RESEARCH PAPER

Long-term health outcomes after exposure to repeated concussion in elite level: rugby union players


ABSTRACT

Background There is continuing concern about effects of concussion in athletes, including risk of the neurodegenerative disease chronic traumatic encephalopathy. However, information on long-term health and wellbeing in former athletes is limited.

Method Outcome after exposure to repeated brain injury was investigated in 52 retired male Scottish international rugby players (RIRP) and 29 male controls who were similar in age and social deprivation. Assessment included history of playing rugby and traumatic brain injury, general and mental health, life stress, concussion symptoms, cognitive function, disability and markers of chronic stress (allostatic load).

Results The estimated number of concussions in RIRP averaged 14 (median=7; IQR 5-40). Performance was poorer in RIRP than controls on a test of verbal learning (p=0.022) and of fine co-ordination of the dominant hand (p=0.038) and not significantly different on other cognitive tests (p>0.05). There were no significant associations between number of concussions and performance on cognitive tests. Other than a higher incidence of cardiovascular disease in controls, no group differences were detected in general or mental health or estimates of allostatic load. In RIRP, persisting symptoms attributed to concussion were more common if reporting more than nine concussions (p=0.028), although these symptoms were not perceived to affect social or work functioning.

Conclusions Despite a high number of concussions in RIRP, differences in mental health, social or work functioning were not found late after injury. Subtle group differences were detected on two cognitive tests, the cause of which is uncertain. Prospective group comparison studies on representative cohorts are required.

INTRODUCTION

There is growing concern about persisting consequences of concussion or mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI) in sports including the potential for repeated mTBI to lead to long-term neurodegenerative changes, specifically chronic traumatic encephalopathy (CTE). The late consequences of mTBI are reported as a cluster of non-specific symptoms that include depression, irritability, poorer concentration and memory, and in some, personality change and more widespread cognitive symptoms that can be consistent with mild cognitive impairment or dementia.

METHODS

Approvals Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the University of Glasgow College of Medical Veterinary and Life Sciences Research Ethics Committee.

Participants Retired international rugby players (RIRP) were identified as male former Scottish internationalists who were similar in age and social deprivation.
on a database of former players held by the Scottish Rugby Union (SRU; n=350). Potential RIRP participants were contacted by the SRU by mass email, with information on the study and an invitation to participate. Those agreeing to take part were then contacted by the research team and written consent was obtained. Inclusion criteria were: age 18 or over, fluent in English, capable of giving consent and capable of assessment. Those continuing to play rugby were excluded.

Male controls were recruited from friends or relatives of the RIRP, from community groups or from school teachers. Inclusion criteria were: male, similar to RIRP in age and Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation 2012 (SIMD)18 quintile, fluent in English, capable of giving consent to take part and capable of assessment. SIMD ranks deprivation across Scotland and is derived from postcodes, each rank comprising a small section of the population. Exclusion criteria were: female, TBI (including concussion) on more than one occasion with loss of consciousness (LOC) and/or associated symptoms of confusion or disorientation, nausea, dizziness, poor balance, blurred vision or severe headache,19 or any previous moderate or severe TBI (LOC>30 min or more or post-traumatic amnesia (PTA) for >1 day) or a diagnosis of chronic and debilitating neurological or psychiatric disorder.

Assessments
All assessments were performed in face-to-face interviews between February 2014 and February 2015. The protocol for assessment comprised the following domains:

Background information
This was a brief self-report inventory relating to demographic background, current diagnoses with disease, current medication and a brief history of rugby playing (years, position).

History of TBI
A form to assess the history of concussion and of injury to the head that might be consistent with TBI. It estimated the number and approximate date of last concussion; details of any hospital admissions with TBI and assessment of PTA associated with any injuries without hospital admission that seem severe. Concussion was defined for participants during the interview as follows: ‘a blow or injury to your head where you may or may not have lost consciousness and then had symptoms such as dizziness, blurred vision, nausea, vomiting, headache, poor concentration. It might be that symptoms were not noticeable straight away but you may have noticed them later or have had ‘gaps’ in your memory for the game that were unusual or you might have remembered little at all about the game’ (see online supplementary file 1).

