Association of obesity with disease outcome in multiple sclerosis
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ABSTRACT

Background  Obesity reportedly increases the risk for developing multiple sclerosis (MS), but little is known about its association with disability accumulation.

Methods  This nationwide longitudinal cohort study included 1066 individuals with newly diagnosed MS from the German National MS cohort. Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) scores, relapse rates, MRI findings and choice of immunotherapy were compared at baseline and at years 2, 4 and 6 between obese (body mass index, BMI ≥30 kg/m²) and non-obese (BMI <30 kg/m²) patients and correlated with individual BMI values.

Results  Presence of obesity at disease onset was associated with higher disability at baseline and at 2, 4 and 6 years of follow-up (p<0.001). Median time to reach EDSS 3 was 0.99 years for patients with BMI ≥30 kg/m² and 1.46 years for non-obese patients. Risk to reach EDSS 3 over 6 years was significantly increased in patients with BMI ≥30 kg/m² compared with patients with BMI <30 kg/m² after adjustment for sex, age, smoking (HR 1.87; 95% CI 1.3 to 2.6; log-rank test p<0.001) and independent of disease-modifying therapies. Obesity was not significantly associated with higher relapse rates, increased number of contrast-enhancing MRI lesions or higher MRI T2 lesion burden over 6 years of follow-up.

Conclusions  Obesity in newly diagnosed patients with MS is associated with higher disease severity and poorer outcome. Obesity management could improve clinical outcome of MS.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
⇒ A high body mass index during childhood and adolescence increases the risk to develop multiple sclerosis (MS).

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS
⇒ Presence of obesity at onset of MS is associated with higher disability scores and faster disability accumulation over an observation period of up to 6 years.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY
⇒ A healthy weight may potentially improve outcomes for people with MS.

INTRODUCTION

Obesity and obesity-related diseases are serious and costly public health problems and increased in prevalence worldwide over the past few decades. In multiple sclerosis (MS), a high body mass index (BMI) during childhood and adolescence reportedly increases the risk for disease development.1–8 Whether obesity is associated with higher MS disease activity and severity after disease onset is incompletely understood. Therefore, we evaluated whether BMI, obesity and obesity-related comorbidities are related to disease severity and progression in a nationwide cohort of 1066 people with newly diagnosed MS.

METHODS

Patients and data source
The German National MS (NationMS) cohort is a multicentre prospective longitudinal observational study comprising (1) detailed assessment of patients with first diagnosis of MS or clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) according to the 2005 McDonald criteria and (2) assessment every 2 years with a standardised protocol across 22 centres in Germany. All centres belong to the German Competence Network Multiple Sclerosis. Only patients fulfilling 2017 McDonald criteria at baseline or follow-up were included (n=1066). Demographical and clinical characteristics are provided in table 1.

Demographical and clinical parameters of patients with complete 6-year follow-up data (n=511) did not differ significantly from demographical and clinical parameters obtained in all patients recruited at baseline (n=1066). BMI was calculated as weight divided by height squared (kg/m²). Information on relapse rates, disease-modifying therapies (DMTs) and comorbidities was acquired by the treating physician using a standardised questionnaire.
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Conventional MRIs were acquired at different 3T scanners with a 32-channel receive-only head coil, according to a standardised imaging protocol in all centres.

### Statistical analyses

Demographical and medical data obtained from the nationwide database are presented as mean (SD) or median (IQR, 75–25th percentile) values for continuous variables and numbers (percentages) for categorical variables. The variables were compared using Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous variables or χ² tests for categorical variables. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to describe the relationship between the groups. The primary outcome was Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS). Cox proportional hazard models and quantile regression model were used to assess risk by obesity with adjustment for confounding variables. The proportional hazard assumption was verified by plotting the estimated log-cumulative hazard function against the log-transformed survival times. Variables were defined by time to event and censored at date of last contact. Differences were considered significant at two-sided p ≤ 0.05. No adjustment for multiple comparisons was applied. We used SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics V.27) to perform the statistical analyses. Data were analysed from September 2021 to March 2022.

### RESULTS

Baseline characteristics of the 1066 participants (315 male (29.5%); mean (SD) age 33.31 (9.62) years) can be found in table 1. At disease onset, 159 patients (14.9%) had a BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² and obesity-related comorbidities (type 2 diabetes, arterial hypertension) were documented in 68 patients (6.4%). Patients recruited at baseline had follow-up visits at year 2 (871, 81.7%), at year 4 (656, 61.5%) and at year 6 (511, 47.9%). Follow-up data at year 6 were available from 430 (47.4%) of non-obese patients and from 81 (50.9%) of obese patients. Differences in recruiting obese versus non-obese patients at baseline versus year 6 were not statistically significant (χ²-test, p = 0.41). Information on smoking at baseline was available in 1049/1066 (94.4%) patients. Although the prevalence of smoking was higher in obese (37.1%) compared with non-obese (30.7%) patients, differences were not statistically significant (χ² test, p = 0.13).

Obesity as defined by BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² at disease onset was associated with higher EDSS at baseline and at 2, 4 and 6 years of follow-up (p < 0.001 for each time point investigated; figure 1). The median EDSS was 0.38 (baseline), 0.36 (at year 2), 0.39 (at year 4), 0.42 (at year 6) points higher in the obese cohort after adjusting for sex and age, and 0.35 (baseline), 0.34 (at year 2), 0.43 (at year 4), 0.46 (at year 6) points higher in the obese cohort after additional adjustment for sex, age and smoking.

