Anxiety and depression symptoms after COVID-19 infection: results from the COVID Symptom Study app
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ABSTRACT

Background Mental health issues have been reported after SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, comparison to prevalence in uninfected individuals and contribution from common risk factors (eg, obesity and comorbidities) have not been examined. We identified how COVID-19 relates to mental health in the large community-based COVID Symptom Study.

Methods We assessed anxiety and depression symptoms using two validated questionnaires in 413148 individuals between February and April 2021; 26998 had tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. We adjusted for physical and mental preparandemic comorbidities, body mass index (BMI), age and sex.

Findings Overall, 26.4% of participants met screening criteria for general anxiety and depression. Anxiety and depression were slightly more prevalent in previously SARS-CoV-2-positive (30.4%) vs SARS-CoV-2-negative (26.1%) individuals. This association was small compared with the effect of an unhealthy BMI and the presence of other comorbidities, and not evident in younger participants (<40 years). Findings were robust to multiple sensitivity analyses. Association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and anxiety and depression was stronger in individuals with recent (<30 days) versus more distant (>120 days) infection, suggesting a short-term effect.

Interpretation A small association was identified between SARS-CoV-2 infection and anxiety and depression symptoms. The proportion meeting criteria for self-reported anxiety and depression disorders is only slightly higher than prepandemic.

INTRODUCTION

Studies from previous coronaviruses suggesting an increased risk of neurological disorders, and case studies and findings regarding the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the central nervous system led to the hypothesis that anxiety/depression symptoms may be more prevalent in individuals after SARS-CoV-2 infection. Indeed, several reports suggest that COVID-19 survivors are at increased risk of mood and anxiety disorders 3 months postinfection. Moreover, the Office for National Statistics reported a steep increase in anxiety/depression symptoms in the general public (irrespective of infection status) compared with prepandemic data, adjusting for socioeconomic factors.

Quantifying the relationship of SARS-CoV-2 infection on anxiety/depression symptoms per se requires disentangling the consequences of infection from other factors such as lockdown measures. Direct links to health records may enable assessment of SARS-CoV-2 infection on psychiatric diagnoses; however, it takes time and resources to acquire large cohorts for such longitudinal studies. Alternatively, analysis of self-reported real-time data allows for faster and timely insights into effects on mental health from SARS-CoV-2 infections.

This study aimed to assess prevalence of anxiety/depression symptoms in individuals with and without prior SARS-CoV-2 infection using a large community cohort, including assessment of other known mental health predictors. We used data from 413148 tested non-healthcare workers who answered a mental health survey between February and April 2021 via the COVID Symptom Study app.

METHODS

Sample

Data were acquired by the COVID Symptom Study app, a mobile application developed by health data company Zoe Limited in collaboration with King's College London (KCL), the Massachusetts General Hospital, Lund University and Uppsala University. The app was launched on 24 March 2020 and allows users to report their health status (whether symptomatic or asymptomatic), SARS-CoV-2-related testing and results, and vaccination details, daily. On registration, app users provide demographic and clinical data including age, height, weight, sex, comorbidities (ie, cancer, diabetes, eczema, heart disease, lung disease, kidney disease and hay fever) and healthcare worker status. The app contents can also be modified to address arising research questions. We used data from 413148 non-healthcare worker users who answered a mental health survey between February and April 2021 and reported a SARS-CoV-2 test result. Healthcare workers were excluded from this analysis due to their likely differing pandemic experience.
Measures
Between 23 February 2021 and 12 April 2021, app contributors were invited to answer a survey about their mental health. Anxiety/depression symptoms were measured using the Generalised Anxiety Disorder assessment-2 (GAD-2) and the Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2). These measures examine symptoms in the preceding 2 weeks, each using two questions. For each question regarding frequency of a proposed situation/feeling, users can answer ‘not at all’, ‘several days’, ‘more than half the days’ or ‘nearly every day’. Each answer scores from 0 for ‘not at all’ to 3 for ‘nearly every day’. Each questionnaire has a score ranging from 0 to 6. Previous studies have shown an optimal cut-off point for possible anxiety or depression disorder of ≥3, yielding a sensitivity of >80%. A binary outcome variable was created by grouping those who scored ≥3 in either GAD-2 or PHQ-2, or <3.

