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ABSTRACT

Background The pathogenic missense mutations of the gelsolin (GSN) gene lead to familial amyloidosis of the Finnish type (FAF); however, our previous study identified GSN frameshift mutations existed in patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD). The GSN genotype–phenotype heterogeneity and the role of GSN frameshift mutations in patients with AD are unclear.

Method In total, 1052 patients with AD and 1403 controls were screened through whole genome sequencing, and 884 patients with AD were enrolled for validation. Effects of GSN mutations were evaluated in vitro. GSN, Aβ42, Aβ40 and Aβ42/40 were detected in both plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).

Results Six patients with AD with GSN P3fs and K346fs mutations (0.50%, 6/1192) were identified, who were diagnosed with AD but not FAF. In addition, 13 patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutations were found in the validation cohort (1.47%, 13/884). Further in vitro experiments showed that both K346fs and P3fs mutations led to the GSN loss of function in inhibiting Aβ-induced toxicity. Moreover, a higher level of plasma GSN was observed in AD cases than controls, and a positive correlation was found between the CSF GSN and CSF Aβ42 (r=−0.289, p=0.009). Besides, the GSN level was initially increasing and then decreasing with the disease course and cognitive decline.

Conclusions GSN frameshift mutations may be associated with AD. An increase in plasma GSN is probably a compensating reaction in AD, which is a potential biomarker for early AD.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC

⇒ Amyloid protein deposition is a common mechanism of hereditary amyloidosis and Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Mutations of the gelsolin (GSN) gene can lead to familial amyloidosis of the Finnish type (FAF), but the relationship is unclear between these genes and AD.

WHAT THIS STUDY ADDS

⇒ We identified the GSN genotype–phenotype heterogeneity that GSN frameshift mutations causing AD but not FAF and clarified the role of GSN gene in the pathogenesis of AD.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY

⇒ We identified a potential risk factor for AD, and GSN mutations may explain a small portion of AD.

INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is a neurodegenerative disease that causes a progressive decline in memory and cognitive function.1 Although the majorities of AD cases occur on a sporadic basis, mutations in three genes, including amyloid precursor protein (APP), presenilin 1 (PSEN1) and presenilin 2 (PSEN2), can lead to rare familial AD. Its symptoms occur earlier than sporadic AD, usually between 30 years and 50 years of age. However, late-onset AD, more than 65 years of age onset, may be motivated by a complex interaction between genetic and environmental factors.2 The current theories of AD pathogenesis are β-amyloid (Aβ) plaques and neurofibrillary tangles, with consequences of microglial activation, synaptic deficiency and neuronal loss.3,4

The GSN gene, encoding gelsolin (GSN), is a calcium-regulated actin regulatory protein that is recognised to be functionally involved in inflammation, cell movement, apoptosis and cancer development.4 The GSN is suggested to be implicated in AD, based on the previous findings that GSN can bind Aβ, inhibit Aβ-induced toxicity, and protect cells from apoptosis and reactive oxygen species (ROS).4,5 The well-known pathogenic missense mutations of the GSN gene have been reported to be associated with familial amyloidosis of the Finnish type (FAF), which mainly manifests as corneal lattice dystrophy, cranial neuropathy, peripheral neuropathy and cutis laxa.7 Recently, we reported patients with GSN frameshift mutations were characterised by the typical AD phenotype.8 Among the five patients with AD (cases 1–5) with GSN frameshift mutations, unfortunately, only the patient with the K346fs mutation (case 1) accepted Pittsburgh compound ([11C]PIB) positron emission tomography (PET) examination and was confirmed to have cerebral Aβ deposition; however, no patient agreed to undergo skin biopsy, which is of great help in differentiating the diagnosis of FAF.8 Thus, we could not completely determine whether these patients with frameshift mutations had FAF. Therefore, the heterogeneity of genotype–phenotype in the GSN gene, as well as the role of the GSN frameshift mutation in the pathogenesis of AD, is still unclear.
In the present study, we found 0.50% of AD cases carrying the GSN frameshift mutations, who were not accompanied by other dementia-related gene mutations through whole genome sequencing (WGS) technology. The diagnosis of AD, but not FAD, was confirmed by [11C] PiB-PET and skin biopsy. Besides, the GSN loss-of-function effect of frameshift mutations was verified through in vitro experiments (AP-induced toxicity and apoptotic tests) and the detection of decreased GSN mRNA and GSN level in plasma. Moreover, the GSN level in plasma was increasing in sporadic AD cases compared with controls, indicating that the increasing plasma GSN may be a potential biomarker for AD. This work first claimed that the frameshift mutations in the GSN gene were associated with sporadic AD through its loss-of-function mechanisms.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

We enrolled a total of 1192 patients with AD from the Department of Neurology, Xiangya Hospital (table 1). All patients were diagnosed as AD according to the 2011 National Institute of Ageing and Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) criteria for probable AD. All participating patients were sequenced through WGS to identify the GSN mutational spectrum, and meanwhile, other dementia-related gene causative mutations were excluded. The GSN mutations were further validated in two validation cohorts of the Cognitive Impairment Multicenter Database and Collaborative Network in China (CI-MDCNC), as well as the Alzheimer’s Disease Sequencing Project (ADSP). The CI-MDCNC includes 884 patients and 82 participants were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. For RT-PCR, the cDNA was amplified with 2× SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (catalogue number B45012; GeneAll, Korea), and cDNA was synthesised from 1 μg of total RNA by using the NovoScept Plus All-in-One First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (catalogue number E047; Novoprotein, China). For PCR, the cDNA was amplified with 2× MPCR OPTI Mix (catalogue number B45012; Bimake, USA) in a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA). The primers for the two GSN frameshift mutations are shown in online supplemental figure S1A. Next, the PCR products were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. For RT-PCR, the cDNA was amplified with 2× SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (catalogue number B21202, Bimake) using FTC-3000 RT-PCR system (Funglyn Biotech, Canada). The relative standard curve method (2^ΔΔCt) was used to determine the relative gene expression, and GAPDH was used as a housekeeping gene for internal normalisation. The PCR primers were as follows: GSN: forward, 5′-AGCTGGCCAAGCTCTACAAG-3′, and reverse, 5′-TTTCCTCTCCTCCGTTTTCG-3′; GAPDH: forward, 5′-AGTAAAGACGACCCCTGGTGA-3′, and reverse, 5′-TGGACAGTCGCGCCATCT-3′.

