Short report

Low protection from breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infection and mild disease course in ocrelizumab-treated patients with multiple sclerosis after three mRNA vaccine doses
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ABSTRACT

Background Our study investigated the rate of breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infection and clinical outcomes in a cohort of multiple sclerosis (MS) patients who were treated with the anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody (Ab), ocrelizumab, before first, second and third BNT162b2 mRNA vaccinations. To correlate clinical outcomes with the humoral and cellular response.

Methods The study was a prospective non-randomised controlled multicentre trial observational study. Participants with a diagnosis of MS who were treated for at least 12 months with ocrelizumab prior to the first BNT162b2 mRNA vaccination were prospectively followed up from January 2021 to June 2022.

Results Out of 54 participants, 32 (59.3%) developed a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test in the study period. Mild infection was observed in all infected participants. After the third vaccination, the non-infected participants had higher mean Ab levels compared to the infected participants (54.3 binding antibody unit (BAU)/mL vs 26.5 BAU/mL, p=0.030). The difference in reactivity between spike-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes in the two groups was not significant.

Conclusion and relevance The study results demonstrate rates of 59% in breakthrough infections after the third SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination in ocrelizumab-treated patients with MS, without resulting in critical disease courses. These findings suggest the need for continuous development of prophylactic treatments when proved important in the protection of severe breakthrough infection.

BACKGROUND

Treatment with anti-CD20 (a-CD20) monoclonal antibodies (Ab) is widely used for autoimmune diseases, including multiple sclerosis (MS). While MS alone does not affect humoral and cellular immunity, a-CD20 treatment leads to B-cell depletion, thereby impairing humoral immunity. More severe COVID-19 outcomes were reported in 2020 and 2021 in patients with MS treated with a-CD20 therapy.1 After SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination, numerous studies have demonstrated absent or decreased humoral response in a-CD20-treated patients, while cellular responses are generally preserved.2-5 The dominating subtypes of SARS-CoV-2 shifted from Delta to Omicron (BA.1-5), and by the end of December 2021, >90% of positive PCR tests sequenced in Denmark and the USA identified the Omicron variant.6-7 Increased risk of breakthrough COVID-19 has been reported for a-CD20-treated patients despite vaccination.8 Early booster administration8 and treatment with anti-spike monoclonal Abs for vulnerable patients have been common practice worldwide. Additionally, in the USA, the administration of Evusheld has been frequently used as prophylaxis in B-cell depleted patients.9 The relationship between clinical outcomes after infection with SARS-CoV-2 in a-CD20-treated patients and levels of humoral and cellular reactivity after vaccination is unknown. The current study evaluates clinical outcomes and their correlation with humoral and cellular immunity in a cohort of patients with MS prospectively followed up through their three mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccinations.2,3

METHODS

This study follows 54 participants with MS in Denmark and the USA treated with ocrelizumab before the first, second, and third BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine doses. Levels of receptor binding domain (RBD) Abs and spike-reactive T cells before and after first, second and third vaccinations were measured as previously published.2,1 Spike-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell responses were measured by activation-induced marker (AIM) expression as previously described.4 At the time of vaccination, only one participant had a prior clinical history of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Participants received the third vaccination between August 8th and October 2021, and were followed for COVID-19 infection until 26 May 2022. SARS-CoV-2 PCR test and virus characterisation results, including the most frequent viral variants, were extracted from the Danish
National Microbiology Database, MiBa (Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark). Results from the USA were obtained by reviewing the electronic medical records of all American participants. Clinical outcomes and demographics were scored with the WHO progression scale based on patient records and interviews.10

Anonymised data will be shared on request from any qualified investigator under approval from the Danish Data Protection Agency.

All data were analysed for normal distribution with D’Agostino-Pearson normality test and with a visual inspection (online supplemental table 1). Analysis of Abs levels and cellular response between infected and uninfected participants was done with the Mann-Whitney test. The level of significance was defined as p<0.05.

RESULTS

Participants’ demographic and clinical characteristics are summarised in table 1A. Out of 54 participants, 32 (59.3%) developed a positive SARS-CoV-2 PCR test in the study period. The first recorded infection was on 17 December 2021, and the last infection recorded was on 20 April 2022, indicating that all infections occurred after the third mRNA vaccination (Ultimo October 2021). Out of the infected participants, 9.4% were hospitalized (= WHO score of 4) but discharged after one day without need for treatment or oxygen.

