



OPEN ACCESS

RESEARCH PAPER

CASPR2 autoantibodies are raised during pregnancy in mothers of children with mental retardation and disorders of psychological development but not autism

Ester Coutinho,¹ Leslie Jacobson,¹ Marianne Giørtz Pedersen,^{2,3} Michael Eriksen Benros,^{2,3,4} Bent Nørgaard-Pedersen,⁵ Preben Bo Mortensen,^{2,3,6} Paul J Harrison,^{7,8} Angela Vincent¹

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Professor Angela Vincent, Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK; angela.vincent@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

Received 9 November 2016

Revised 20 March 2017

Accepted 24 March 2017

Published Online First

1 June 2017

ABSTRACT

Background, Methods and Objectives Maternal autoantibodies to neuronal proteins may be one cause of neurodevelopmental disorders. This exploratory study used the Danish archived midgestational sera and their nationwide registers to search for antibodies to the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) and contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CASPR2) in maternal sera, and to relate them to subsequent psychiatric diagnoses in the woman or her child.

Results In a sample of 192 women, there was no association between antibody status and subsequent psychosis in the mothers. However, NMDAR antibodies (n=4) or CASPR2 antibodies (n=1) were identified in 5/11 (45.5%) women whose children were given a diagnosis of mild or unspecified mental retardation or disorders of psychological and motor development (collectively abbreviated as mental retardation and/or disorders of psychological development (MR/DPD)) compared with 9/176 (5.1%) of the remaining mother (p<0.001). These findings were followed up in a specifically selected cohort, in which CASPR2 antibodies were detected in 7/171 (4.1%) mothers of MR/DPD progeny, compared with only 1/171 (0.6%) control mother (p=0.067). The combined sample showed a significantly higher frequency of CASPR2 antibodies in mothers of MD/DPD children (p=0.01). These autoantibodies were not increased in mothers of children with autistic spectrum disorder.

Conclusions These findings complement the known roles of CASPR2 in brain development, and warrant further epidemiological and experimental studies to clarify the role of CASPR2 and possibly other antibodies in neurodevelopmental disorders.

offspring.^{2,3} However, the identity of specific extracellular targets, the importance of autoantibodies as risk factors and the range of disorders in affected children are undetermined.

NMDAR antibodies have been reported in women with postpartum psychosis,⁴ and such women may be at higher risk for children with neurodevelopmental disorders,⁵ so we first asked whether neuronal surface antibodies were raised in mothers with subsequent psychosis and whether they were associated with an increased incidence of neurodevelopmental disorders in their children. Based on the results, we then looked at specifically selected samples for CASPR2 and NMDAR antibodies in mothers of children with mild or unspecified mental retardation and/or disorders of psychological development (MR/DPD). For comparison, we also tested 190 samples from mothers of children given a diagnosis of autism and control mothers.

METHODS

Cohorts

The pregnancy screening biobank at the State Serum Institute stores serum samples taken from more than 100 000 women who gave birth in five Danish counties from 1977 to 1997. In Denmark, all residents can be linked to clinical registries and biobanks. We used the nationwide Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register (DPCRR) to identify the following samples in the biobank.⁶⁻⁸

Cohort A: This included first trimester mothers with a first diagnosis of schizophrenia spectrum disorder (International Classification of Diseases (ICD)-8: 295, 297, 298.39, 301.83; ICD-10: F20–F29) at some time after delivery (n=94, cases) and mothers without a history of schizophrenia spectrum disorder (n=93, controls) in the DPCRR. A posthoc analysis looked for a diagnosis of psychiatric or neurodevelopmental diseases (ICD-10 codes F20–F39, F70–F79, F80–F89, F90–F98) in the index child (serum sample collected during that pregnancy) from the DPCRR.

Cohort B: This included gestational week 14–18 mothers of children with mild or unspecified MR/DPD (ICD-10: F70, F79, F80–F83.9, F88–F89.9) (n=171, cases) and mothers whose child had not

INTRODUCTION

A role for autoantibodies is increasingly apparent for several neuropsychiatric phenotypes, including encephalitis, epilepsy and psychosis.¹ Prominent among the identified neuronal surface targets are the N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) and contactin-associated protein-like 2 (CASPR2). Meanwhile, transfer of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies from mother to the fetus during pregnancy may be one cause of neurodevelopmental changes in their



► <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2017-315724>



CrossMark

To cite: Coutinho E, Jacobson L, Pedersen MG, et al. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2017;**88**:718–721.

received these diagnoses by the age at diagnosis of the case (n=171, controls) in the DPCRR.