### Table 1  Demographic information of the enrolled gene sequencing and plasma GSN detection participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene sequencing cohort</th>
<th>Plasma GSN detection cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Numbers</td>
<td>NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1403</td>
<td>1192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>67.78±7.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, n (%)</td>
<td>Male 664 (47.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female 739 (52.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ApoE, n (%)</td>
<td>ApoE4 carriers 269 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ApoE4 non-carriers 1134 (80.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>27.53±1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma GSN level (pg/mL)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AD, Alzheimer’s disease; GSN, gelosin; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination; NC, normal control.**

**WGS and data analysis**

The formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) DNA was extracted using the MagPure FFPE DNA LQ Kit following the manufacturer’s instruction. The sample’s concentration was detected by Qubit fluorometer. The integrity and purification of samples were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis. One nanogram and more FFPE DNA were randomly fragmented by Covaris. Fragmented DNAs were tested by Agilent 2100 and purified by the Agencourt AMPure XP kit. The selected fragments were end-repair and 3’ adenylated; at the same time, the adapters were ligated to the ends of these 3’ adenylated fragments. These fragments were amplified with KAPA HiFi HotStart DNA polymerase, and the PCR products were purified with the Agencourt AMPure XP kit. The library was qualified by the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and ABI StepOnePlus real-time PCR (RT-PCR) system. The qualified libraries were sequenced pair-end on the HiSeq4000/Xten/NovaSeq system (BGI, Shenzhen, China). We used Genome Analysis Toolkit software to detect (Single Nucleotide Variants) SNVs and indels. All SNVs and indels were filtered and estimated via multiple databases, including Genome Aggregation Database and Exome Aggregation Consortium. Common variants and rare variants were classified according to minor allele frequencies (MAFs; common variants: MAF ≥0.01, rare variants: MAF <0.01).

### Real-time PCR

The blood samples of subjects were freshly collected. Total RNA was extracted using TransZol Up Plus RNA Kit (catalogue number 315–150; GeneAll, Korea), and cDNA was synthesised from 1 μg of total RNA by using the NovoScept Plus All-in-One First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (catalogue number E047; Novoprotein, China). For PCR, the cDNA was amplified with 2× MPCR OPTI Mix (catalogue number B45012; Bimake, USA) in a T100 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad, USA). The primers for the two GSN frameshift mutations are shown in online supplemental figure S1A. Next, the PCR products were subjected to agarose gel electrophoresis. For RT-PCR, the cDNA was amplified with 2× SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (catalogue number B21202, Bimake) using FTC-3000 RT-PCR system (Funglyn Biotech, Canada). The relative standard curve method (2^ΔΔCt) was used to determine the relative gene expression, and GAPDH was used as a housekeeping gene for internal normalisation. The PCR primers were as follows: GSN: forward, 5′-AGCTGGCCAAGCTCTACAAG-3′, and reverse, 5′-TTTCCTCTCCTCCGTTTTCG-3′; GAPDH: forward, 5′-AGTAAAGACGACCCCTGGTGA-3′, and reverse, 5′-TGGACAGTCGCGCCATCT-3′.
Plasma GSN detection

The venous blood samples were collected using standard venipuncture protocols after an overnight fast. Then, plasma was collected in 30 min by centrifugation at 1000 rpm and 4°C for 10 min. The plasma GSN level was detected by GSN ELISA kit (catalogue number ab270215; Abcam, USA). All procedures were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

CSF GSN and AD biomarker detection

The CSF sample was collected according to international guidelines. Samples were centrifuged at 2000 × g and 4°C for 10 min and stored at −80°C. The CSF GSN level was detected by GSN ELISA kit (catalogue number ab270215, Abcam). All procedures were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. All CSF AD biomarkers (Aβ42, Aβ40, t-tau and p-tau) were measured using ELISA kits (catalogue numbers EQ 6511-9601, EQ 6521-9601, EQ 6591-9601, EQ 6531-9601; EURO-IMMUN, Germany), and the detection was performed by experienced technicians in strict accordance with the instructions of the manufacturer within 1 week of sample collection.

Cell culture

The SH-SY5Y cells (catalogue number ZQ0050; Zhong Qiao Xin Zhou Biotechnology, Shanghai, China) were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) (catalogue number C11995500BT; Gibco) supplemented with 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) and 1% PS at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere. The SH-SY5Y-APPswe stable cell lines were constructed by lentiviral infection with APPswe mutation (specials: Homo sapiens, NM_201414.3: c.1785G>C. K595N; c.1786A>C. M595L). The control cell lines were transfected with empty lentivirus (EV). Western blot analysis of APP was performed to demonstrate the successful construction of APPswe cell lines (online supplemental figure S2).

