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Abstract
No treatment has been found which reverses long-standing neurological dysfunction in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS). Observations in animal models of MS show that immunoglobulins directed against CNS components promote oligodendroglial proliferation and new myelin synthesis. Preliminary studies in inflammatory-demyelinating diseases of the human peripheral and central nervous system suggest that the repeated intravenous administration of polyclonal human immunoglobulin (IVIg) is sometimes followed by clinical improvement. A randomised, placebo-controlled, double-blind, clinical trial was designed to test the hypothesis that repeated administration of IVIg will result in a meaningful degree of recovery of apparently irreversibly lost neurological function (weakness). A total of 76 patients with MS will participate in the study. These patients had developed a fixed, apparently permanent weakness that had not improved in the preceding four to 18 months. If effective, IVIg administration may benefit the large proportion of patients with MS who have active disease by enhancing the potential for myelin repair in the evolution of the inflammatory-demyelinating lesion.

CNS remyelination by oligodendrocytes and Schwann cells occurs in multiple sclerosis (MS) lesions. It is not known why remyelination and clinical recovery is incomplete. Whereas oligodendrocyte proliferation and remyelination is minimal in the centre of chronic MS plaques, at the edge of demyelinated plaques, remyelination by oligodendrocytes occurs as documented by abnormally thin myelin sheaths relative to axon diameter. These findings suggest the potential for promotion of myelin repair in the evolution of the inflammatory-demyelinating lesion in MS.

Much of our experimental work has been focused on efforts to encourage remyelination in experimental animal models of MS. This work has led initially to a pilot clinical trial of intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg) in MS patients and, thereafter, to a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial.

Experimental studies
We have used a model induced by Theiler’s murine encephalomyelitis virus (TMEV) to study the mechanisms of demyelination and remyelination in the CNS. Following intracerebral injection of Daniel’s (DA) strain of TMEV into SJL mice, there is extensive immune-mediated demyelination with relative absence of remyelination in the spinal cord. The demyelination seen following chronic TMEV infection is indistinguishable pathologically from MS. Recurrent episodes of demyelination are superimposed on the chronic progressive disease. Histologically there is primary demyelination (destruction of myelin sheaths with axon preservation), and lymphocytes, plasma cells and macrophages are intimately involved in the demyelinating lesion.

The precise mechanisms by which TMEV induces demyelination is unknown. Because TMEV injures oligodendrocytes, cytotoxicological injury to oligodendrocytes may result in demyelination. The observation that nude mice develop demyelination following TMEV infection indicates that T-cells are not required for the initiation of demyelination. In addition, there is considerable evidence implicating an immune-mediated mechanism underlying TMEV-induced demyelination. The inflammatory infiltrate is closely associated morphologically with areas of demyelination. In vivo therapy with mAbs directed against class I- or II-restricted T-cells or class II-restricted CD4+ T-cells suppresses the extent of demyelination. Administration of emulsions of myelin basic protein plus galactocerebrosides and incomplete Freund’s adjuvant may enhance remyelination in the chronic guinea pig EAE model. We therefore considered the possibility that differences in remyelination in the TMEV model may be determined by the immune response. Immunisation with serum directed against spinal cord homogenate...
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Our recent studies have shown that IgG contained in the anti-SCH serum was responsible for this effect. In addition to IgG directed against SCH, commercially prepared, polyclonal mouse IgG also promotes extensive remyelination in SJL mice chronically infected with Theiler’s virus. Remyelination was not seen with an IgG1 monoclonal antibody (MOPC 21) or in borate buffered saline-treated control animals. The polyclonal mouse IgG used in these experiments was commercially prepared from nonsynthetic donor mice and is analogous to the human IgG preparation proposed in our clinical trial.

Conventional wisdom has assumed that IgG plays a role in the putative immune-mediated injury in MS. However, the specificity of the IgG molecules in the cerebrospinal fluid and in the MS lesion remains undetermined. The concept that IgG may promote remyelination is novel and has received little attention.

Clinical studies
We have recently reported the ultrastructural features of 11 MS stereotactic brain biopsies with clinical and radiological correlation. Oligodendrocytes were morphologically preserved in early lesions and appeared to increase in number at the edge of plaques in areas of remyelination. Our results agree with those of Prineas et al who has shown extensive oligodendrocyte regeneration and remyelination in acute and subacute MS lesions. The oligodendrocytes responsible for this remyelination appear to be previously undifferentiated, immature oligodendrocytes rather than, surviving, previously differentiated mature myelin-producing oligodendrocytes.

There is preliminary evidence that IVIg may reverse neurological dysfunction in patients with long standing optic neuritis. A recently completed study suggests that IVIg administration may be followed by improved neurological function in patients with chronic, steroid-unresponsive optic neuritis. Improvement was temporally related to the administration of IVIg and persisted for the follow up period of 1-2-1-7 years. These findings suggest that exogenously administered human IgG promotes remyelination in patients with MS with nonresolving optic neuritis.

