

## SUPPLEMENTARY FILE

Supplementary Table 1. Additional Safety Endpoints by Treatment Group<sup>a</sup>

	All Patients in OLE (N=343)					
Change From Baseline to Week 80, [Direction of Improvement: +/-]	Mean	SD				
Patients assessed at Week 80: n=66						
UPDRS: Motor [-]	-0.7	8.2				
BARS [-]	-0.8	2.1				
HADS: Anxiety [-]	-0.2	3.5				
HADS: Depression [-]	0.7	3.4				
ESS [-]	-0.0	4.4				
MoCA [+]	0.1	2.4				
C-SSRS	Overall Post-baseline		At Screening			
	All Patients in OLE (n=342)		Prior Placebo (n=111)		Prior Deutetrabenazine (n=232)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
Suicidal ideation, n (%)	16	5	24	22	58	25
Suicidal behavior, n (%)	2	<1	15	14	46	20
Self-injurious behavior without suicidal intent, n (%)	0	0	4	4	7	3

<sup>a</sup>BARS, Barnes Akathisia Rating Scale; BL, baseline; C-SSRS, Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; ESS, Epworth Sleepiness Scale; HADS, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; OLE, open-label extension; SD, standard deviation; UPDRS, Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale.

Supplementary Table 2. Exposure-Adjusted Incidence Rates of Other AEs of Special Interest<sup>a</sup>

	Placebo (n=131) <sup>a</sup>			Deutetrabenazine												All Patients in OLE (N=343)		
	EAIR	n	P-Y	12 mg/day (n=74)			24 mg/day (n=73)			36 mg/day (n=74)			ARM-TD (n=58)			EAIR	n	P-Y
EAIR				n	P-Y	EAIR	n	P-Y	EAIR	n	P-Y	EAIR	n	P-Y				
Depression <sup>b</sup>	0.04	1	28.5	0.06	1	16.0	0.20	3	15.3	0.13	2	15.2	0	0	12.4	0.09	27	314.0
Suicidality	0.04	1	28.5	0	0	16.0	0.13	2	15.6	0.07	1	15.4	0	0	12.4	0.02	7	328.6
Akathisia and restlessness	0	0	28.6	0.06	1	15.9	0.06	1	15.6	0.06	1	15.5	0.25	3	12.2	0.02	5	328.1
Somnolence and sedation	0.34	9	26.7	0	0	16.0	0.06	1	15.6	0.27	4	14.8	0.81	9	11.1	0.11	34	308.0
Parkinsonism	0.04	1	28.4	0	0	16.0	0	0	15.7	0.07	1	15.3	0.08	1	12.3	0.05	15	319.8

<sup>a</sup>AE, adverse event; EAIR, exposure-adjusted incidence rate; n, number of patients; P-Y, patient-years.

<sup>a</sup> n pooled from the AIM-TD (placebo n=72) and ARM-TD (placebo n=59) studies; <sup>b</sup> Includes dysthymic disorder.

**Supplementary Table 3. Patient-Reported Outcomes<sup>a</sup>**

<b>Secondary Efficacy Assessments</b>		
	<b>n</b>	<b>%</b>
<b>PGIC at Week 80</b>		
Patients assessed at Week 80: n=65		
Treatment success <sup>a</sup>	44	68
	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SE</b>
<b>mCDQ-24 (Direction of Improvement: -)</b>		
Patients assessed at Week 54: n=144		
<b>Total Score Change</b>	-5.5	1.2
<b>Subdomains Change</b>		
ADL	-5.8	1.6
Emotional	-3.4	1.7
Pain	-7.3	1.5
Social	-3.5	1.3
Stigma	-7.3	1.7

<sup>a</sup>PGIC treatment success is defined as a rating of "Much Improved" or "Very Much Improved";

ADL, activities of daily living; mCDQ-24, Modified Craniocervical Dystonia Questionnaire; PGIC, Patient Global Impression of Change; SE, standard error.