When comparing the humoral and cellular immunity between the infected and non-infected participants, in both the Danish and American cohorts, the non-infected participants had higher mean Ab levels compared with the infected participants (non-infected mean 54.3, infected mean 26.5 binding Ab unit/mL; p=0.030) after the third vaccination (figure 1A). No significant difference was found between the Danish and American cohorts (figure 1C,E). However, the frequencies of spike-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-lymphocytes did not differ between the infected and non-infected groups (figure 1B, D and F).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Demographic characteristics, treatment and clinical outcomes in the positive PCR cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SARS-CoV-2 positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>A</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (% of total)</td>
<td>32 (59.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>30 (73.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>2 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (83.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>23 (82.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>2 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (range)</td>
<td>48 (25–64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>48 (25–64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>46 (40–52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of ocrelizumab treatment (years), median (range)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0–5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>2.8 (1.0–5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>4.1 (3.6–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latest Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS), median (range)</td>
<td>2.75 (0.0–6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK</td>
<td>2.25 (0.0–5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>5.75 (5.5–6.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>B</strong></th>
<th>SARS-CoV-2 positive</th>
<th>DK cohort</th>
<th>US cohort</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remdesivir taken, n</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sotrovimab taken, n</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evusheld prophylaxis, n</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (6 uninfected)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO score, median (range)</td>
<td>2 (1–4)</td>
<td>2 (1–4)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days from last OCR treatment until COVID-19, median (range)</td>
<td>79.0 (14.0–393.0)</td>
<td>81.5 (15.0–393.0)</td>
<td>39.5 (14.0–65.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days from third vaccine to COVID-19, median (range)</td>
<td>114.0 (47.0–188.0)</td>
<td>114.0 (47.0–188.0)</td>
<td>106.5 (85.0–128.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DK, Danish cohort; OCR, ocrelizumab.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Routine variant determination for all SARS-CoV-2 subtypes was stopped on 21 December 2021. Therefore, based on the assumption that the patients were infected on average with the most prevalent SARS-CoV-2 subtype, we found the following distribution: BA.1: 25.0% (n=8) and BA.2:75.0% (n=24).

Of the 32 participants with positive PCR, 17 (53.1%) received treatment after infection. For the infected Danish participants, sotrovimab (anti-spike SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal Ab) was administrated to 13 participants and 4 participants also received remdesivir. Seven of the US participants received Evusheld as prophylaxis between April and June 2022, one of whom tested positive for SARS-CoV-2. In general, the participants had mild disease courses with WHO scores of 1–4 (table 1B). One patient was hospitalized (= WHO score of 4) but discharged after one day without need for treatment or oxygen.

DISCUSSION

The current study describes the clinical outcomes and immune correlations in a cohort of participants with MS and breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infection while in treatment with ocrelizumab before and after SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination. Of the study cohort, 59.3% experienced breakthrough infection, exceeding the 8.3% reported from the background population in a recent Danish study with a shorter observation period.11
Severe SARS-CoV-2 disease has also been associated with higher MS-related disability. Consequently, patients with MS and high disease burden and/or other risk factors are recommended to avoid the risk of exposure to COVID-19 infection more than the general population. Overall, the current findings suggest that vaccine-conferred protection against Omicron variants is lower in a-CD20-treated patients with MS than has been reported in healthy individuals.

Evaluating specific immune responses to vaccinations, we found that the participants who were not infected had significantly higher levels of RBD Abs before infection than the participants who became infected (figure 1). Overall, the Abs levels were low in both the infected and non-infected groups compared to those described in healthy control groups. This supports prior findings that the spike-specific Abs capable of SARS-CoV-2 neutralisation are vital for protection against infection. In contrast, no differences were observed between spike-specific CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell response in infected and uninfected participants. Despite lower RBD Abs levels, in general, our participants demonstrated a mild disease course of SARS-CoV-2 infection similar to the disease course observed in the background population and vaccinated MS-cohorts. This study has limitations. The current study was designed neither to examine the severity of the disease course following SARS-CoV-2 infection nor to adjust for potentially mediating factors including behavioural factors (such as isolation, distancing, mask use and hygiene) and comorbidities. Denmark and the USA had different strategies, for example, lockdown strategies resulting in geographical variations in patterns of serological prevalence, and accessibility to Evusheld prophylaxis. Patients undergoing B-cell depleting therapy were prioritised and vaccinated months earlier than the healthy background population since B-cell therapy was considered a risk factor for severe COVID-19. Therefore, including a matched healthy control group was not possible. Likewise, delaying the vaccination of our participants was considered unethical in the effort to recruit a matched control group. This study did not include other MS-specific DMTs since B-cell depleting therapy was the only DMT considered a risk factor of severe COVID-19 at the time of study initiation. We therefore prioritised patients treated with ocrelizumab compared with other DMTs.

To summarise, this study demonstrates a breakthrough infection rate of 59% in a Danish and American MS cohorts after the participants received the third SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccine while being treated with anti-CD2 therapy. In addition, the geographical difference regarding prophylaxis is evident when comparing different treatment strategies and infection rates. Our results suggest that vaccination of B-cell depleted patients causes only low protection against infection. However, the infected participants all experienced mild disease courses without any need for hospitalisation. The findings call for the continuing deployment of more effective prophylactic options and altered vaccination strategies to enhance protection against breakthrough COVID-19 infection.
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