Cognition
The Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA; screening test of general cognitive function);20 Symbol Digit Test (information processing speed);21 Trail Making Test (executive function);22 Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT; memory and learning);23 Sustained Attention to Response Task (SART; sustained attention);24, 25 Judgment of Line Orientation Test (visual perception)26 and the Lafayette Grooved Pegboard (fine hand coordination).27

Psychological assessment, disability outcome and alcohol use
The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS);28 Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire (RPQ);29 Short Form Health Survey (SF-36; questions 1 and 2);30 Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended (GOSE);31 the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT).32

Allostatic load
An AL score was created using biomarkers from its five components:14 (1) neuroendocrine (aldosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone); (2) immune (C reactive protein, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-α); (3) metabolic (triglycerides, creatinine, high-density lipoprotein, albumin); (4) cardiovascular/respiratory (blood pressure, heart rate, forced expiratory volume); (5) anthropometric (waist hip ratio, body mass index). Markers for components (1–3) were measured from venous blood taken at the time of the assessment. Values for each biomarker were transformed, and z scores calculated and averaged for each component; the totals for each component were then summed to create the AL score.

Statistical analyses
Data are summarised using the mean, SD and range for continuous variables and the number and percentage for categorical data. Continuous outcomes were investigated using linear regression models and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. Binary outcomes were compared using logistic regression models and Fisher’s Exact tests. Comparisons of ordinal categorical outcomes were tested using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test. Models to compare outcomes between the RIRP group and the control group were adjusted for the matching/design variables of age and SIMD quintile. Years of education was also adjusted for in models investigating cognitive outcomes.

To investigate effects of repeated concussion within the RIRP group, the number of concussions sustained was included in models of cognitive and psychological outcomes as well as AL outcomes as the only covariate and grouped into three levels: no repeat concussions (0–1), moderate repeat concussion (2–9) and high repeat concussion (10 or more). Associations between cognitive and psychological outcomes and number of repeat concussions were also assessed using Spearman’s rank correlation.

Similar analyses were carried out in relation to the number of international matches in the RIRP group; the only covariate in these models was defined by splitting the RIRP group at the median number of matches played.

All analyses were carried out using SAS for Windows V.9.2, and a p value <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS
Recruitment
Enquiries were received from 76 RIRP, of whom 71 were eligible to take part. Of these, 52 (73%) were recruited and assessed; the remainder did not respond to repeated invitations or were not available over a period of several months. Forty-six controls enquired about the study; data were obtained on 29 (63%); 8 were excluded and 9 did not respond.

Demographic characteristics and rugby history
Demographic differences between groups were non-significant with the exception of a higher number of years of education in controls than RIRP (p=0.025; table 1). In both groups, the mean years of education was high. As anticipated, RIRP had played rugby for longer, were older when they stopped playing and had played more recently than those controls that had ever
played rugby. The average number of international matches played within the RIRP group was 24 (SD 24) with an IQR from 5 to 40; 95% of RIRP played 77 matches or less.

**History of TBI**

No participant reported a TBI with LOC for more than 30 min suggesting that all concussion events could have been 'mild' (table 2). All RIRP (92%) reported experiencing at least one concussion while playing rugby. The RIRP group experienced symptoms for more than an hour following a concussion on 2.7 occasions on average. In the RIRP the longest history of TBI for controls is given in table 2. Approximately 92% of RIRP reported experiencing at least one concussion while playing rugby. The average number of international matches played within the RIRP group was 24 (SD 24) with an IQR from 5 to 40; 95% of RIRP played 77 matches or less.

**Table 2** Conclusions from rugby or other causes*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RIRP</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ever had a concussion†</td>
<td>48 (92%)</td>
<td>10 (34%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rugby related</td>
<td>48 (92%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-rugby related</td>
<td>15 (27%)</td>
<td>7 (24%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that comparison between groups on the RPQ is not valid.

**Health characteristics**

Current and chronic health diagnoses were categorised as cardiovascular, respiratory, neoplastic, rheumatoid, orthopaedic, neurological, gastric, mental health, sensory, pain, alcohol use, allergic or dermatological. The frequencies in each category did not differ significantly between groups except for a higher frequency of chronic cardiovascular disorder in controls (21%) than in RIRP (2%; p=0.027) and a non-significant trend towards a higher frequency of chronic orthopaedic problems in RIRP (14%) than in controls (3%; p=0.095). History of smoking did not differ significantly between groups (table 3).

RIRP self-reported a less positive rating of health over the past year than controls on question 2 of the SF-36; note that the average health ratings over the past year for both groups translate to health as ‘somewhat better’ or ‘about the same’. One RIRP had a current diagnosis of a deteriorating neurological condition (Parkinson’s disease). Current diagnoses of mental health problems were reported in four RIRP (depression (n=2); post traumatic stress disorder; sleep problems) and one control (depression). Current medication reflected this picture with psychotropic medication confined to antidepressants prescribed to two RIRP and one control. An opioid analgesic was prescribed to one RIRP.