Cohort C: This included gestational week 14–18 mothers of children with an autism spectrum disorder (ASD; ICD-10: F84.0, F84.1, F84.5, F84.8, F84.9) (n=95, cases) and mothers whose child had not received these diagnoses by the age at diagnosis of the case (n=95, controls) in the DPCRR.

Cases and controls from all cohorts were matched on parity and age at delivery (± 365 days) and also on gender of offspring in cohorts B and C.

Antibody testing

We screened samples for serum IgG-specific antibodies binding to NMDAR or CASPR2 (all cohorts) and Leucine Rich Glioma Inactivated 1 (LGI1), alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptor (AMPA) and gamma-aminobutyric acid receptor b (GABABR) antibodies (cohort A only) using live immunofluorescent cell-based assays as performed in the Oxford laboratory for clinical diagnoses.^{9 10} These assays involve binding of serum IgG-specific antibodies to live human embryonic kidney cells transfected with cDNA encoding the different proteins. This cell-based assay uses a fluorescently-tagged secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor goat antihuman IgG, Invitrogen; A21090) that reacts with the human IgG heavy chains and the light chains of all classes of immunoglobulin. To confirm positivity and avoid detection of IgM antibodies (which are not transferred to the fetus), positive samples were additionally screened using a secondary antibody targeting the Fc region of IgG (goat antihuman Fc IgG; Thermo Scientific 31 125) followed by detection with the appropriate fluorescently-tagged tertiary antibody (Alexa Fluor donkey antigoat IgG, Invitrogen A-11055). All samples were sent fully coded and decoding was done after reporting the results back to the Danish partners. Samples were only considered positive if agreed by two observers and also confirmed by the anti-IgG specific assay (two samples were insufficient for confirmation). This additional confirmatory step was done retrospectively for cohort A on coded samples. Seropositivity was scored visually on a non-linear scale from 0 to 4.^{9 10}

Statistics

In this exploratory study, Fisher's exact tests were used to compare differences as described in the text; $p < 0.05$ (two-tailed) was considered significant.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Danish Data Protection Agency and the Research Ethics Committee. All samples were coded, and experiments and analyses conducted blind to case status.

RESULTS

Cohort A: mothers with a diagnosis of psychosis after delivery and matched controls

Of all the pregnant women, 11 (5.8%) had NMDAR antibodies (7/94 in mothers with subsequent psychosis vs 4/93 in control mothers ($p=0.54$)) and 3 (2%) had CASPR2 antibodies (1/94 and 2/93, respectively), with no relationship of antibodies with later psychosis in the mothers ($p=0.78$; see [table 1](#)). All samples were negative for LGI1, AMPAR or GABABR antibodies.

However, when the records of the children were examined, a total of 28/187 (15%; both psychosis mothers and controls) had a neuropsychiatric disorder diagnosis (see [table 1](#)). NMDAR or CASPR2 antibodies were present in 5/28 (18%) of their mothers compared with only 9/159 (6%) of the remaining mothers

Table 1 Results of cohort A according to neuropsychiatric diagnoses of the mother and offspring

Mothers with subsequent psychosis and individually matched control mothers			
	N	CBA-positive (NMDAR/CASPR2)	CBA-negative
Mother			
Psychosis	94	8 (7/1)	86
No psychosis	93	6 (4/2)	87
Offspring			
Developmental disorder	28	5 (4/1)	23
MR/DPD	11	5 (4/1)	6
Schizophrenia	3	0	3
Hyperkinetic disorders	10	0	10
Multiple diagnoses (not including MR/DPD)	4	0	4
No neurodevelopmental disorder	159	9 (7/2)	150

CASPR2, contactin-associated protein-like 2; MR/DPD, mental retardation and/or disorders of psychological development; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. CBA = cell-based assay.

without such diagnoses in their offspring ($p=0.04$). Strikingly, 5/11 (46%) mothers whose children received diagnoses in the category of MR/DPD had NMDAR antibodies (n=4; 36%) or CASPR2 antibodies (n=1, 9%), compared with 9/176 (5%; 7 NMDAR antibodies, 2 CASPR2 antibodies) mothers of children without these diagnoses ($p=0.0004$; see [table 2](#)). The association between maternal NMDAR antibody and neurodevelopmental disease was significant ($p=0.0018$), but the results for CASPR2 antibodies (1/11 vs 2/176) did not reach significance ($p=0.17$). None of the children had received a diagnosis in the autism spectrum.