Transfection of GSN plasmids and detection of the levels of Aβ42 and Aβ40

A total of 5 × 10⁴ cells (SH-SY5Y-APPswe and SH-SY5Y-EV) were seeded on a 24-well plate with 500 µL of medium. After 24 hours, the cells were transfected with GSN plasmids using Lipofectamine 3000 Transfection Reagent (catalogue number L3000015, Thermo). The GSN plasmids with 3 × flagtag were cloned into the eukaryotic expression pIRES2-DsRed2. The ratio of Lipo3000/DNA was 1.5 µL/µg. Six hours later, the culture medium was changed to DMEM with 10% FBS and 5 µM Aβ40. The treated cells were washed twice with assay buffer and stained with calcein-AM (0.4 µM) and PI solution (9 µM) using the Calcein-acetoxyethyl ester (AM)/propidium iodide (PI) Double Stain Kit (catalogue number 40747ES76, Yeasen). After incubation for 30 min at 37°C, the cells were washed and photographed using a fluorescence microscope. The concentrations of Aβ40 according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Hoechst staining and apoptosis analysis

Cells were stained with Hoechst 33342 (catalogue number 40732ES03; Yeasen, China) after fixation according to the manufacturer’s protocol. A fluorescence microscope (Carl Zeiss Axio Imager 2; Carl Zeiss, Germany) was used to obtain the image. As the nuclei of apoptotic cells were concentrated, apoptotic cells were observed to have high fluorescence intensity by Hoechst staining and were noted as Hoechst-positive cells. The percentage of Hoechst-positive cells was analysed by ImageJ software.

ROS streaming detection

A total of 3 × 10⁵ cells (SH-SY5Y-APPswe and SH-SY5Y-EV) were seeded on a six-well plate with 2 mL of medium. The same procedures were performed to transfect GSN plasmids as mentioned previously. The cells were collected for ROS streaming detection using a Cell Metre Fluorimetric Intracellular Total ROS Activity Assay Kit Brite 670 nm (catalogue number 22903; AAT Bioquest, USA). All procedures were performed in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions.

CCK8 analysis

A total of 5 × 10⁴ SH-SY5Y cells were seeded on a 24-well plate with 500 µL of medium. After 24 hours, the culture media were changed to media supplemented with different concentration gradients of Aβ40 (0, 1, 5, 10 and 20 µM). The CCK8 Kit (catalogue number ab228554, Abcam) was applied to cells after 48 hours to evaluate the toxicity of the Aβ40 according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Live/dead cell staining

The SH-SY5Y cells were seeded on a 24-well plate with 500 µL of medium. Twenty-four hours later, the cells were transfected with GSN plasmids, and the procedures were the same as mentioned previously. After 6 hours, the culture medium was changed to DMEM with 10% FBS and 5 µM Aβ40. The treated cells were washed twice with assay buffer and stained with calcein-AM (4 µM) and PI solution (9 µM) using the Calcein-acetoxyethyl ester (AM)/propidium iodide (PI) Double Stain Kit (catalogue number 40747ES76, Yeasen). After incubation for 30 min at 37°C, the cells were washed and photographed using a fluorescence microscope. The percentages of dead cells (calcein-AM/PI⁺) were calculated.

Western blot analyses

Cells were collected and resuspended in RIPA lysis buffer (catalogue number P0013B; Beyotime, China) containing a protease and phosphatase inhibitor mixture. Cell suspensions were lysed on ice for 30 min, sonicated and centrifuged at 13000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C. Briefly, protein concentrations were estimated using BCA Kit (catalogue number 70-P00012; MULTI SCIENCES, China). Lysates were separated on 10% or 12% sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide electrophoresis gels (catalogue number P1200; Solarbio, China). After separation, the protein was transferred to a Poly Vinyli Dene Fluoride (PVDF) membrane (catalogue numbers IPVH00010 and BEQ00010; Millipore, USA), and non-specific binding sites were blocked by treatment with commercial western blocking buffer (catalogue number SW3010, Solarbio) followed by antibody incubation: flag tag (1:1000, catalogue number A00187; GenScript, China), APP (1:1000; catalogue number ab32136; Abcam) and β-actin (1: 1000, catalogue number O10313; TransGen, China). Horse radish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated secondary antibodies (1: 5000, Solarbio) were used. Western blot images were captured by the ChemiDocTM XRS+ with Image LabSoftware (Bio-Rad).

Statistical analysis

All measurement data are presented as mean ± SD. Unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t-test was used to analyse the differences between two groups. Sex and APOE phenotype were analysed by χ² test. Statistical analysis of multiple-group comparisons was performed by one-way analysis of variance. The correlation analyses were adopted Pearson or Spearman correlation analysis. The significance of gene enrichment was measured by Fisher’s
Table 2: Rare and damaging variants of the GSN gene in our cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AA change</th>
<th>SNP</th>
<th>Mutation type</th>
<th>gnomAD_exome_ALL</th>
<th>gnomAD_exome_EAS</th>
<th>ExAC_ALL</th>
<th>ExAC_EAS</th>
<th>ReVe</th>
<th>Functional predictions: pathogenic (total)</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exon1:c.8_35del:p.P3fs</td>
<td>rs764841269</td>
<td>Frameshift</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>(6/1192)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exon1:c.1036delA:p.K346fs</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Frameshift</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>(0/1403)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carriers (n)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.025*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Missense mutations

