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The publication twenty years ago describing excessive therapeutic drug dosing in some Parkinson’s disease patients foreshadowed behaviours now well recognised.

The recognition and description of a behavioural syndrome in a group of patients with Parkinson’s disease (PD) who take dopamine replacement therapy in quantities beyond what is required to treat motor symptoms 20 years ago sparked interest for a number of reasons. In addition to its deficiency being integral to PD, dopamine had long been regarded as a critical neurotransmitter involved in reward systems reduction in dopamine associated with depression and increased dopamine with addiction. Within the addiction field, these patients represented a real-life experiment to support the prevailing models suggesting maladaptive mesolimbic dopamine circuits underlying addiction. Conversely in the field of neurology, the paper highlighted and built on occasional observations and case reports of abnormal behaviour with excessive use of dopaminergic medication in PD, and proposed a pathophysiological framework within which these behaviours could be understood.

The appellation ‘hedonistic homoeostatic dysregulation’ was idiosyncratic and served two main purposes in addition to its novelty to neurologists. First it emphasised the similarity between this behaviour in PD and generic drug abuse or dependence with the term initially used to describe to describe a neurobiological theory of drug addiction. Second it circumvented the use of the pejorative term ‘addiction’, particularly when the drugs that are being misused in these patients are necessary to alleviate motor and some non-motor symptoms resulting from pathological degeneration of dopaminergic neurons, at increasing doses with disease progression.

The hedonic homoeostatic dysregulation model of addiction suggests initial anticipation to pleasurable effects of drugs drives increasing drug use, and a cycle of ongoing excessive drug use to avoid the negative affective state induced by drug withdrawal and reflecting a maladaptive reduction in baseline pleasure level. Others have suggested that neuroadaptations induced in dopamine projections to the nucleus accumbens and related circuitry result in sensitisation to the rewarding effect of the drug and leading to drug ‘wanting’ or craving rather than drug liking. This incentive sensitisation model has been supported by evidence of enhanced levodopa-induced dopamine release from the ventral striatum on positron emission tomography (PET) imaging in these patients, and the clinical observations that craving, drug seeking and hoarding are more common in these patients than deriving pleasure from the dopamine replacement therapy. These alternative theories have led to a more general term ‘dopamine dysregulation syndrome’ to describe this behaviour. The syndrome is incorporated in the revised Movement Disorders Society Unified PD Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS), and patients with features of the syndrome are often simply labelled as ‘dysregulators’.

We reported that patients only feeling appropriatively medicated or ‘on’ when they have drug-induced dyskinesias that can be severe is a clue to hedonistic homoeostatic dyskinesia, and this feature has been observed in subsequent reports. Early disease onset has remained a consistent risk factor in larger series, however, male gender, past or family history of alcohol or other substance abuse or if psychiatric illness have been less reliable risk factors.

The prevalence of hedonistic homoeostatic dysregulation in PD was low, even in our tertiary and quaternary referral centre. A similarly low prevalence was reported from an Italian group and less than 100 cases were found in the literature in a recent systematic review. Despite the low occurrence of the syndrome, interest in this paper was generated by our early description of a number of associated behavioural disturbances that are now recognised more commonly PD patients without all the features of hedonistic homoeostatic dysregulation.

Hypersexuality associated with levodopa had been long recognised but remarkably pathological gambling and shopping had not been well recognised prior to our report. These and other similar appetitive behaviours have become collectively referred to as impulse control disorders (ICDs) and are increasingly recognised, particularly with the use of...
dopamine agonist withdrawal syndrome. It was perhaps ambitious to describe, define and provide management guidelines for this syndrome in a single publication. The inclusion of diagnostic criteria and practical clinical strategies, however, enhanced the publications appeal. The provisional diagnostic criteria we proposed have generally been replicated in subsequent publications. We highlighted some of the difficulties in applying criteria designed for drug or substance abuse and dependence in these patients. The tolerance to, ‘withdrawal’ from, and escalating long-term use of dopamine replacement therapies in most patients with advancing PD would fulfil criteria for substance dependence in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders DSM-IV and now for substance use disorder in DSM-V, emphasising the difficulty in using these criteria for this population.

The management guidelines we suggested were largely based on our experience in managing the patients described in the paper, however, even 20 years later, no interventions to manage dopamine dysregulation syndrome were considered to have sufficient evidence of efficacy in a recent evidence-based medicine review of non-motor features of PD. The difficulty we described in attempting to reduce levodopa and dopamine replacement therapy in this population has been shared by others, with drug hoarding and obtaining additional supplies from different providers or now online particularly problematic. Many patients are resistant to therapeutic interventions with only 40%–53% of patients achieving remission in longitudinal studies.

We reported continuous rather than intermittent amphetamine infusion as a therapeutic strategy, though a recent longitudinal study following a cohort of patients who fulfilled the criteria proposed in our original paper found levodopa intestinal gel infusion (LCIG) and subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation (DBS) beneficial in a large proportion of their patients. These device-assisted therapies were not widely available at the time of our report. Patients with dopamine dysregulation undergoing any device assisted therapies still require extensive multidisciplinary intervention and symptoms may persist or even originate after DBS and LCIG.

The increased appreciation and understanding of behavioural consequences of dopamine replacement therapy in PD has its origin in their observation and recognition in clinical cohorts, akin to our initial understanding of the clinical syndrome stemming from James Parkinson’s seminal observations a century ago. This reinforces the roles of comprehensive history taking, caregiver reports and considering non-motor as well as motor responses to the broadening therapeutic interventions in PD and related conditions.
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