Cohort B: mothers of children with MR/DPD and matched controls

Given the unpredicted finding of an association of maternal autoantibodies with MR/DPD, we then sought to corroborate this observation by studying a cohort of 171 mothers with children with MR/DPD and 171 control mothers. As expected¹¹ for these diagnoses, there were more males than females (112 M, 59 F) in affected children and gender-matched controls.

NMDAR antibodies were not different between mothers with or without children with MR/DPD (10/171 (6%) vs 9/171 (5%)). By contrast, there was a trend towards more frequent CASPR2 antibodies (7/171; 4%) in the mothers of the children with MR/DPD compared with 1/171 (1%) of the control mothers ($p=0.067$). The children of these seven mothers did not show any gender predominance (4 M, 3 F). Two of the children had a diagnosis of mental retardation with or without impairment of behaviour, while the other five children had mixed specific developmental disorders, specific developmental disorders of motor function, speech articulation or reading disorders, or unspecified disorders of psychological development. Further information was restricted by Danish data protection regulations.

[Figure 1A](#) shows an example of a maternal serum binding to CASPR2-transfected cells, comparing with a control serum. Combining the data from cohorts A and B, CASPR2 antibodies were identified in 8/182 (4%) mothers with children with MR/DPD and only 3/347 (1%) in mothers of children without these diagnoses ($p=0.01$; [figure 1B](#)). NMDAR antibodies were

Table 2 Antibodies in maternal sera and their associations with MR/DPD in the offspring

	Neuropsychiatric/developmental diagnosis of children		Fisher's p value
	MR/DPD	No MR/DPD	
	Positive/Total (%)	Positive/Total (%)	
Cohort A: mothers with subsequent psychosis and individually matched control mothers			
Either antibody	5/11 (45.5)	9/176 (5.1)	0.0004
CASPR2-Ab	1/11 (9.1)	2/176 (1.1)	0.17
NMDAR-Ab	4/11 (36.0)	7/176 (4.0)	0.00518
Cohort B: mothers of children with mental retardation and other disorders of psychological development and individually matched control mothers			
CASPR2-Ab	7/171 (4.1)	1/171 (0.6)	0.067
NMDAR-Ab	10/171 (5.8)	9/171 (5.3)	>0.99
Combined analysis			
CASPR2-Ab	8/182 (4.4)	3/347 (0.9)	0.01
NMDAR-Ab	14/182 (7.7)	16/347 (4.6)	0.17

MR/DPD as defined here includes children with a mild or unspecified mental retardation with or without impairment of behaviour, specific developmental disorders of scholastic skills or other disorders of psychological development.

CASPR2, contactin-associated protein-like 2; MR/DPD, mental retardation and/or disorders of psychological development; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor. Significant p values are in bold.

present in 14/182 (8%) mothers with children with MR/DPD and in 16/347 (5%) other mothers (p=0.17; [table 2](#)).

Cohort C: mothers of children with autism and matched controls

During the later stages of this work, we became aware that others had identified CASPR2 antibodies in mothers of children with autism.¹² However, in a cohort of samples from 95 mothers of children with a diagnosis of ASD, we did not find an excess of CASPR2 antibodies (one female, 1%) compared with the mothers of children without this diagnosis (one male, one female, 2%, p>0.99), and the overall positivity was very similar to that in the non-MR/DPD groups above (ie, around 1%; [table 3](#)). NMDAR antibodies were also not different between mothers with or without children with ASD (2/95 (2%) vs 3/95 (3%), [table 3](#)).

DISCUSSION

The aetiology of intellectual disability (MR) or of specific developmental brain disorders is unknown in many cases. There are

genetic associations, reflecting multiple alleles of small effect, and rare cases with gene mutations or copy number variants.¹³ Maternal immunity is a relatively unexplored area. We linked a gestational serum biobank and patient register to show that maternal antibodies to a specific neuronal antigen, CASPR2, were associated with MR/DPD disorders in the progeny. Our results are the first to identify a specific target for potentially fetopathogenic antibodies in a large human gestational cohort.

Previous published data apply primarily to mothers of children diagnosed with ASD,³ rather than intellectual disability per se or the neurodevelopmental disorders identified here. Recently, CASPR2 antibodies were reported in 37% of a selected (brain-reactive) subgroup of mothers of autistic children compared with 8%–12% in the control groups;¹² these authors demonstrated in an experimental model that a cloned maternal human CASPR2 antibody, applied during gestation, led to features of autism in male mouse offspring. However, we found no evidence for an increased prevalence of CASPR2 antibodies in the mothers of children with ASD, with only one CASPR2 antibody-positive patient in the autism cohort (n=95). Nevertheless, our results confirm that CASPR2 is a good candidate for antibody-associated neurodevelopmental disorders.