| Exon1:c.215G>A:p.R72H | rs766916675 | Missense | 1.62E-05 | 0.0002 | 8.24E-06 | 0 | 0.780 | 16 (20) | 1 | 0 | 0.561 |
| Exon1:c.402G>C:p.Q134H | NA | Missense | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.708 | 15 (20) | 1 | 0 | 0.561 |
| Exon1:c.461C>T:p.R188I | rs76484600 | Missense | 1.63E-05 | 0.0002 | 1.66E-05 | 0.0002 | 0.762 | 16 (20) | 0 | 2 | 0.561 |
| Exon1:c.563G>T:p.R188I | rs76103581 | Missense | 3.25E-05 | 0.0005 | 4.13E-05 | 0.0006 | 0.763 | 16 (20) | 1 | 0 | 0.561 |
| Exon6:c.902A>G:p.Y301C | rs758752620 | Missense | 4.08E-06 | 5.80E-05 | 8.30E-06 | 0.0001 | 0.737 | 16 (20) | 2 | 0 | 0.561 |
| Exon10:c.1357G>A:p.E453K | rs368079885 | Missense | 4.47E-05 | 0 | 6.61E-05 | 0.0001 | 0.740 | 16 (20) | 0 | 2 | 0.561 |
| Exon12:c.1574G>A:p.R525H | rs14228669 | Missense | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.0002 | 0 | 0.751 | 15 (20) | 1 | 0 | 0.561 |
| Exon12:c.1691G>A:p.R564H | rs148410442 | Missense | 6.09E-05 | 0 | 0.0003 | 9.01E-05 | 0.0008 | 0.767 | 16 (20) | 0 | 1 | 0.561 |
| Exon12:c.1730G>T:p.R577L | rs526804896 | Missense | 7.80E-05 | 0.0011 | 6.75E-05 | 0.0001 | 0.724 | 16 (20) | 3 | 3 | 0.561 |
| Carriers (n) | | | | | | | | | 9 | 8 | 0.561 |

The details of the three-dimensional (3D) model structure and the scales of global cerebral atrophy–frontal subscale (GCA-F), posterior atrophy (PA) and medial temporal lobe atrophy (MTA) are described in the online supplemental methods.

RESULTS

Shrameshift mutations of the GSN gene may lead to AD

GSN gene frameshift mutations were significantly enriched in patients with AD

The WGS was performed in the cohort including 1192 patients with AD (63.93 ±11.18 years) and 1403 cognitively normal controls (NCs, 67.78 ±7.51 years). The Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) scores of patients with AD (11.66 ±7.27) were significantly lower than those of controls (27.53 ±1.73, p=0.000). All the participants were of Southern Han Chinese ancestry (table 1).

As shown in table 2, 11 rare (MAF <0.01) and damaging variants (ReVe >0.514 or Loss of function, LoF) of the GSN gene were identified in our cohort, including two frameshift mutations (P3fs and K346fs) and nine missense variants (R72H, Q134H, S154L, R188I, Y301C, E453K, R525H, R564H and R577L). Regarding the frameshift mutations, the frequency in patients with AD (0.50%, 6/1192) was higher than that of controls (0/1403, p=0.025; table 2), indicating that rare damaging frameshift mutations of the GSN gene were enriched in patients with AD. However, we failed to identify a significant enrichment of missense variants across the GSN gene in patients with AD compared with controls (p=0.56, table 2). Additionally, patients with AD carrying these variants of undetermined significance (VUS) did not develop the typical symptoms of FAF. GSN-R188I, GSN-Y301C and GSN-R525H were identified only in the AD cohort, not in controls, and distributed in different domains of GSN (table 2). The 3D structure models of these mutant proteins (R188I, Y301C and R525H) showed fewer conformational changes (online supplemental figure S3), suggesting that these variants were unlikely to lead to FAF. Thus, we selected these VUS for further in vitro experiments.

Besides, the GSN mutations were further validated in two cohorts from CI-MDCNC and ADSP respectively. Interestingly, three frameshift mutations (P3fs, G470fs and S552fs) were identified in the CI-MDCNC cohort, and the frequency in patients with AD (1.47%, 13/884) was higher than that of controls (27.53 ±1.73, p=0.000; online supplemental table S1). However, the GSN rare frameshift mutations were not observed in the ADSP cohort, indicating that the GSN frameshift mutations might be enriched in the Chinese populations.

Patients with GSN frameshift mutations exhibited AD phenotype

There were six patients identified with GSN frameshift mutations, including one case with K346fs and five cases with P3fs, and no other dementia-related gene mutation was found by WGS. Among them, five patients (cases 1–5) were reported in a previous study, and one sporadic AD case (case 6) with P3fs mutation was identified in the continuous work. The case 6 patient underwent PiB-PET and skin biopsy, which supported the diagnosis of AD rather than FAF (figure 1A–C). The case 6
Figure 1  Examination results of case 6 and the reduced GSN expression in patients with Alzheimer’s disease with GSN frameshift mutations. (A) Nucleotide and amino acid sequences of case 6 (GSN:c.8_35del:p.P3fs) and GSN. (B) MRI, FDG-PET and PiB-PET of case 6 with P3fs mutation. (C) The H&E staining and Congo red staining of the skin biopsy showed no amyloid deposition of case 6 with P3fs mutation. Scale bar: 50 µm. (D) The same band between case 1 and control 1 in the electrophoresis of RT-PCR products. (E) The same band between case 6 and control 3 in the electrophoresis of RT-PCR products. (F) GSN mRNA expression level in case 1, cases 5 and 6, and controls 1–3 by qPCR. (G) Analysis of the data in (F). Reduced GSN mRNA expression level in cases compared with controls. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. (H) The same band between case 1 and control 1 in the electrophoresis of RT-PCR products. (I) The same band between case 6 and control 3 in the electrophoresis of RT-PCR products. (J) The plasma GSN level in case 1, cases 5 and 6, and controls 1–3 by ELISA. (K) Analysis of the data in (H). Reduced plasma GSN level in cases compared with controls. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. FDG-PET, [18F]-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography; GSN, gelsolin; qPCR, quantitative PCR; RT-PCR, real-time PCR.