**Mental health assessment and cognitive function**

In terms of clinical ‘caseness’ on the HADS, the average scores were in the ‘normal’ range and no individual in either group scored in the ‘severe’ range for depression or anxiety (table 4). Note that comparison between groups on the RPQ is not valid.
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The RPQ specifically asks about symptoms in relation to concussion and would not describe a baseline prevalence of these non-specific symptoms in controls, most of whom had no history of concussion and automatically score zero.

Differences between groups on the HADS and on cognitive tests were non-significant, except for poorer performance in the RIRP group on a test of verbal learning (RAVLTT-immediate recall) and on a test of fine motor coordination in the dominant hand (Grooved Pegboard Test). On the MOCA (a screening test for cognitive decline) there was no overall group difference.

However, this test is often used clinically with a cut-off to indicate impairment. One RIRP scored below a conservative cut-off score of <22. If using the more commonly used cut-off of <26, which has a lower specificity15 nine RIRP (17%) and one control (3%) fell below the cut-off (p=0.087; unadjusted Fisher’s Exact test).

### Table 3 Health characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RIRP mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</th>
<th>Controls mean (SD) [minimum, maximum]</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS depression</td>
<td>2.8 (2.1) [0, 9]</td>
<td>2.6 (2.8) [0, 10]</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS anxiety</td>
<td>4.8 (3.0) [0, 12]</td>
<td>5.2 (3.6) [0, 12]</td>
<td>0.157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOCA</td>
<td>27.4 (2.3) [21, 30]</td>
<td>28.0 (1.5) [25, 30]</td>
<td>0.806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT immediate recall</td>
<td>50.2 (11.1) [26, 71]</td>
<td>56.1 (8.4) [42, 72]</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAVLT delayed recall</td>
<td>10.5 (3.6) [2, 15]</td>
<td>11.6 (2.3) [8, 15]</td>
<td>0.165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SART (commission errors)</td>
<td>10.3 (5.0) [8, 13]</td>
<td>10.0 (6.0) [6, 12]</td>
<td>0.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SART (reaction time)</td>
<td>336 (68) [186, 563]</td>
<td>313 (65) [258, 570]</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol Digit Test</td>
<td>50.9 (11.2) [25, 76]</td>
<td>53.0 (7.5) [31, 70]</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trail Making Test B (s)</td>
<td>56.1 (18.5) [4, 23]</td>
<td>51.9 (17.6) [26, 91]</td>
<td>0.434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgement of Line Orientation</td>
<td>28.2 (1.9) [23, 30]</td>
<td>28.1 (2.3) [21, 30]</td>
<td>0.442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grooved Pegboard Test (s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominant hand</td>
<td>74.9 (12.3) [54.7, 105.0]</td>
<td>68.7 (14.0) [49.4, 108.0]</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-dominant hand</td>
<td>85.4 (15.3) [47.9, 118.0]</td>
<td>80.1 (20.0) [55.9, 149.1]</td>
<td>0.126</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of concussions and outcomes in retired international players

RIRP subgroups were created on the basis of no repeat concussions (0–1), moderate (2–9) and high number of repeats (10 or more; table 3). No RIRP subgroup differences were found for mental health (HADS) or general health (SF-36). Persisting concussion symptom scores (RPQ) differed between the three subgroups (p=0.028; unadjusted Kruskal-Wallis test), with higher scores in ‘high’ repeat than in no repeat concussion subgroups. Overall, the average score on the RPQ was 4.6 (SD 8.4; range 0–28).

There were no significant differences between the three RIRP subgroups on cognitive tests and no significant correlations with overall frequency of concussion, including for RAVLT-immediate recall (r=−0.16; p=0.268), Grooved Pegboard (dominant hand; r=−0.10; p=0.482) and the MOCA (r=−0.08; p=0.554).

There was no significant difference on the GOSE between the three concussion subgroups. There was a significant correlation between GOSE and concussion exposure overall (r=−0.32; p=0.020) with lower GOSE ratings (less perfect recovery) associated with higher repeat concussion. Specifically, 11/52 RIRP reported symptoms linked to concussion that had some impact on daily life but were not disabling (Lower Good Recovery GOSE=7) and 2 (1 moderate and 1 high frequency of concussion) reported Upper Moderate Disability (GOSE=6). The remaining 39 were in the Upper Good Recovery category (no persisting effect; GOSE=8).

Influence of number of international matches played on outcomes in RIRP

Comparisons were made within the RIRP group using a median split (17 or more matches and <17 matches). General health compared with a year before was self-reported to be better in
those who had played more than 17 matches (p=0.008; 95% CI 0.12 to 0.78). No significant differences in mental health or cognitive function were found.