CASPR2 has an important role during neurodevelopment, being necessary for the correct formation of neural circuits through maturation of dendritic spines and control of dendritic arborisation.¹⁴ Variants of *CNTNAP2*, the gene encoding CASPR2, have been found in patients with ASD and in other neurodevelopmental disorders, such as psychosis, intellectual disability and speech impairment.¹⁵ Antibodies to CASPR2




Figure 1 (A) Representative photomicrographs of the cell binding of a CASPR2-positive and a CASPR2-negative sample in the live cell-based assay (serum dilution 1:100; score 1.5). Scale bar: 20 μm. (B) CASPR2 antibody binding scores (0–4; negative <1) in mothers of children with MR/DPD and control mothers (combined results of cohorts A and B) and in mothers of children with ASD and control mothers (cohort C). CASPR2 antibodies were five times more common in mothers of children given a diagnosis of MR/DPD, but not different between mothers of children with ASD and control mothers. Endpoint dilution antibody titres were generally low to modest, 1:100 (screening dilution) to 1:300, consistent with the scores shown. ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CASPR2, contactin-associated protein-like 2; MR/DPD, mental retardation and/or disorders of psychological development.

Table 3 Antibodies in maternal sera and their associations with autism in the offspring

	Psychiatric diagnosis of children		Fisher's p value
	ASD	No ASD	
	Positive/Total (%)	Positive/Total (%)	
CASPR2-Ab	1/95 (1.1)	2/95 (2.1)	>0.99
NMDAR-Ab	2/95 (2.1)	3/95 (3.2)	>0.99

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; CASPR2, contactin-associated protein-like 2; NMDAR, N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor.

are present in adult patients with a variety of neurological syndromes.¹ It seems likely that maternal CASPR2 antibodies transferred during pregnancy could impair the function of the protein during fetal brain development. Whether these antibodies are responsible for diagnoses of MR/DPD (or ASD) in the offspring, and the mechanisms involved, will require further careful analyses and animal models. Our data using a similar model system² to that mentioned above¹² also demonstrate long-lasting changes in brain histology and behaviour in mice exposed to CASPR2 antibodies in utero (Ester Coutinho and Angela Vincent, under revision).

There are evident limitations and aspects for further study. Although NMDARs are important in intellectual development, and NMDAR antibodies are most frequent in young adult females,¹ and occasionally cause postpartum psychosis,⁴ we did not find a reproducible association between gestational NMDAR antibodies and maternal psychosis or MR/DPD in the progeny, although NMDAR antibodies were more frequent overall in both groups. Regarding maternal CASPR2 antibodies, there was only a trend ($p=0.067$) towards association with a diagnosis of MR/DPD in the offspring, unless we combined the data from both cohorts, which was not our a priori intention. Moreover, the levels of antibodies were often low (see figure 1 legend), unlike the higher titres ($>1:500$) typically found in patients with autoimmune encephalitis;¹⁶ this can be partly explained by decreased immunity in pregnancy, increased plasma volume and transfer of IgG to the fetus during pregnancy.¹⁷ Unfortunately, at these low levels, confirmatory tests for CASPR2 antibodies, such as immunohistochemistry or binding to live neurons, are not positive in our hands (Ester Coutinho, Angela Vincent unpublished results). Third, the CASPR2 antibodies were also found in four control mothers overall, indicating that there are likely other factors that determine their pathogenicity, such as expression levels of the neonatal Fc receptor, timing of the antibodies in relation to the maximum potential damage to the developing brain or the existence of additional proinflammatory cytokines. Fourth, phenotypic overlap between ASD and MR/DPD can occur, but this was not possible to explore due to data protection.

Despite the limitations, these data should stimulate further research, both epidemiological and experimental, into the role of CASPR2 and other potentially fetopathogenic maternal antibodies in disorders of brain development. This study found an association between maternal CASPR2 antibodies and a diagnosis of MR/DPD in the progeny, but it is possible that other antibodies targeting other, yet unknown, neuronal antigens might be present in the maternal sera. The association found in this study, although interesting and potentially relevant, needs further validation in other patient cohorts, and the pathogenic potential of CASPR2 antibodies needs to be established in appropriate animal models, as in the study of Brimberg et al¹² and our own (Ester Coutinho and Angela Vincent, under revision). Only then may we be able to translate these findings into clinical practice, and possibly offer prenatal screening to mothers with multiple affected pregnancies, in line with other antibody-mediated neonatal and neurodevelopmental disorders.