Patient, whose onset age was 52 years old, was initially presented with memory decline, manifesting as forgetting things that have just been done, and subsequently, she lost her way in the town and often called her son the wrong name. No hallucinations or delusions were observed, and there was no family history. Neuro-psychological assessment showed that the MMSE and Montreal Cognitive Assessment scores were 21/30 and 13/30, respectively. Brain MRI showed mild brain atrophy (figure 1B). [18F]-Fluorodeoxyglucose–PET revealed decreased fluorodeoxyglucose metabolism in the bilateral frontal and parietal lobe, and left temporal–occipital lobe; PiB-PET indicated diffuse Aβ protein deposition in the bilateral cerebral cortex (figure 1B). The ophthalmological examination of the cornea was normal. The skin biopsy was negative for Congo red staining (figure 1C), indicating that no amyloid or GSN was deposited in the skin.

Different from our previous work, we first confirmed that the GSN frameshift mutations can lead to AD, rather than FAF, through the PiB-PET and skin biopsy examinations together.

GSN frameshift mutations lead to reduced expression

To study the mRNA expression of the mutant alleles, we conducted RT–PCR analysis by using peripheral lymphocytes available from the affected individuals (case 1 and cases 5 and 6). The cDNA sequences of case 1 (K346fs) and case 6 (P3fs) were the same as the genome sequencing of NCs (control 1 and control 3), respectively (figure 1B, and online supplemental figure S1B). In addition, the expression of GSN mRNA in cases carrying frameshift mutations was significantly reduced compared with that in age-matched and sex-matched controls.
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(p=0.002; figure 1F,G). Moreover, plasma GSN levels of cases with frameshift mutations (4.89±0.58)×10⁵ pg/mL were decreased compared with age-matched and sex-matched NCs (1.01±0.31)×10⁵ pg/mL, p=0.043; figure 1H,I), suggesting that these frameshift mutations might lead to the reduced expression of GSN.

**GSN frameshift mutations promote AD progression in in vitro experiments**

**GSN frameshift mutations, not missense mutations, causing the decreased GSN expression**

To explore the functions of GSN mutations in pathological conditions, we first established the AD model cells by transfecting SH-SYSY cells with the APPswe mutation (SH-SYSY-APPswe cells), which highly expressed mutant APP proteins and generated Aβ deposition (figure 2A). Different GSN plasmids with the flag tag at N-terminus were transfected into SH-SYSY-APPswe cells (figure 2A,B, and table 2). Immunofluorescence results showed that SH-SYSY-APPswe cells transfected with GSN plasmids were characterised by both GFP (green) and DsRed (red), which appeared as yellow in merged images (figure 2A). Western blot analysis of the flag tag showed the expression of GSN frameshift mutations could not detect the normal GSN size, which were almost the same in the expression of GSN wild-type or missense mutations (figure 2B).

**GSN frameshift mutations, not missense mutations, failed to reduce Aβ42 expression**

The levels of Aβ42 and Aβ40 were detected after SH-SYSY-APPswe or SH-SYSY-EV cells transfected with various GSN plasmids. The level of Aβ42 and the ratio of Aβ42/Aβ40 in SH-SYSY-APPswe cells transfected with GSN-K346fs (42.43±3.05 pg/mL and 0.39±0.04, respectively) were significantly higher than those in SH-SYSY-APPswe cells transfected with GSN-WT (32.91±2.39 pg/mL and 0.27±0.03, respectively; p<0.01) and were similar to those in the control group (transfected with control plasmid). The level of Aβ42 in GSN-P3fs transfected group was slightly higher than that in the GSN-WT group, while no significant difference was found between the GSN-D214N, GSN-R188I, GSN-Y301C, GSN-R525H and GSN-WT groups (figure 2C,D).

**GSN frameshift mutations, not missense mutations, causing apoptosis**

The apoptotic tests, which detected by Hoechst staining, revealed that the Hoechst-positive cells of SH-SYSY-APPswe cells transfected with GSN-K346fs (8.29±1.22 %) and GSN-P3fs (8.23±0.89 %) were more than those transfected with GSN-WT (4.61±1.08%; p<0.0001; figure 2F,E), while the Hoechst-positive cells of GSN-D214N, GSN-R188I, GSN-Y301C and GSN-R525H groups were similar to the GSN-WT group (figure 2F,E). However, streaming detection of ROS in SH-SYSY-APPswe and SH-SYSY-EV cells transfected with various GSN plasmids showed no difference (figure 2G).

**GSN frameshift mutations, not missense mutations, losing the ability to inhibit the toxicity of Aβ40**

The ability of different GSN mutations to inhibit the toxicity of Aβ40 was detected in SH-SYSY cells. Toxicity analysis by CCK8 showed that the concentration of 5µM Aβ40 was a suitable concentration for intervention (figure 3A). The SH-SYSY cells were transfected with different GSN plasmids and treated with 5 µM Aβ40. The immunostaining of calcine-AM and propidium iodide (PI) confirmed the higher ratio of dead cells:live cells in the GSN-K346fs (15.01%±3.06%, p<0.0001) and GSN-P3fs (13.81%±5.09%, p<0.0001) groups than that in the GSN-WT group (4.29%±1.60%); figure 3B,C), indicating that the K346fs and P3fs mutations lost the ability to inhibit the toxicity of Aβ. The ratio of dead cells:live cells in the GSN-D214N, GSN-R188I, GSN-Y301C and GSN-R525H groups was similar to that in the GSN-WT group (figure 3B,C).