Logistic regression was used to study whether mental health status was associated with a positive SARS-CoV-2 test result. We adjusted for age, sex, body mass index (BMI) groups (underweight, overweight, obese, with normal BMI (18.5–24.9) as the reference), and comorbidities including learning disabilities; and applied inverse probability weighting for the probability of reference), and comorbidities including learning disabilities; and applied inverse probability weighting for the probability of getting tested for SARS-CoV-2. Data are presented using descriptive statistics. Additionally, logistic regressions were employed stratifying by age groups (18–30, 31–40, 41–50, 51–60, 61–70 and >70). A sensitivity analysis was performed stratifying by presence of prepandemic mental health disorders (see online supplemental materials).

Further analyses in SARS-CoV-2 affected individuals assessed for association with days since infection confirmation and anxiety/depression symptoms, using days between positive test date and date of survey, grouped into:<30, 30–60, 60–90, 90–120 and >120 days. Individuals reporting a positive test result >120 days before answering the survey served as reference.

Data were extracted and preprocessed with ExeTera, a Pandas-like library developed at KCL, and statistical analysis was performed using Python (Pandas, NumPy and SciPy).

RESULTS
Between 23 February and 12 April 2021, 421 977 non-healthcare workers (aged 18–99 years, BMI 15–55) answered the mental health survey and logged a SARS-CoV-2 test result (386 150 negative, 35 827 positive). A total of 26 998 positive tests were PCR or lateral flow results; positive antibody tests (8829) were excluded as time of infection was unknown.

Of the total participants, 26.4% (109 116) scored ≥3 in GAD-2 and/or PHQ-2. Participants with anxiety/depression symptoms were younger, had more comorbidities and were more often female, compared with unaffected individuals. Among those predicted to have anxiety or depression (based on a score of ≥3 on GAD-2 or PHQ-2), 38.06% (41 525) reported a previous diagnosis of a prepandemic mental health disorder and 5.79% (6320) reported a learning disability. The study population’s demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1.

SARS-CoV-2 infection was associated with anxiety/depression symptoms (OR 1.08, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.10, p<0.001). However, stronger associations with anxiety/depression symptoms were observed for unhealthy BMI categories (ie, underweight, overweight and obese) with ORs of 1.26 (95% CI 1.22 to 1.30, p<0.001), 1.21 (95% CI 1.20 to 1.22, p<0.001) and 1.61 (95% CI 1.59 to 1.62, p<0.001), respectively. Participants reporting one or more comorbidities (OR 1.25, 95% CI 1.24 to 1.26, p<0.001), and those with learning disabilities (OR 1.35, 95% CI

Table 1 Demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anxiety or depression symptoms: yes</th>
<th>Anxiety or depression symptoms: no</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n=109 116 (26.4%)</td>
<td>n=304 032 (73.6%)</td>
<td>N=413 148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (SD)</td>
<td>50.7 (13.9)</td>
<td>55.6 (12.9)</td>
<td>54.1 (13.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underweight (BMI &lt;18.5)</td>
<td>1459 (1.34%)</td>
<td>3190 (1.05%)</td>
<td>4649 (1.13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal weight (BMI 18.6–24.9)</td>
<td>40 816 (37.41%)</td>
<td>134 695 (44.30%)</td>
<td>175 511 (42.48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overweight (BMI 25–29.9)</td>
<td>36 012 (33.00%)</td>
<td>106 455 (35.01%)</td>
<td>142 467 (34.48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obese (BMI &gt;30)</td>
<td>30 829 (28.25%)</td>
<td>59 692 (19.63%)</td>
<td>90 521 (21.91%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has comorbidity</td>
<td>22 006 (20.17%)</td>
<td>52 558 (17.29%)</td>
<td>74 564 (18.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has no comorbidity</td>
<td>87 110 (79.83%)</td>
<td>251 474 (82.71%)</td>
<td>338 584 (81.95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previously diagnosed with mental health condition</td>
<td>41 525 (38.06%)</td>
<td>57 067 (18.77%)</td>
<td>98 592 (23.86%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No previous mental health condition</td>
<td>67 591 (61.94%)</td>
<td>246 965 (81.23%)</td>
<td>314 556 (76.14%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability: yes</td>
<td>6320 (5.79%)</td>
<td>9987 (3.28%)</td>
<td>16 307 (3.95%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning disability: no</td>
<td>102 796 (94.21%)</td>
<td>294 045 (96.72%)</td>
<td>396 841 (96.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>80 446 (73.73%)</td>
<td>200 563 (65.97%)</td>
<td>281 009 (68.02%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28 670 (26.27%)</td>
<td>103 469 (34.03%)</td>
<td>132 139 (31.98%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative COVID-19 test</td>
<td>100 897 (92.47%)</td>
<td>285 253 (93.82%)</td>
<td>386 150 (93.47%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive COVID-19 test</td>
<td>8219 (7.53%)</td>
<td>18 779 (6.18%)</td>
<td>26 998 (6.53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive COVID-19 &lt;30 days before survey</td>
<td>1314 (15.99%)</td>
<td>2480 (13.21%)</td>
<td>3794 (14.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive COVID-19 30–60 days before survey</td>
<td>2149 (26.15%)</td>
<td>5043 (26.85%)</td>
<td>7192 (26.64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive COVID-19 60–90 days before survey</td>
<td>1843 (22.42%)</td>
<td>4505 (23.99%)</td>
<td>6348 (23.51%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive COVID-19 90–120 days before survey</td>
<td>1162 (14.14%)</td>
<td>2791 (14.86%)</td>
<td>3953 (14.64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive COVID-19 &lt;120 days before survey</td>
<td>1751 (21.30%)</td>
<td>3960 (21.09%)</td>
<td>5711 (21.15%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.
1.33 to 1.37, p<0.001) were more likely to have anxiety/depression symptoms. Individuals reporting a previously diagnosed mental health condition had the highest odds of reporting anxiety/depression symptoms (OR 2.26, 95% CI 2.24 to 2.28, p<0.001) (figure 1).

