Short report

Humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in multiple sclerosis: the relevance of time since last rituximab infusion and first experience from sporadic revaccinations


ABSTRACT

Introduction The effect of disease-modifying therapies (DMT) on vaccine responses is largely unknown. Understanding the development of protective immunity is of paramount importance to fight the COVID-19 pandemic.

Objective To characterise humoral immunity after mRNA-COVID-19 vaccination of people with multiple sclerosis (pwMS).

Methods All pwMS in Norway fully vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 were invited to a national screening study. Humoral immunity was assessed by measuring anti-SARS-CoV-2 Spike RBD IgG response 3–12 weeks after full vaccination, and compared with healthy subjects.

Results 528 pwMS and 627 healthy subjects were included. Reduced humoral immunity (anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG <70 arbitrary units) was present in 82% and 80% of all pwMS treated with fingolimod and rituximab, respectively, while patients treated with other DMT showed similar rates as healthy subjects and untreated pwMS. We found a significant correlation between time since the last rituximab dose and the development of humoral immunity. Revaccination in two seronegative patients induced a weak antibody response. Patients treated with fingolimod or rituximab should be informed about the risk of reduced humoral immunity and vaccinations should be timed carefully in rituximab patients. Early initiation of revaccination in pwMS treated with fingolimod and rituximab who showed no antibody response after the first two doses of mRNA vaccine.

METHODS

Study population All pwMS in Norway were invited to participate in this study via the National MS Registry and Biobank, social media and web page advertising. Invitation letters were disseminated digitally containing an electronic link/QR-code leading to a digital consent form, a questionnaire and a blood test form. Inclusion criteria were MS diagnosis, signed consent and completed COVID-19 vaccination (ie, either two vaccine doses or past COVID-19 and one vaccine dose). Healthy subjects were recruited among fully vaccinated health workers and blood donors. We report on all patients who donated a blood sample by 30 June 2021.

Antibody measurement Antibodies to full length Spike (HexaPro) from SARS-CoV-2 and the receptor-binding domain (RBD) were measured using a bead-based flow cytometric assay in all included patients 3–12 weeks after full vaccination. Post-immunisation treatment with high-efficacy DMT seems to be the single most important factor in reducing long-term disability in pwMS. Specific DMT are, however, associated with an increased risk of infections. Expert organisations worldwide recommend that all pwMS should be vaccinated against COVID-19. There is some evidence of reduced humoral immunity after mRNA-COVID-19 vaccines among patients treated with fingolimod and rituximab and there is a need for better understanding of vaccine responses among patients treated with DMT. The aim of this article is to report the first results of a nationwide study designed to assess the development of immunity after COVID-19 vaccination in pwMS. We also report on two incidents of revaccination in pwMS treated with fingolimod and rituximab who showed no antibody response after the first two doses of mRNA vaccine.
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IgG titres were used as a correlate of protection,\textsuperscript{14} and reduced immunity was assumed in cases of IgG \textless 70 arbitrary units (AU) corresponding to a level which was lower than found in 99\% of all healthy vaccinated subjects. IgG levels \textless 5 AU were defined as no antibody response, while IgG levels between 5 and 70 AU were defined as weak antibody response (figure 1). Calibration to the WHO international standard showed that 70 AU corresponds to approximately 40 binding antibody units per millilitre (BAU/mL).

Data collection

Demographic, disease-specific and treatment-specific variables were acquired through a digital questionnaire and from the Norwegian MS registry and Biobank if needed. Information regarding COVID-19 vaccines was extracted from the Norwegian Immunization Registry, while relevant information regarding COVID-19 disease was extracted from the Norwegian Surveillance System for Communicable Diseases.

Statistics

Continuous and categorical variables were compared using Mann-Whitney and Fisher exact tests, respectively. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Correlations were assessed by Spearman \( \rho \). Hazard ratios were assessed using Cox proportional-hazard models. Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS V.26.

RESULTS

Serum from 627 healthy subjects and 528 pwMS were available for analyses by 30 June 2021. Clinical and demographic variables are presented in table 1.

The majority of all patients received BNT162b2 (81\% as the first, and 86\% as the second dose), followed by mRNA-1273 (14\% and 14\%) and ChAdOx1-S (5\% and 0\%) of all cases. In the 10 (2\%) post-COVID-19 disease patients only one dose was given. The mean time between two inoculations was 36 days (95\% CI 35 to 38 days) and did not differ between the different DMT. The most frequent DMT was rituximab (38\%) followed by cladribine (16\%), fingolimod (13\%), natalizumab (8\%) and alemtuzumab (7\%). Other DMT included dimethyl fumarate (6\%), teriflunomide (5\%), interferons (3\%), glatiramer acetate (3\%) and ocrelizumab (1\%).

Reduced humoral immunity was present in pwMS treated with fingolimod (82\% of all 61 patients, 54\% without antibody response) and rituximab (80\% of all 183 patients, 48\% without antibody response), while patients treated with other DMT showed similar rates as healthy subjects and untreated pwMS (figure 1A). Longer time since last rituximab infusion and higher CD19-B cell counts were associated with higher levels of protective antibodies (\( r^2=0.174, p<0.001 \) and \( r^2=0.098, p<0.001 \)) (figure 1B,C). The cumulative probability of mounting a normal immune response in relation to time since last rituximab infusion is illustrated in figure 1D.