**GSN levels in patients with sporadic AD**

Higher plasma and CSF GSN levels were observed in patients with sporadic AD

To explore whether the level of plasma GSN was a potential biomarker for AD, a total of 124 patients with AD without GSN mutations (65.19±9.27 years) and 103 cognitively unimpaired control subjects (65.99±7.89 years), which were sex- and age-matched, underwent plasma GSN detection (table 1). The plasma GSN level was much higher in patients with AD (11.40±0.43)×10⁵ pg/mL than that in controls (11.22±0.31)×10⁵ pg/mL, p=0.001; figure 4A).

Then, 82 A+T+n+ patients with AD and 59 cognitively unimpaired control subjects, which were sex- and age-matched, underwent both plasma and CSF GSN detection. The CSF GSN level was much higher in patients with AD (6.57±2.54)×10⁵ pg/mL) than that in controls (5.21±3.14)×10⁵ pg/mL, p=0.005; figure 4B). Besides, a positive correlation between the CSF GSN and plasma GSN was found in patients with AD (r=0.234, p=0.017; figure 4C), suggesting that the plasma GSN could reflect the level of GSN in the CSF of patients with AD.

**Decreased plasma GSN levels were observed in patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutations, rather than missense mutations**

The plasma GSN level in patients with AD and GSN frameshift mutations (4.93±1.79)×10⁵ pg/mL exhibited extremely lower than that in controls, patients with AD without GSN mutations or patients with AD with GSN missense mutation (p<0.05, p<0.001 and p<0.01, respectively; figure 4A). However, patients with AD with GSN missense mutations (R188I, Y301C, and R525H) showed similar plasma GSN levels with controls or patients with AD without GSN mutations (p>0.5; figure 4A). Overall, it indicated that the reduced plasma GSN level was only observed in patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutations.

**Plasma GSN levels were correlated with cognitive state in AD patients**

To explore the relationship between the plasma GSN level and cognitive state, the plasma GSN levels were compared between groups of different Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scores. The plasma GSN level was initially increased in the groups of CDR score=0.5–2, and then reduced in the group of CDR score=3 (figure 4D). Therefore, patients with AD with mild cognitive impairment might have a higher plasma GSN level, while patients with AD with severe cognitive performance tend to have a lower GSN level.

**Plasma GSN level performed a well diagnosis of patients with AD in early stage of the disease duration**

Considering the level of GSN might change as AD progressed, we further analysed the plasma GSN level by disease course and age at diagnosis stratification. Interestingly, the plasma GSN level was increased in patients with early stage of the disease duration (0–3 years), while it declined in patients with moderate and late stages of the disease duration (≥4 years, figure 4E). The ROC

---

Figure 2  GSN frameshift mutations failed to reduce the Aβ level and apoptosis in SH-SY5Y-APPswe cells. (A) Immunofluorescence images of SH-SY5Y-APPswe cells transfected with GSN plasmids. SH-SY5Y-APPswe cells were characterised with GFP (green). SH-SY5Y cells transfected with GSN plasmids were characterised with both GFP (green) and DsRed (red), which appeared yellow in merged images. Scale bar: 20 µm. (B) Western blot analyses of the flag tag to show the expression of different GSN mutant proteins. GSN-WT was used as the negative control; GSN-D214N was the positive control (reported to be associated with FAF); GSN-K346fs and GSN-P3fs were frameshift mutations identified in patients with AD; GSN-R188I, GSN-Y301C and GSN-R525H were VUS identified in patients with AD and distributed in different domains of GSN. (C) GSN K346fs mutation failed to reduce Aβ42 levels or the ratio of Aβ42/40 in SH-SY5Y-APPswe cells, as shown by ELISA analysis. n=3 per group. (D) ELISA analysis of Aβ42 and Aβ40 in SH-SY5Y-EV cells transfected with GSN plasmids. n=3 per group. (E) Immunostaining of Hoechst 33342 (light blue) in SH-SY5Y-APPswe and SH-SY5Y-EV cells transfected with GSN plasmids. Scale bar: 50 µm. (F) Quantification of Hoechst-positive cells in (E). GSN K346fs and P3fs mutations failed to reduce apoptosis in SH-SY5Y-APPswe and SH-SY5Y-EV cells. n=6 per group. (G) ROS levels in SH-SY5Y-APPswe and SH-SY5Y-EV cells transfected with GSN plasmids. *P<0.05 vs the control group, **P<0.05 vs the WT group, ***P<0.01, ****P<0.001, ##### P<0.0001. Aβ, β-amyloid; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; DAPI, 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DsRed: discosoma sp. red fluorescent protein; EV, empty lentivirus; FAF, familial amyloidosis of the Finnish type; GFP, green fluorescent protein; GSN, gelsolin; ROS, reactive oxygen species; VUS, variants of undetermined significance; WT, wild type.
Figure 3 GSN frameshift mutations failed to inhibit the toxicity of Aβ40 in SH-SY5Y cells. (A) Toxicity analysis of SH-SY5Y cells by CCK8 suggested that the concentration of 5 µM Aβ40 was a suitable concentration for intervention. n=3 per group. (B) Calcein-AM/PI staining images of SH-SY5Y cells transfected with GSN plasmids and then treated with 5 µM Aβ40. Live cells were characterised with calcein-AM (green). Dead cells were characterised with PI (red). Live cells transfected with GSN plasmids appeared yellow in the merged images. Scale bar: 50 µm. (C) Quantification analysis of dead cells in (B). n=6 per group. GSN K346fs and P3fs mutations failed to inhibit the toxicity of Aβ40 in SH-SY5Y cells. ▲ p<0.05 vs the 0 µM Aβ40 group, #P<0.05 vs the control group, *P<0.05 vs the WT group; ▲▲▲P<0.001, ####/****P<0.0001. GSN, gelsolin; PI, propidium iodide; WT, wild type.