We observed no significant difference in the small overall increased odds of anxiety/depression symptoms with SARS-CoV-2 infection in those with a history of prior mental health conditions (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.06 to 1.12, p<0.001) and those without such prior history of (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.10, p<0.001).

Stratification by age group showed no association between a positive SARS-CoV-2 test and anxiety/depression symptoms in young groups (<40 years). Other variables (sex, comorbidities and BMI) were consistent in age-stratified analyses. Finally, in the 26,998 cases positive for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR and lateral flow, we tested whether elapsed time after a positive test affected mental health. The relationship between SARS-CoV-2 and anxiety/depression symptoms changed over time, with increased risk of anxiety/depression symptoms in those diagnosed <30 days compared with those diagnosed >120 days prior to the survey (OR 1.15, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.2, p<0.001).

**DISCUSSION**

In this large, community-based study, we report a small positive association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and anxiety/depression symptoms. However, this was dwarfed by associations with the known risk factors BMI, sex and comorbidities. Results were robust to sensitivity analyses stratifying by prior mental health disorder diagnoses. Further, no association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and anxiety/depression symptoms was found in younger age groups (<40 years).

Association between SARS-CoV-2 infection and anxiety/depression symptoms changed over time, with the strongest association in those infected <30 days prior to the survey, suggesting a short-term effect of infection on mental health only. It is possible that other factors affecting mental health which were changing over the pandemic (eg, lockdown) may moderate an effect of elapsed time since SARS-CoV-2 infection on mental health.21
Overall prevalence of anxiety/depression symptoms in our study (26.4%) is slightly increased compared with pre-pandemic levels of mental health issues in the UK general population assessed by the UK Household Longitudinal Study with the GHQ-12 questionnaire (18.9% in 2018) but broadly comparable to the level seen in April 2021 (27.3%). This previous 2021 study did not explore any relationship with SARS-CoV-2 infection. A recent analysis of 1112 subjects experiencing probable COVID-19 symptoms suggested a positive association between COVID-19 and anxiety/depression symptoms 1–7 months after suggested infection (OR 1.31–1.47). Our study benefits from a much larger sample size of tested participants.

Our study has several limitations. Data are self-reported using a mobile app and may disproportionately represent more affluent populations. We only had one time point of mental health data collection, limiting our ability to test if associations changed as the pandemic progressed. Additionally, although we applied weighting for the probability of being tested for the virus, results referring to time since testing might be still biased due to applied weighting for the probability of being tested for the virus, and running the app and service to all users worldwide. ATC is a Stuart and Suzanne Steele MHG Research Scholar. LHN is supported by an NIH K23DK125388 award, the American Gastroenterological Association Research Scholars Award, and the Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation Career Development Award. DAD and LHN are supported by the AGA-Takeda COVID-19 Rapid Response Research Award (AGA2021-5102). DAD is supported by NIH/NIDDK K01DK121742. ATC, LHN, and DAD are supported by the Massachusetts Consortium on Pathogen Readiness. We express our sincere thanks to all the participant users of the app, including study volunteers enrolled in cohorts within the Coronavirus Pandemic Epidemiology consortium. We thank the staff of Zoe Limited, the Department of Twin Research at King’s College London, the Clinical & Translational Epidemiology Unit at Massachusetts General Hospital, Researchers and staff at Lund University in Sweden for their tireless work in contributing to the running of the study and data collection.
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