Median time to reach EDSS 3 was 0.99 years (95% CI 0.74 to 1.24) for patients with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² and 1.46 years (95% CI 0.95 to 1.97) for non-obese patients (HR 1.47; 95% CI 0.34 to 6.68; log-rank test p < 0.001). Risk to reach EDSS 3 over 6 years was significantly increased in patients with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² compared with patients with BMI < 30 (35% vs 14%; HR 2.1; 95% CI 1.5 to 2.94; p < 0.001). Obesity at baseline remained to be associated with an increased risk to reach an EDSS of 3 after

---

**Table 1 Demographical and clinical characteristics of patients at baseline**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical values</th>
<th>All patients (n=1066)</th>
<th>BMI ≥30 (n=159)</th>
<th>BMI &lt;30 (n=907)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI, median (IQR), kg/m²</td>
<td>24.17 (21.55–27.46)</td>
<td>33.62 (31.56–36.81)</td>
<td>23.39 (21.22–25.83)</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sNfL, median (IQR), pg/mL</td>
<td>11.49 (7.5–21)</td>
<td>8.84 (6.49–18.87)</td>
<td>11.87 (7.75–21.09)</td>
<td>0.012*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR), years</td>
<td>31 (26–40)</td>
<td>33 (28–41)</td>
<td>31 (25–41)</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

No adjustment for multiple comparisons was applied. We used Mann-Whitney U tests to investigate group differences for metric variables. χ² tests to investigate group differences for categorical variables. Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient was used to describe the relationship between the groups.
Clinical disability as assessed by EDSS in MS patients with BMI ≥30 kg/m² compared with <30 kg/m² at disease onset. EDSS at baseline was significantly higher in the cohort (median [IQR]: 2 (1–2) vs 1.5 (1–2) =0.118; p<0.001), determined by Mann-Whitney U test) EDSS after 2 years deteriorated and showed a stronger correlation and at year 2 (t=0.13; p<0.001) year 4 (t=0.155; p<0.001), year 6 (t=0.186; p<0.001). BMI, body mass index; EDSS, Expanded Disability Status Scale.

DISCUSSION
In this nationwide study using a large real-world representative German cohort of newly diagnosed patients with relapse-onset MS, we demonstrate that presence of obesity at disease onset is associated with higher accumulation of disability over an observation period of up to 6 years.

by ORs of 1.5–2.5, independent of other environmental and lifestyle factors. Only a few studies with partly conflicting results investigated whether obesity at MS onset is associated with disease severity and disability accumulation. Among 464 participants in the Betaferon/Betaseron in Newly Emerging Multiple Sclerosis for Initial Treatment trial, the presence of obesity increased the risk for conversion to MS defined by the 2001 McDonald criteria, while no associations were observed between obesity and conversion to clinically definite MS, EDSS progression or MRI outcomes. A longitudinal cohort study in the USA recruiting 469 patients with CIS and MS at the University of California San Francisco (UCSF) reported that higher BMI at baseline was associated with greater grey matter decline and reduced brain parenchymal volumes. Higher BMI was associated with statistically significant, although not clearly clinically meaningful, increases in EDSS (per BMI 5 kg/m², the EDSS score was 0.13 points higher, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.22, p=0.004). Limitations of previous studies include sample size and potential inclusion of CIS patients without confirmed conversion to MS. Furthermore, clinically relevant effects on disability were probably underestimated since EDSS usually shows little change over short observation periods. Here, we capitalised on a 6-year national cohort study of 1066 patients at baseline, all of them fulfilling the 2017 McDonald criteria at baseline or follow-up.

Despite earlier accumulation of clinical disability, annualised relapse rates and MRI parameters for disease activity were not significantly increased in obese patients with MS. These findings are supported by results obtained in the UCSF study mentioned above, which did not detect any association of obesity with MRI activity as defined as development of new T2 lesions despite brain and grey matter volume decline. In line with the aforementioned study reporting that higher BMI was associated with greater subsequent disability, our finding that obesity but not overweight in MS patients is associated with a poorer outcome suggests a threshold effect of body mass on disability accumulation in MS. Midlife overweight and obesity are risk factors for future loss of grey matter volume and development of dementia, an association thought to be driven by impaired insulin signalling, mitochondrial dysfunction, excess of reactive oxygen species, dyslipidaemia and low-grade, obesity-induced chronic inflammation. Such mechanisms are also thought to contribute to MS progression but are not necessarily depicted by T2-weighted imaging, number of contrast-enhancing lesions or clinical relapses.

Our study has limitations. First, BMI was assessed at baseline only which precludes evaluation of within-person change in BMI as a predictor of interest. Second, documentation of obesity-associated comorbidities was limited to type 2 diabetes and hypertension, and the number of patients diagnosed with these conditions was small. The analyses related to comorbidities would, therefore, benefit from confirmation in larger cohorts. Furthermore, our analysis focused on patients with relapse-onset MS. Whether obesity specifically increases the risk for accumulating disability in progressive MS has not been addressed so far and remains to be clarified.

Obesity is a modifiable risk factor. In line with the aforementioned studies indicating an association of obesity with grey matter decline, obese patients undergoing bariatric surgery are reported to build up grey and white matter volume as they regain healthy weight. These data suggest that dedicated management of obesity should be explored for its potential merit in improving long-term clinical outcomes of patients diagnosed with MS.
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