Mayo clinic randomised trial of IVIg therapy in multiple sclerosis
We are currently completing an open-label, pilot study of IVIg in 10 patients with MS using a protocol identical to the proposed full-scale clinical trial (with the exception of the placebo-controlled limb). This pilot study has allowed us to assess the safety of IVIg in this subset of patients with MS, to assess the adequacy of our proposed adverse effects surveillance mechanisms, and to gain experience with the treatment and outcome measurement methods to be used in the controlled trial.

The overall goal of this randomised, double-blind and placebo-controlled clinical trial is to determine whether IVIg administration is followed by clinical improvement of apparently irreversibly lost motor function (muscle strength) in patients with MS. This trial differs from other prospective MS clinical trials because we are attempting to assess whether experimental treatment results in clinical improvement rather than delay or prevention of further progression.

Inclusion criteria: Patients must have clinically definite or laboratory supported definite MS which has been either relapsing-remitting or relapsing-progressive (secondary progressive) from onset. Patients must be between the ages of 18 and 60 and have a fixed, apparently irreversible, motor deficit (“targeted neurological deficit”: weakness of at least one limb which has been documented in the Department of Neurology at the Mayo Clinic to have been present and static for four and 18 months).

Patients must not have received ACTH, immunosuppressive therapy, corticosteroids, or plasma exchange within the preceding three months. The observed duration of the fixed neurological motor deficit was chosen to minimise the likelihood of delayed, spontaneous, unexpected and possibly placebo-related recovery of the ‘targeted neurological deficit’. 

Possible mechanisms of action of IVIg
The mechanisms of action of IVIg in the few diseases for which it has proven efficacy are only partially understood. These include saturation of Fe receptors on reticuloendothelial cells (acute autoimmune thrombocytopenic purpura of childhood-22) and B and T cells resulting in modulation of the immune response, reduced natural killer cell activity (autoimmune idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura and autoimmune neutropenia-23), and neutralisation of putative autoantibodies by naturally occurring anti-idiotypic antibodies within the IVIg preparation.24 25
Intravenous therapy criteria: This includes primary progressive MS, pregnancy, ischaemic heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, antibody deficiency states, sensitivity to IVIg and albumin, and medical illnesses requiring IVIg administration.

Protocol schedule: In this trial, 76 patients will be randomised to receive either IVIg (Gamimmune N, Miles Pharmaceuticals; 5% solution in 10% maltose) or placebo (0.1% human serum albumin in 10% maltose) daily for five days and thereafter every two weeks for three months (total: 11 infusions). All patients will be re-examined at three and six months. In our efforts to determine whether long-standing motor dysfunction can be reversed by this treatment, we will measure the effect of IVIg on motor function using several techniques.

The primary outcome will be the impact of IVIg (placebo) on muscle strength as determined by serial quantitative isometric muscle strength measurements in the limbs affected by the ‘targeted neurological deficit’ (for example, spasticity, monoparesis/plegia and hemiparesis/plegia). Specifically, the examining neurologist will indicate the targeted neurological deficit and corresponding isometric muscle strength testing sites at the enrollment visit. The test results at each site is the site specific strength, recorded as the percentage of normal (age and sex match controlled). These values will be averaged over the targeted sites for computation of the primary endpoint. We will then compute the difference between the six month and baseline value for each subject. Important secondary outcome measures will include an analysis of whether IVIg treatment (placebo) influences either dexterity and gait (serial videotaped examinations), spasticity (serial recordings of muscle tone; Ashworth spasticity scale, 0-6) and function (Functional Independence Measure; Box and Blocks and 9 Hole Peg Tests). Although patients with tremor or truncal ataxia will not be excluded from enrollment, these functions will not be selected for study as the ‘targeted neurological deficit’. All data will be used in these analyses, with patients analysed according to the treatment group to which they were randomised (intent to treat analysis).

This randomised, controlled trial has considerable practical relevance to MS. If improvement is seen, the time course of this change may provide insight into possible mechanisms of action of IVIg in MS and may suggest additional strategies which could be used to maximise the degree and rate of response. Additional studies will be necessary to determine whether similar improvements in other neurological functions will follow repeated IVIg administration (for example, cerebellar and sensory function, vision, bowel and bladder control, cognition, etc.). Subsequent studies could be designed to determine whether IVIg administration is beneficial in the setting of either acute exacerbations (relapsing-remitting or relapsing-progressive disease) or chronic progressive disease (primary or secondary progressive MS). This trial may provide the methodological framework to test other treatment approaches to promote CNS remyelination.
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