curve revealed a moderate test power to distinguish patients with AD (disease course 0–3 years) from controls (area under curve (AUC)=0.672, p=0.000, cut-off=1.45×10^7 pg/mL; figure 4F), suggesting that plasma GSN is a potential biomarker at the early stage of AD. Moreover, the plasma GSN level of patients with rather early age was significantly higher than that in controls (61–80 years), which were gradually decreasing with the late age (≥80 years, Online supplemental figure S4A). The ROC curve was analysed between 61 years and 80 years, which also showed a moderate test power to distinguish patients with AD from controls (AUC=0.678, p=0.000, cut-off=1.39×10^7 pg/mL; Online supplemental figure S4B). Additionally, well performance was observed in the ROC curve of GSN frameshift mutations carriers and non-carriers in AD (AUC=0.979, p=0.000, cut-off=7.98×10^6 pg/mL; Online supplemental figure S4C), indicating that the plasma GSN level is able to distinguish patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutations from non-carriers.

GSN levels were correlated with Aβ pathology and brain atrophy in AD patients
To explore the correlation between the GSN level and AD pathology, CSF AD biomarkers (Aβ42, Aβ40, t-tau and p-tau) were measured using ELISA. The correlation analysis was carried out, and positive correlation between the CSF GSN level and CSF Aβ42 level was observed (r=0.289, p=0.009; figure 4G). As CSF Aβ42 level were negatively correlated with the Aβ depositions (assessed by global standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) of Aβ PET),25 patients with AD with lower CSF GSN level tended to exhibit lower CSF Aβ42 level and more Aβ depositions, while no association existed in CSF GSN and CSF Aβ40, CSF Aβ42/40, CSF t-tau or CSF p-tau (figure 4H,I, and online supplemental figure S5A,B). Besides, the correlation between the plasma GSN and plasma Aβ42 was also analysed, while no significant difference was observed (online supplemental figure S5C).
Figure 4  Plasma GSN levels were significantly higher in patients with AD. (A) Plasma GSN levels in cognitively NCs, patients with AD, GSN frameshift mutation carriers and GSN VUS carriers by ELISA analysis. Plasma GSN levels in patients with AD were higher than those in NCs, and plasma GSN levels in patients with AD carrying GSN frameshift mutations were lower than those in NCs; n=124 in the AD group, n=103 in the NC group, n=5 in the frameshift carrier group and n=8 in the VUS carrier group. (B) CSF GSN levels in cognitively NCs and patients with AD by ELISA analysis. CSF GSN levels in patients with AD were higher than those in NCs, and plasma GSN levels in patients with AD carrying GSN frameshift mutations were lower than those in NCs; n=82 in the AD group and n=59 in the NC group. (C) The positive correlation between CSF GSN and plasma GSN in patients with AD analysed by Pearson correlation analysis, n=82. (D) The GSN level changes with CDR score in patients with AD and NC. The plasma GSN level was initially increased in the groups with CDR score=0.5~2, and then reduced in the group with CDR=3. (E) The GSN level changes with disease course in patients with AD and NC. The plasma GSN level was compensatively increased in the disease course between 0 year and 3 years. In contrast, the GSN level was downregulated in the disease course more than 4 years. (F) The ROC curve of plasma GSN levels between patients with AD (disease course 0–3 years) and NC showed a moderate test power to distinguish AD from controls. (G–I) The positive correlation between CSF GSN and CSF Aβ42, Aβ40, Aβ42/Aβ40 in patients with AD analysed by Pearson or Spearman correlation analysis, n=82. #P<0.05 vs the NC group; */#P<0.05, **/#P<0.01, ####P<0.001. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; CDR, Clinical Dementia Rating; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GSN, gelsolin; NC, normal control; VUS, variants of undetermined significance.

Moreover, the correlation between the GSN level and brain atrophy were analysed, with the increase of global cerebral atrophy-frontal (GCA-F), PA and MTA scores, the plasma or CSF GSN showed a trend of initially increasing and then decreasing (online supplemental figure S6A-F), and the plasma GSN was significantly positively correlated with GCA-F and MTA by Spearman correlation analysis (GCA-F: r=0.256, p=0.020; MTA: r=0.250, p=0.038).

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this study was the first to clarify the role of GSN frameshift mutations in the pathogenesis of AD, and proposed the genotype–phenotype heterogeneity of the GSN gene in which missense mutations lead to FAF, while frameshift mutations cause AD. Besides, the detection of higher plasma GSN levels in patients with AD at an early stage, indicating it possibly would be a potential diagnosis biomarker and monitoring the progression of AD (figure 5).