**Allostatic load**

There were no significant differences between RIRP and control groups for total AL (p=0.635) or its components (cardiovascular/respiratory p=0.498; neuroendocrine p=0.856; metabolic p=0.624; immune p=0.682). An exception was the anthropometric component (p=0.043; 95% CI −0.94 to −0.02); this reflects higher body mass index (p=0.006) and hip circumference (p=0.004) in the RIRP group. Within the RIRP group, no significant associations were found between no, moderate or high repeat concussions and total AL score (p=0.315) or the components of AL (see online supplementary file 2 for further details).

**DISCUSSION**

A high number of repeat concussions/mTBI was associated with participation in rugby union in this cohort of retired international players, given the overall median of 7 self-reported concussions and that 34% self-reported at least 10 concussions. On the basis of self-report of duration of LOC and of symptom persistence, all TBIs seem to have been mild, and this context is important when considering the similarity between RIRP and controls in terms of mental health and cognitive outcome. The average scores in these domains for both groups were generally non-clinical (see text).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repeat concussion</th>
<th>No (n=7)</th>
<th>Moderate (n=27)</th>
<th>High (n=18)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HADS depression†</td>
<td>3.1 (3.3) [0, 9]</td>
<td>2.6 (1.9) [0, 7]</td>
<td>3.1 (1.7) [0, 6]</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HADS anxiety†</td>
<td>3.7 (2.9) [1, 9]</td>
<td>4.7 (2.6) [0, 10]</td>
<td>5.4 (3.5) [1, 12]</td>
<td>0.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPQ†</td>
<td>0.3 (0.8) [0, 2]</td>
<td>2.3 (5.5) [0, 19]</td>
<td>9.6 (11.1) [0, 28]</td>
<td>0.028†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOSE &lt;8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (22%)</td>
<td>7 (39%)</td>
<td>0.142¶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unadjusted linear regression model p value.
†Mean (SD) [range].
‡Non-parametric test p value; linear model inappropriate due to non-normal distribution.
¶Unadjusted Fisher’s exact test p value; logistic regression model not appropriate due to small numbers.

GOSE, Glasgow Outcome Scale-Extended; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; RPQ, Rivermead Post Concussion Symptoms Questionnaire.
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**Table 5** Mental health, persisting concussion symptoms and disability outcomes in ‘no’, ‘moderate’ (2–9) and ‘high’ (>9) repeat concussion subgroups
available on the non-respondents and whether the sample presented here is representative of the population is not known. The higher frequency of self-reported cardiovascular disease in controls could raise a question over whether there was associated cognitive impairment which might reduce the likelihood of finding group differences on cognitive tests; however, the numbers here are small, and this finding should be seen in its context of the control group all reporting their general health to range between good and excellent (table 3), making such an effect unlikely. Future studies may however consider including a comparison group of athletes to control for health factors that may be associated with competitive team sport, such as high levels of physical fitness, late impact of orthopaedic injury or drug use (eg, opioid analgesics, anabolic steroids) during their playing careers. Undoubtedly large-scale prospective studies on representative cohorts of athletes with matching to appropriate control populations are required to further consider any association between exposure to repetitive concussion/mTBI and longer term outcomes.

In common with many such retrospective studies, there is an absence of objective information about TBI in terms of actual number and severity; recent evidence suggests that the concordance between recorded incidence of concussion in sports and self-report may be poor.12 Also of note is that many in the absence of objective information about TBI in terms of actual control populations are required to further consider any association between exposure to repetitive concussion/mTBI and the impact of drug use (eg, opioid analgesics, anabolic steroids) during their psychological attributions about mTBI and the impact of

Future studies need to overcome these methodological difficulties. Finally, although detectable but ‘non-clinical’ differences were found on some cognitive measures between the groups, the reason for this is uncertain. Although brain pathology could be the cause, these differences could reflect psychological attributions about mTBI and the impact of retrospective recall bias on symptom reporting.12 43 44 The latter interpretation may even seem more plausible given the absence of significant relationships between scores on cognitive or mental health measures and the high number of repeat mTBI in RIRP.

CONCLUSIONS

The number of self-reported concussions in RIRP was high relative to many other studies, and although signs of long-term effects were detectable they were overall, mild. General health and mental health of the retired international players was not poorer than controls, and on cognitive tests, the retired international players performed in the ‘normal range’, and where differences were found, they were not associated with a higher number of repeat concussions. More repeat concussions were associated with self-report of persisting concussion symptoms, and a poorer outcome on the GOSE, and again although detectable, these effects were mild and did not reflect disability in terms of social or work function. However, given the limitations of a retrospective study with self-reported recall of concussion events and a modest sample size, further work is required using a number of the methodological features of this study, but in a larger cohort of retired athletes.
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