events</td>
<td>n=46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of developing adverse events</td>
<td>93.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no of developing adverse events</td>
<td>n=230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverse event name (PT)</td>
<td>No of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasopharyngitis</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rash</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contusion</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper respiratory tract inflammation</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Erythema | 3 | 6.1 |
Elevation of aspartate aminotransferase | 3 | 6.1 |
Sense of fatigue | 3 | 6.1 |
Pruritus | 2 | 4.1 |
Abrasions | 2 | 4.1 |
Influenza | 2 | 4.1 |
Periodontitis | 2 | 4.1 |
Pharyngitis | 2 | 4.1 |
Inguinal hernia | 2 | 4.1 |
Nausea | 2 | 4.1 |
Elevation of alanine aminotransferase | 2 | 4.1 |
Reduction of lymphocyte count | 2 | 4.1 |
Anthropod bite | 2 | 4.1 |

Total patients n=49
Patients developing adverse events n=46
Rate of developing adverse events 93.9%
Total no of developing adverse events n=230
Adverse event name (PT) No of patients Rate (%)
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