Figure 1  (A) COVID-19 vaccine response in healthy individuals and people with MS. The dot plots show levels of antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 Spike Protein (y-axis) and the receptor-binding domain (RBD) for indicated cohort. The dashed red lines correspond to assay cut-off determined for sero-prevalence screening (5 anti-SARS-CoV-2 SPIKE RBD IgG arbitrary units), while the rectangle shows the range of signals measured for more than \( >99\% \) of vaccinated healthy individuals (<70 anti-SARS-CoV-2 SPIKE RBD IgG arbitrary units). The lower end corresponds to approximately 40 WHO binding antibody units (BAUs). (B) Scatter plot showing the correlation between time since last rituximab infusion and anti-SARS-CoV-2 SPIKE RBD IgG levels (AU). The red reference line on the y-axis is positioned at 70 AU. (C) Scatter plot showing the correlation between CD19+ B-lymphocyte count (cells/mm\(^3\)) prior to first vaccine dose and anti-SARS-CoV-2 SPIKE RBD IgG levels (AU, n=47, median of 2 months between CD19+ B-lymphocyte count and first vaccine dose). The red reference line on the y-axis is positioned at 70 AU. (D) Cox proportional-hazard model showing the cumulative probability of mounting a normal immune response (anti-SARS-CoV-2 SPIKE RBD IgG >70 AU) in relation to time since last rituximab infusion.
Two patients treated with fingolimod and rituximab were identified in our cohort without antibody response (despite completed vaccination) who underwent additional immunisations (1 and 3 months after full vaccination, respectively). Increasing antibody levels were observed in both cases after additional vaccine doses (from <5 AU to 19 and 21 AU, 14 days after 1 and 2 extra doses, respectively).

Additionally, we identified three patients (two on rituximab, one on fingolimod) with no antibody response post-COVID-19. Antibody levels >70 AU were observed in these three patients 4, 5 and 6 weeks after a single vaccine dose, respectively.

**DISCUSSION**

We present the first results of a nationwide study of COVID-19 vaccine responses in the MS population. Although we report on the largest number of patients using high-efficacy treatments to date, our results are based on observational data with limited follow-up and the number of serological samples is not yet sufficient to give a full description of vaccine responses in the entire MS population. Selection bias might be present among early repliers. Another weakness of this study is the lack of clinical details (eg, disease courses, the grade of disability), and data regarding patients recently treated with alemtuzumab, while the number of patients in some treatment groups are low. Furthermore, we only report data regarding IgG responses as a correlate of humoral immunity while the adaptive immune response to SARS-CoV-2 seems to depend not only on virus-specific antibodies but also on cellular responses.

As a result of our findings, all pwMS should be encouraged to follow immunisation programmes. Vaccinations should preferably be given outside the time interval of one to 1–4 months past rituximab treatment, as the chance of robust IgG response is small until around 5 months after treatment (and then increases markedly), but we underline that vaccination in this time window may induce some humoral response and should be considered individually. Patients treated with S1P-modulators and anti-CD20 therapies should be informed about the risk of attenuated vaccine responses and tested for antibody responses after completed vaccination.

A study of the effect of revaccination in patients with low or no antibody response after two immunisations is initiated following these results.
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**Table 1 Clinical and demographic variables of patients with multiple sclerosis and healthy subjects that received COVID-19 vaccination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study population</th>
<th>Cladribine, n=75</th>
<th>Alemtuzumab, n=34</th>
<th>Natalizumab, n=37</th>
<th>S1P-R mod., n=61</th>
<th>Anti-CD20, n=183</th>
<th>Other DMT, n=95</th>
<th>Untreated, n=55</th>
<th>Healthy subjects, n=627</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Follow-up after second vaccine, days</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–75 IQR</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>67 (89)</td>
<td>27 (79)</td>
<td>31 (84)</td>
<td>44 (72)</td>
<td>144 (79)</td>
<td>72 (76)</td>
<td>38 (69)</td>
<td>435 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
<td>7 (21)</td>
<td>6 (16)</td>
<td>17 (28)</td>
<td>39 (21)</td>
<td>23 (24)</td>
<td>17 (31)</td>
<td>192 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–75 IQR</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–75 IQR</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time from last Tx dose to vaccination, months</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–75 IQR</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with SARS-CoV-2 SPIKE RBD IgG &gt;70 AU</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n, (%)</td>
<td>69 (92)</td>
<td>33 (97)</td>
<td>33 (97)</td>
<td>11 (18)</td>
<td>37 (20)</td>
<td>91 (96)</td>
<td>53 (96)</td>
<td>618 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 RBD IgG titre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25–75 IQR</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DMT**: disease-modifying therapies; **S1P-R mod.**: sphingosine-1-phosphate receptor modulator.
Correction notice This article has been corrected since it first published. Author name Kjell-Morten Myhr has been corrected.
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