In this study, we first completed AD and FAF-related examinations in patients with GSN frameshift mutations, confirming that patients with GSN frameshift mutations were AD phenotype. However, none of the five patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutations, reported in the previous study, completed the exclusive FAF diagnosis. Therefore, our present study first demonstrates that frameshift mutations may cause AD phenotype. Combined with our previous clinical cohort, the frameshift mutations (K346fs and P3fs) of GSN could account for 0.5% of AD cases. Moreover, frameshift mutations in the GSN gene in patients with AD were only observed in Han populations. Among the reported mutations in the GSN gene, there are three frameshift mutations (A34fs, K346fs and P3fs), all of which were reported in Han populations, suggesting geographical heterogeneity of the GSN mutations. For missense variants, we failed to identify significant enrichment of these missense variants across the GSN gene in patients with AD compared with the controls. Interestingly, reported patients with FAF with GSN missense
Figure 5  Schematic diagram. Individuals with different GSN mutations have different clinical phenotypes: missense mutations usually correspond to FAF, while frameshift mutations correspond to AD. Patients with AD who carried GSN frameshift mutations presented extremely low plasma GSN levels, which promoted the progression of AD. Patients with AD without GSN mutations exhibited compensatory increases in plasma GSN levels, which functioned as a protective factor in patients with AD. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; FAF, familial amyloidosis of the Finnish type; GSN, gelsolin.

mutations were usually cognitive normal,7 27–30 Only one study reported that patients with FAF appeared slightly with abnormalities in visuoconctructional and spatial performance,31 which further confirmed the genotype–phenotype heterogeneity of the GSN gene. The phenomenon of genotype–phenotype heterogeneity has also been reported in other genes previously. Wang et al32 demonstrated that frameshift mutations of presenilin 1 (PS1) led to familial acne inversa, which is a dermatological disease. However, pathogenic missense mutations of the PS1 gene were first reported to cause familial AD.33 No frameshift mutation was reported as a pathogenic mutation of the PS1 gene in AD. The different types of mutations of the PS1 gene contributed to completely different diseases. These studies suggest that different mutational forms of GSN lead to different clinical phenotypes and may be related to the pathogenesis of these diseases.

To figure out that frameshift mutations, rather than missense variants, are involved in AD pathogenesis, we first detected the mRNA and protein levels of GSN and found that the mutant mRNA could not be detected in patients, suggesting that the frameshift mutations did not generate fragments of GSN protein, which might be explained by nonsense-mediated mRNA decay.34 This was different from the pathogenic process of FAF. As the protein conformation changed in the acknowledged mutations of D214N or N211K,35 36 GSN could be cleaved when passing through the Golgi, and small fragments were generated during the process. The deposition of fragments on corresponding tissues led to the clinical symptoms of FAF.37–41 Nevertheless, no fragments were observed in patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutations at the mRNA or protein level. Additionally, we found patients with AD with GSN frameshift mutation had a decreased plasma GSN level, which was not present in patients with AD with identified missense mutations, indicating that the mechanism of loss of function might be involved in the pathogenesis of AD.

Mechanism studies found that GSN contained two Aβ binding sites and could bind and sequester Aβ.42 then inhibit Aβ fibrosis and degrade fibres that already formed.43 44 GSN could also inhibit Aβ-induced cytotoxicity by inhibiting apoptotic mitochondrial changes45 and protect neurons from apoptosis by actin remodelling.46 Then, we selected representative mutation sites and forms to clarify the mechanism of GSN frameshift mutations from the cytological level by observing the Aβ42 levels and cellular apoptosis in AD model cells transfected with different GSN plasmids. Meaningfully, compared with GSN-WT plasmid treatment, the increased level of Aβ42, the higher ratio of dead cells: live cells in the Aβ4-induced toxicity tests, and more apoptotic cells were observed in the GSN-K346fs and GSN-P3fs plasmid-treated group, suggesting that the K346fs and P3fs mutations lost the protective function of GSN. For groups of missense mutations of GSN, no significant difference was observed, indicating that the mutant protein may retain its function to a certain extent. To our knowledge, the GSN protein can bind Aβ, inhibit its aggregation, and protect cells from apoptosis and ROS.47 Injection or overexpression of GSN resulted in a significant reduction in amyloid loads and a decrease in Aβ levels in AD transgenic mice.48 49 Our results showed that GSN frameshift mutations, rather than identified missense mutations, led to the GSN loss of function in reducing Aβ42 levels, inhibiting Aβ-induced toxicity, and protecting cells from apoptosis. This was different from the pathogenic process of missense mutations in FAF, which generate small fragments and deposit on tissues of eye, skin and peripheral nerves.37–41 Thus, different mutational forms of the same gene lead to different pathogenic pathways and present different clinical phenotypes.

Considering that GSN acts as an antiamyloidogenic protein and might change in AD progression,34 it may be a potential biomarker for AD. However, the plasma GSN levels were inconsistent in patients with AD reported in previous studies.22 24 In our cohort, the plasma GSN level was higher in early stage of disease duration of AD, and it was lower in medium and late stages of AD than that in controls, suggesting that GSN may function in the compensatory mechanism of the Aβ pathology. As the level of plasma GSN changes as AD progresses, the plasma GSN may be a novel potential biomarker for AD. To further explore the relationship between plasma and CSF GSN level, we also detected GSN level in CSF. The results showed a positive correlation between CSF GSN and plasma GSN, which increased the importance of plasma GSN as a biomarker.
Additionally, the level of plasma GSN in patients with frameshift mutations was significantly decreased, while the level of plasma GSN in patients with missense mutations was comparable to that in controls, further confirming the loss-of-function mechanism of GSN frameshift mutations.

In summary, we found that GSN frameshift mutations are associated with the pathogenesis of AD. The level of GSN increases in the early stage of sporadic AD and has a protective effect, while in patients with frameshift mutations or patients with advanced disease, the level of GSN is downregulated and leads to the development or aggravation of the disease.
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