Author affiliations

¹Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

²Department of Economics and Business Economics, National Centre for Register-based Research, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

³iPSYCH, The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, Aarhus, Denmark

⁴Mental Health Centre Copenhagen, University of Copenhagen, Faculty of Health Sciences, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁵Department of Congenital Disorders, Danish Centre for Neonatal Screening, Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁶Centre for Integrated Register-Based Research (CIRRAU), Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

⁸Oxford Health NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, Oxfordshire, UK

Contributors EC and AV conceptualised the study. EC and AV were responsible for methodology. EC, LJ, MGP and MEB conducted the investigation. AV obtained resources. PH, PBM, BN-P and AV supervised the study. EC and AV wrote the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript.

Funding EC is funded by Programme for Advanced Medical Education, Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian. This work was also supported by the Stanley Medical Research Institute.

Competing interests AV and the University of Oxford hold a patent for LG11 and CASPR2 antibodies, licensed to Euroimmun AG, and receive a proportion of royalties for autoimmune encephalitis diagnostics.

Patient consent Historical cohort under Danish research ethics.

Ethics approval Danish Data Protection Agency and the Research Ethics Committee.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Open Access This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

© Article author(s) (or their employer(s) unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2017. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- Crisp SJ, Kullmann DM, Vincent A. Autoimmune synaptopathies. *Neuroscience Nature Reviews* 2016;17:103–17.
- Dalton P, Deacon R, Blamire A, et al. Maternal neuronal antibodies associated with autism and a language disorder. *Ann Neurol* 2003;53:533–7.
- Braunschweig D, Krakowiak P, Duncanson P, et al. Autism-specific maternal autoantibodies recognize critical proteins in developing brain. *Transl Psychiatry* 2013;3:e277.
- Bergink V, Armangue T, Titulaer MJ, et al. Autoimmune encephalitis in postpartum psychosis. *Am J Psychiatry* 2015;172:901–8.
- Hameed MA, Lewis AJ. Offspring of parents with schizophrenia: a systematic review of developmental features across childhood. *Harv Rev Psychiatry* 2016;24:104–17.
- Pedersen CB. The danish civil registration system. *Scand J Public Health* 2011;39(7 Suppl):22–5.
- Pedersen MG, Stevens H, Pedersen CB, et al. Toxoplasma infection and later development of schizophrenia in mothers. *Am J Psychiatry* 2011;168:814–21.
- Mors O, Perto GP, Mortensen PB. The danish psychiatric central research register. *Scand J Public Health* 2011;39(7 Suppl):54–7.
- Irani SR, Bera K, Waters P, et al. N-methyl-D-aspartate antibody encephalitis: temporal progression of clinical and paraclinical observations in a predominantly non-paraneoplastic disorder of both sexes. *Brain* 2010;133(Pt 6):1655–67.
- Irani SR, Alexander S, Waters P, et al. Antibodies to Kv1 potassium channel-complex proteins leucine-rich, glioma inactivated 1 protein and contactin-associated protein-2 in limbic encephalitis, Morvan's syndrome and acquired neuromyotonia. *Brain* 2010;133:2734–48.
- Huang J, Zhu T, Qu Y, et al. Prenatal, perinatal and neonatal risk factors for intellectual disability: a systemic review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0153655.
- Brimberg L, Mader S, Jeganathan V, et al. Caspr2-reactive antibody cloned from a mother of an ASD child mediates an ASD-like phenotype in mice. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016;21:1663–71.
- Vissers LE, Gilissen C, Veltman JA. Genetic studies in intellectual disability and related disorders. *Nat Rev Genet* 2016;17:9–18.
- Anderson GR, Galfin T, Xu W, et al. Candidate autism gene screen identifies critical role for cell-adhesion molecule CASPR2 in dendritic arborization and spine development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2012;109:18120–5.
- Rodenas-Cuadrado P, Ho J, Vernes SC. Shining a light on CNTNAP2: complex functions to complex disorders. *Eur J Hum Genet* 2014;22:171–8.
- Irani SR, Pettingill P, Kleopa KA, et al. Morvan syndrome: clinical and serological observations in 29 cases. *Ann Neurol* 2012;72:241–55.
- Malek A, Sager R, Kuhn P, et al. Evolution of maternofetal transport of immunoglobulins during human pregnancy. *Am J Reprod Immunol* 1996;36:248–55.